1	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT						
2	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA RICHMOND DIVISION						
3							
4							
5	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA) Output Ou						
6	v.) 3:19CR130)						
7	OKELLO T. CHATRIE) March 4, 2021)						
8	DAY ONE						
9	COMPLETE TRANSCRIPT OF MOTION TO SUPPRESS						
10	BEFORE THE HONORABLE M. HANNAH LAUCK UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE						
11							
12	APPEARANCES:						
13	Kenneth R. Simon, Jr., Assistant U.S. Attorney Peter S. Duffey, Assistant U.S. Attorney						
14	U.S. Attorney's Office SunTrust Building						
15	919 East Main Street, Suite 1900 Richmond, Virginia 23219						
16	Nathan P. Judish, Assistant U.S. Attorney						
17	U.S. Department of Justice 950 Pennsylvania Ave., NW						
18	Washington, Virginia 20530						
19	Counsel for the United States						
20	Laura J. Koenig, Assistant Federal Public Defender Paul G. Gill, Assistant Federal Public Defender						
21	Office of the Federal Public Defender 701 E. Broad Street, Suite 3600						
22	Richmond, Virginia 23219						
23	Counsel for the Defendant						
2 4	DIANE J. DAFFRON, RPR						
25	OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT						

		2
1	APPEARANCES: (Cont'd)	
2	Michael W. Price, Esquire	
3	National Association of Criminal Defense Lawyers	5
4	12th Floor Washington, DC 20036	
5	Counsel for the Defendant	
6		
7	I N D E X	
8	DIRECT CROSS REDIRECT	
9	SPENCER McINVAILLE 16 126 170	
10	MARLO McGRIFF 190	
11		
12		
13	EXHIBITS	
14		Page
15	DEFENDANT'S EXHIBITS:	
16	No. 1 Geofence Warrant and Application	27
17	No. 2 Google Amicus Curiae Brief	200
18	No. 3 PDF of Raw Data	3 4
19	No. 5 Three Paths Video	61
20	No. 6 Spencer McInvaille Report	7 5
21	No. 7 McInvaille Supplemental Report	81
22	No. 8 CSV Google Data File	120
23	No. 11 September 2018 Oracle Submission	92
24	No. 21 McGriff Declaration 1	52
25	No. 23 McGriff Declaration 3	73

1

2	Ε	Χ	Н	Ι	В	Ι	Τ	S

3			_
4	DEFENDANT'	S EXHIBITS: (Cont'd)	Page
5	No. 27	Every Step You Take	96
6	No. 30	AZ Ex. 18	255
7	No. 31	AZ Ex. 19	258
8	No. 32	AZ Ex. 20	249
9	No. 33	AZ Ex. 24	250
10	No. 34	AZ Ex. 202	252
11	No. 36	AZ Ex. 209	243
12	No. 38	AZ Ex. 219	227
13	No. 40	AZ Ex. 236	2 4 5
14	No. 43	2018 Privacy Policy - Redline	261
15	No. 46	McGriff Blog 1	219
16	No. 47	McGriff Blog 2	218
17	No. 48	2018 Quartz Article	85
18	No. 49	2018 AP Article 1	225
19	No. 53	Blumenthal-Markey Letter to FTC	233

21 GOVER

GOVERNMENT'S EXHIBITS:	GOV		' S	EXHIBITS	:
------------------------	-----	--	-----	----------	---

22	No. 1	CAST Report	32
----	-------	-------------	----

2 4

```
(The proceedings in this matter commenced at
 1
 2
    9:30 a.m.)
 3
             THE CLERK: Case No. 3:19CR130, United States
 4
    of America versus Okello Chatrie.
 5
 6
             The United States is represented by Kenneth
7
    Simon, Peter Duffey, and Nathan Judish.
8
             The defendant is represented by Paul Gill,
 9
    Laura Koenig, and Michael Price.
10
             Are counsel ready to proceed?
11
             MR. SIMON: The United States is ready, Your
12
    Honor.
13
             MS. KOENIG: The defense is ready, Your
14
    Honor.
15
             THE COURT: Okay.
16
             MS. KOENIG: Before we begin --
17
             THE COURT: Okay. I'm aware that we have
18
    counsel for Google here. I don't know if you want to
19
    just state your name on the record because that you
20
    will be representing witnesses that come forward.
    It's really up to you.
21
22
             MS. CARROLL: Thank you, Your Honor.
23
    Catherine Carroll, present on behalf of Google.
24
             THE COURT: Thank you.
25
             MR. CARROLL: Thank you.
```

THE COURT: All right. Well, I understand that you all have handled some logistics, that the defense will begin presenting evidence first. I'll hear any introductory remarks that either side wants to present. And I think there's something else you wanted to address, and I can't remember.

MS. KOENIG: Yes, Your Honor. We have a modified sequestration order that the parties have agreed to that we're asking the Court to enter. Shall I just come to the podium?

THE COURT: Yes.

MS. KOENIG: Each party has an advisory witness. The defense will designate Spencer

McInvaille, who's our expert, as our advisory witness, and ask that he be allowed to remain in the courtroom throughout the proceedings.

The government, I understand, will be designating Detective Hylton, who is their case agent as their advisory witness. The government also has an additional expert, Agent D'Errico, and so he will also be allowed to remain in the courtroom in an expert capacity. But we are asking that the witnesses, in order that the witnesses — any other witnesses not be allowed to be in the courtroom, except for during their testimony.

THE COURT: All right.

1 1

MS. KOENIG: And then the last piece of it is that the witnesses are not allowed to discuss their testimony with other witnesses.

THE COURT: Right. And, obviously, counsel aren't allowed to talk about testimony that's coming in.

MS. KOENIG: So that is -- that's the modification, Your Honor, is that the parties have agreed that counsel will be able to talk to witnesses, but the witnesses will not be able to talk to each other.

THE COURT: So that includes Google?

MS. KOENIG: Correct.

THE COURT: All right. Now, I'm going to ask you to spell the names on the record because I know Hylton is spelled differently than a court reporter might think, and just to be sure that we get everything correct.

MS. KOENIG: Sure. The defense expert is

Spencer McInvaille. M-c-I-N-V-A-I-L-E. And

Detective Hylton is H-Y-L-T-O-N. And Agent D'Errico,

I don't believe there's an apostrophe. Oh, there is.

Okay. D-apostrophe-E-R-R-I-C-O. The spelling of the agent was not my preparation today. Thank you.

THE COURT: Does the government have anything to add?

MR. SIMON: Nothing from us, Judge.

THE COURT: All right. So we will enter the modified sequestration order. Obviously, counsel will be responsible in making sure that their witnesses know not to speak to each other. My bet is they already know that, given the counsel that we have in front of us. And we'll certainly allow the experts to hear the evidence as it goes in. That's not uncommon and will, I think, serve the interests of the hearing overall.

Do we have folks calling in or not?

THE CLERK: Yes, ma'am. I have the line set up, but it doesn't appear that anybody is on there at this time.

THE COURT: Okay. So I want you to know we've had some folks ask to call in on an AT&T line. Of course, it's as if we're in open court. I've okayed that. If you are aware of anybody who you know who is calling in, I'm going to require counsel to inform them of our Local Rule 53 and the standing order that they cannot record or transmit or give any kind of broadcast of this hearing. We are in the hearing. Ms. Daffron will create our record. And

|

```
especially with an AT&T line, it's a little odd, and
 2
    we're only really doing this because of COVID. I just
 3
    want to be sure that they are aware that even if they
    sort of want to save something to tell a friend, or
 4
 5
    somebody who might have an interest in the case, they
                  They can order a transcript, but they
 6
    just cannot.
 7
    can't do anything more than that.
8
             MS. KOENIG: Your Honor, I will tell the
 9
    Court that we expect that several members of the NACDL
10
    staff --
11
             THE COURT: So you have to talk slower and
12
    say N-A-D-C-L more clearly.
13
             MS. KOENIG: Thank you. I expect that
14
    several members, staff members, of NACDL, which is the
    organization that Mr. Price works for, will be calling
15
16
    in. We are a little surprised they haven't called in
17
    already. But they have already been instructed not to
18
    do any recordings or --
19
             THE COURT: We'll say it to anybody who does
20
    call in.
21
                        Hopefully, he can hear us.
             THE CLERK:
22
                        Can we confirm that he can?
             THE COURT:
23
             THE CLERK: Mr. Shoop, can you hear us?
24
             THE COURT: So we have somebody from NBC
```

25

calling in?

1 THE CLERK: Yes.

THE COURT: I want to be sure that that person knows. I have to announce that so he or she can hear it, too.

THE CLERK: It is NBC Universal.

THE COURT: Okay. NBC Universal. My apologies for the delay.

MR. PRICE: Your Honor, we just got word that lots of people are on the line considering the beeps, but no one can hear anything.

THE COURT: They can't hear.

THE CLERK: All right. I'm going to have to call Martin.

THE COURT: All right. So we have to get our IT involved. While we're waiting, what I'd like to be sure is that we put on the record the motion we're taking evidence for and other sort of just standard things.

MS. KOENIG: Yes, Your Honor. I don't expect that the defense will have any introductory remarks. We will be prepared to go straight into evidence. But this is an evidentiary hearing that is in support of the motion to suppress the evidence obtained pursuant to the geofence warrant, and that is ECF 29, for which there has been a lot of subsequent briefing.

THE COURT: Now, you definitely can't speak that quickly, and I didn't hear at all what you said at the end.

MS. KOENIG: Sorry. For which there has been a lot of subsequent briefing.

THE COURT: Right. Okay.

So while we're waiting also, I want you to know we've gone through a lot of COVID protocol together. I can see that you all have been very mindful of it and will continue to be. I'm going to ask you to continue to be, certainly, all through this process.

We have a jury trial going on in a courtroom on this floor. And so what I want you all to do is not move in the hallways unless someone has allowed you to do it. We're trying to make sure that traffic is not congested so that, I guess, we don't lead into COVID congestion.

We can only do two people in an elevator at any one time. And we really are coordinating on the sixth floor, and a little bit on the seventh floor, with how we're moving people around. So, certainly, if you have any witnesses who are not in the courtroom, be sure they know that, too. Our biggest issues with COVID have been, understandably probably,

I don't want to the say anything too negative, but
bored witnesses waiting for their time to be called
and then sort of wandering around. And we just can't
have that. So I'll appreciate your indulgence in
that, too.

This is our simplest technology. I have never had an AT&T conference call not work. My apologies. They are only hearing us in binary language of beeps. I guess we need an interpreter. (IT is here now.)

THE CLERK: You can tell your folks they might have to call in again.

OPERATOR: Welcome to AT&T's teleconference service. Please enter your access code followed by the pound sign. There are 12 participants on the call including you.

MR. PRICE: I'm just letting them to know to call back.

THE CLERK: Okay. Can the people on the call hear us? Can somebody say something?

AN UNIDENTIFIED PERSON: Yes, we can hear you.

THE COURT: Thank you all.

All right. I understand now that folks who have called in on the AT&T line can hear us. We've

had some introductory scheduling issues taken care of about witnesses and presentation of evidence.

2.5

I also informed folks here, and I'm going to inform folks listening in on the AT&T line, that our Local Criminal Rule 53 and our standing order prohibits any kind of broadcasting or telecasting or recording of these events. Of course, you're welcome to listen in, but it is just as if you were in the courtroom itself.

We have one court reporter, who is making the single record that we will have of this proceeding.

And it is a violation of our rules to in any way make a different or separate recording or record.

Can everybody hear me say that? Is there anybody on the AT&T call?

UNIDENTIFIED PERSON: Yes.

THE COURT: All right. So you all are on notice as to that?

UNIDENTIFIED PERSON: Yes.

THE COURT: All right. Okay.

So I will allow the defense to begin presenting evidence. I guess I want to confirm, is this a continuation of evidence that we heard with respect to discovery, the request for discovery, or is this a whole new record?

MR. PRICE: Your Honor, we will be repeating some of what we did in the discovery hearing but not all of it, so it's a continuation. There will be a

THE COURT: Right. That's fine. I just want to make sure that both sides -- is the government in agreement that you're actually referring to both hearings as far as the evidence that I'm taking into consideration?

little bit of repetition, but hopefully not too much.

MR. SIMON: Judge, I think we asked that the Court certainly can consider that, but the record on appeal, I think, in this case will be about the evidence received at this particular hearing. And so we don't necessarily think that the transcript from the discovery hearing should override or overtake anything today. So we'd say focus on the evidence received here today, including from their expert Spencer McInvaille. But, you know, both sides may refer back to that testimony.

THE COURT: Well, that's my question. I

don't want disputes about what I can take into account

or what I can't take into account. You've been

agreeing about the important issues, but it's easier

to sort of set the parameters from the start rather

than not.

MS. KOENIG: Sure. Your Honor, from the defense perspective, we have learned a lot of information from the time of today past January 2020. So to some extent, some of the issues that we talked about before, like some of the exhibits that we have on our exhibit list that we intend to introduce today, we took to heart the Court's direction that we shouldn't rely on past exhibits. So we are going to be referring and admitting those separately today.

There may be a couple of points that we may not spend as much time on, like, for example, the three paths video we spent quite a bit of time at the discovery hearing on. And we may not go too much in depth on that simply just to save time because we have a lot of witnesses and a lot of material to move through. But to the extent there is something different or contradictory or something that is changed, of course, today's record would control simply because at least the defense has moved well beyond where we were information-wise from January 2020.

THE COURT: Right. Which in part is -although we've had, for lots of technological reasons
and pandemic reasons and making sure we can have
witnesses come in person from other places, we've had

delay, but we've also had delay that I think maybe will have available to us a better record.

What I'm going to say is that I want you all at the end of today, presuming we go into tomorrow, just meet and get a sense if there's going to be any dispute about what we can turn back to. And if you all want me to make any kind of speedy trial findings, I can do so now. Is that a good way to start or is anybody objecting to the delay that we've had? It's been pretty well documented through our case, and, in fact, Mr. Chatrie has asked for a couple of delays himself.

I think we've all been on the same page with respect to it, but if there should be findings on the record, I'm happy to make them.

MS. KOENIG: I think all the findings have already been made as to that, Your Honor, and we're just here today ready to move forward. The defense doesn't have any objections to the findings the Court has previously made.

THE COURT: Right. Okay.

Mr. Simon, you're in agreement?

MR. SIMON: Yes, Judge. And I don't think the Court was asking, again, about the record piece; is that right?

THE COURT: I'm sorry? 1 2 MR. SIMON: You weren't asking, again, Judge, 3 about our review of the record, what the Court should consider? 4 5 THE COURT: No. I think you guys are going 6 to agree. I just want to anticipate before anything 7 gets too old in our minds any piece of evidence that you all think you may disagree about, we'll have a 8 9 hearing on that, about what to do about it, and we'll 10 do it now, not in two months, is what I'm saying. 11 MR. SIMON: Understood, Judge. And like 12 defense counsel know, concerns about the delay here. 13 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. 14 All right. So we're ready for the defense to 15 begin. 16 MR. PRICE: Good morning, Your Honor. 17 THE COURT: Good morning. MR. PRICE: Michael Price for Okello Chatrie. 18 19 The defense would like to call Spencer McInvaille, 20 please. SPENCER MCINVAILLE, called by the defendant, first 21 22 being duly sworn, testified as follows: 23 24 THE COURT: All right. Now, as you're

approaching the podium and the witness stand, you all

- may do whatever you wish with respect to your comfort zone with respect to COVID. You may take your mask off as long as nobody here in this room objects. We do have these plastic barriers. We have the
 - The only thing I would say is certainly every time you leave any space, clean it off so that if somebody else goes near it or sits there also, it is fresh for them. We try to follow-up on that, too.
- 10 | THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am.

sanitizing wipes and hand sanitizer.

- 11 THE COURT: All right.
- 12 BY MR. PRICE:
- 13 Q Good morning, Mr. McInvaille. How are you?
- 14 A Good morning.
- Q Would you please state your full name for the
- 16 record.

5

6

7

8

- 17 A Spencer McInvaille.
- 18 Q And can you tell us who you are?
- 19 A Yeah. I'm a digital forensic examiner with
- 20 | Envista Forensics. I deal with cell phone location,
- 21 | location evidence in general. And I consult with
- 22 prosecutors, attorneys, and defense counsel on those
- 23 types of issues.
- 24 | Q Thank you. And you've been previously qualified
- 25 as an expert in this case in the fields of digital

- forensic examinations, global forensics, and cellular location analysis?
- 3 A Yes, that's correct.

Q So I want to start with some basics.

5 THE COURT: So, Mr. Price, I'm going to ask 6 you to move the microphone a little closer to you.

And also, Mr. McInvaille, if you could speak into the microphone. That's the way my court reporter hears you. She's not listening to you anywhere other than from her earphones.

And I just want to confirm the government has no objection to Mr. McInvaille testifying as an expert; is that correct?

MR. DUFFEY: We do not, Judge. Thank you.

BY MR. PRICE:

Q So I want to start with some basics here. And I want to ask you what sources of location data does Google use to locate phones generally?

A Sure. So for locating devices, you're generally going to see GPS data, Wi-Fi locations, Bluetooth locations, and cellular. Those are the main ways that Google would locate a device.

Q Can you explain each of those just a little bit?
What's GPS?

A Sure. GPS is our Global Positioning System using

satellites to locate devices. People commonly
associate that with how they navigate around town or
go places with their phone.

As far as Wi-Fi goes, Wi-Fi access points are points on earth that we use to use data and things on our phones. As they capture where those are on earth based on signal strengths from each of those points, you can relatively locate a device as it pertains to how close it is to a certain access point.

As far as cellular goes, similar principle there.

We know where the cell towers are. They communicate

with a device. And based on signal strengths, you can

determine where the device is in relation to the cell

phone tower.

- Q Are there any other sources of location data besides the ones that you mentioned?
- THE COURT: So, Mr. Price, you're talking really fast.
- 19 BY MR. PRICE:

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

- Q Are there any other sources of location data other than GPS, Bluetooth, cellular, and Wi-Fi?
- A You can also use, say, IP addresses, too, to locate -- to generally locate someone.
- Q How accurate are these sources? Maybe you can just talk about each one a little bit.

- 1 A Sure. They're going to vary. Cell phone towers,
 2 of course, cover very large areas. There are sectors.
- 3 Each of them cover large areas. While they can,
- 4 again, generally locate a device, they may not be the
- 5 most accurate, but they can give us a relative
- 6 location on earth.
- Wi-Fi. Wi-Fi only extends so far. So it is going to give you a smaller area than what the cell tower probably could.
- 10 GPS can be very accurate. We can see that. It
 11 can be very accurate. Sub-meter accuracy at times
 12 with open skies.
- 13 THE COURT: Sub-meter or some meter?
- 14 THE WITNESS: Sub-meter.
- 15 THE COURT: Thank you.
- 16 THE WITNESS: Smaller than 1 meter.
- So each has their own capability of how
 accurately you can place someone on earth or place a
- 19 device on earth.
- 20 \parallel Q So when Google is using location information to
- 21 | find a phone, does it matter if someone is inside?
- 22 Does it still work?
- 23 A No, it can still locate.
- 24 \parallel Q It still locates people inside or outside?
- 25 A Yes.

- Q What are the -- what does Google do with this
 data? What are the repositories of location data that
 Google keeps?
 - A Sure. From my research, they keep this type of data in several ways. So you have Location History being that, as has been described, this journal of location history for a user. That can include each of those sources that we discussed on how information is gathered. And so it associates those with date and times for the device.

There's also Google Location Accuracy, which keeps up with some of that information we've talked about before with the access points, where they are on earth, how they make calculations, to make comparisons.

THE COURT: Did you say Location Accuracy?

THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am.

THE COURT: Okay.

I'll let you say that again. I interrupted.

THE WITNESS: That's okay.

A As far as Google, there's Location History. There is Google Location Accuracy, as well as Web & App Activity also tracks IP addresses, and things like that, web activity application usage for general location.

THE COURT: Can you repeat what Location
Accuracy is?

THE WITNESS: Sure. So Location Accuracy is going to -- is a repository of data as far as where access points and things like that are located so that it can be compared to other data for location purposes.

THE COURT: Thank you.

BY MR. PRICE:

- 10 Q So what does Google do with all of this location 11 data?
 - A Sure. So this location data at this point, just data in general on people and their activities, is a lucrative -- it's a tangible item at this point. It's something that's used for advertising, understanding consumers and their habits, things like that. It's used for advertising, essentially.
 - Q The different kinds of advertising that Google does with this?
 - A Sure. So you have targeted ads from understanding what a person may want to -- you know, their interests. You can also target those ads based on their proximity to certain places. So if a particular business would like to try to generate more activity from the people that live and work around them, they

- can try to target those people based on pushing ads to them because of their proximity to that location.
 - Q So if Google offers this advertising service to businesses based on location, do the businesses get
- 5 the information about user location?

- A Not to my knowledge. What the end user or the
 person paying for the advertising service receives is
 pretty much reporting on how well these ads are
 converting to revenue for them.
- 10 Q How would you explain the difference between

 11 targeting ads based on location and a law enforcement

 12 request for user location data?
 - A So as far as, again, with targeted ads for the business, the business is seeing whether or not their ads are becoming sales, whether or not people in the area that they're trying to pay -- they're paying to hopefully see these ads are coming to their business.

As far as in this law enforcement request or these geofence warrants, the difference is, is the return is different. The return is that you are seeing individual users, identifiers about those users, their location, and other information provided. So it's much different.

Q So just to clarify. What personally identifiable location information do businesses get when they do

- 1 | this targeting?
- 2 A None that I'm aware of.
- 3 Q So we'll start with an easy one. What's a
- 4 geofence warrant?
- 5 A So, a geofence warrant is a request by law
- 6 enforcement to, in this case Google, to find out the
- 7 users that are in a specific area. So a circle or box
- 8 is drawn around a particular area where something
- 9 happens. A time frame of that incident is also given.
- 10 And the request is made to Google to find out who was
- 11 inside of that particular area during the given time
- 12 frame.
- 13 \parallel Q And did you review the geofence warrant in this
- 14 | case?
- 15 A Yes.
- 16 \parallel Q So what type of data was searched as a result of
- 17 | the geofence warrant in this case? Which repositories
- 18 of data?
- 19 A So Google Location History was the searched area
- 20 | for Google.
- 21 Q Any others?
- 22 A No.
- 23 Q Who decided what kind of information was going to
- 24 get searched?
- 25 A From my understanding, Google made the

- determination to search Google history -- Location
 History, I'm sorry.
- Q So there are three kinds. Google searched one and it was up to them?
- $5 \parallel A$ From my understanding, yes.
- Q Could you describe how a geofence warrant works,
 what the stages are, how it unfolds process-wise, just
 generally?
- 9 A Sure. So the warrant is broken up into three

 10 steps. Each of those steps gaining more information

 11 as you go. So the warrant will spell out each of

 12 these steps for the process.
 - So, in Stage 1, a request is made for the geofence. So wherever the place is on earth. In this instance, it was a 150-meter circle, radius circle, that was drawn around a fixed point. And so the Stage 1 request is what users were inside of the circle during a one-hour time period.
- 19 Q And does it end there?

14

15

16

17

18

25

A No. So it goes further into each of the steps.

So when that request is made, Google will respond with the location of the users and an identifier for each of the users who were inside of the circle at that time. So that's the steps from asking for Stage 1 to

the return of Stage 1. Return of Stage 1 being a

spreadsheet of device IDs, locations, and the dates and times of those locations.

So once you have that information, you move into Step 2. Step 2 requires that a determination be made of how many of those users you want to know more information about. In Step 2, what Step 2 allows is contextual data. So it removes the geographical limits as well as the time frame expands. So you get more information about the movements of the people chosen out of Stage 1 about where they moved before and after the original geofence. You end up with that group of people. And now you know where they came from before the incident and then after.

Another determination needs to be made, and that's Step 3. Step 3, when you make that request to Google, you're asking for all of the subscriber information or all of the account information for the users that you've selected.

So in each step, you have -- you've made the large search of all users. Then you move into Stage 2 of a defined group out of that that you received. And then Step 3, again, another group that you've defined out of that to finally understand and reveal who those people are.

Q Thank you. I want to turn to the geofence warrant

- in this case. Specifically, I'd like to call your attention to what's been marked Defense Exhibit 1.
- THE COURT: So the record is clear, Exhibit 1

 is being shown on the screens here in the courtroom.
- 5 Q Can you tell us what Exhibit 1 is, Mr. McInvaille?
- A This is the affidavit for a search warrant in this case, the geofence warrant.
 - Q And this is the geofence warrant you reviewed?
- 9 A Yes, that's correct.
- 10 Q Thank you.

- MR. PRICE: I'd like to admit Exhibit 1 into evidence, Your Honor.
- 13 THE COURT: Any objection?
- 14 MR. DUFFEY: No objection, Judge.
- 15 THE COURT: It will be entered.
- 16 MR. PRICE: Thank you, Your Honor.
- 17 (Government's Exhibit No. 1 is admitted into evidence.)
- 19 BY MR. PRICE:
- Q Okay. So you just explained how geofence warrants
- 21 work generally. We're looking at the warrant in this
- 22 \parallel case. What happened here? Tell us how this works.
- 23 A So, again, there's a three-step process outlined
- 24 | in this warrant, as well. Again, a Stage 1, Stage 2,
- 25 and Stage 3. So here the -- there's a time frame for

1 May 20th of 2019.

THE COURT: You have to talk about where you're referring to on the piece of paper because anybody reading this record is not going to have the document in front of them.

THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am. I'm under Attachment 2 of the search warrant.

A So it defines the time frame that's going to be searched. So it's May 20th of 2019 from 16:20 hours until, on the same date, 17:20 hours. So a one-hour time period.

There's also a geofence drawn around a particular location. So they provide a latitude and longitude of where they're going to draw this radius. That radius was 150 meters. So the Stage 1 of that request was for users located within that circle during that time frame.

THE COURT: Now I'm going to interrupt you because it's my job to make sure the record is clear. In Defense Exhibit 1, I have a document behind a signed warrant that says Attachment 1, the place, person or thing to be searched. That has Roman numeral -- not Roman numeral. A numeral 1 at the bottom. And then the document I think you're showing me, there's a version of it that has a page 2. I'm

- 1 trying to find what you're actually showing me in 2 Exhibit 1.
- THE WITNESS: I'm on Attachment 2.
- 4 THE COURT: Got it.
- THE WITNESS: And it's pages 2 and 3 at the bottom.
- 7 THE COURT: My apologies.
- 8 THE WITNESS: No problem.
- 9 THE COURT: I'm with you now.
- 10 BY MR. PRICE:
- 11 Q Okay. So what happened at Stage 1 here,
- 12 specifically?
- 13 A So Stage 1 was a request for all Google users for
- 14 | that specific location. So within that circle during
- 15 the hour time frame on May 20th.
- $16 \parallel Q$ And what did Google have to do to produce that
- 17 | information?
- 18 \parallel A So from what Google has told us, they require the
- 19 search of all Location History accounts to complete
- 20 \parallel that search to find out who was inside of that circle.
- 21 Q Do you know how many that was?
- 22 A They stated it was numerous tens of millions of
- 23 accounts.
- 24 THE COURT: When did they say that?
- 25 THE WITNESS: That was in, I believe, Mr.

- 1 McGriff's declaration.
- THE COURT: I think it's Marlo McGriff.
- THE WITNESS: That's correct.
- 4 MR. PRICE: We'll come back to it, Your
- 5 Honor.
- 6 THE COURT: All right.
- 7 BY MR. PRICE:
- 8 Q So after conducting a search of every Google user
- 9 with Location History enabled, how many users were
- 10 then sent back to law enforcement?
- 11 A Sure. So as a return for Stage 1, 19 unique
- 12 | identifiers were provided with location information
- 13 for that one-hour time frame.
- 14 Q Thank you. And what happened after that? What
- 15 | happened in Stage 2?
- 16 \parallel A So a Stage 2 request was made to Google.
- 17 Initially, a request for contextual data for all 19
- 18 \parallel were made. I believe that request was made more than
- 19 once. And Google responded saying that that number
- 20 \parallel needed to be reduced before they could respond to the
- 21 Stage 2 request for contextual data.
- 22 That number was reduced to, I believe, nine. So
- 23 | nine users had contextual data provided. So that was
- 24 | the data that provides you 30 minutes before the
- 25 | initial time frame and 30 minutes after. So now our

- time frame has expanded to two hours where there are
 no geographical limits during Stage 2 so that you can
 see movement before and after and outside of the
 original geofence.
- 5 Q Thank you. And then what happened in Stage 3?
- 6 A So Stage 3, again, a request was made by law
- 7 enforcement for the Stage 3 request. In that request,
- 8 they identified three users to reveal account
- 9 information for.
- 10 | Q Thank you very much. I would like to show you a
- 11 slide from the report prepared by the FBI's Cellular
- 12 | Analysis Survey Team, the CAST team, and it's marked
- 13 as Government's Exhibit 1. I'd like to show you page
- 14 \parallel 8. Can you tell us what we're looking at here?
- 15 \blacksquare A So this is a similar picture to what you see from
- 16 page 3 of attachment to --
- 17 THE COURT: Can we just go through the
- 18 formality? Does the government object to this being
- 19 | placed in evidence since we're taking evidence from
- 20 | it?
- 21 MR. DUFFEY: We do not, Judge. It's our
- 22 exhibit. So no objection.
- 23 THE COURT: Okay. So Government Exhibit 1
- 24 will be in evidence.
- MR. PRICE: Thank you, Your Honor.

- 1 (Government's Exhibit No. 1 is admitted into 2 evidence.)
- 3 BY MR. PRICE:
- 4 Q Sorry. What are we looking at here?
- 5 A So this is a similar picture to what is displayed
- 6 in the search warrant on attachment to page 3. It
- 7 shows the actual geofence. So that's the large red
- 8 circle here. The point in the middle is the reference
- 9 point that they provided to draw that radius from.
- 10 And then you see the area that's encompassed by the
- 11 geofence.
- 12 \square Q What are the places immediately implicated by this
- 13 geofence?
- 14 A Sure. So you have the Call Federal Bank. You
- 15 also have the Journey Christian Church. There's the
- 16 \parallel parking lot for the church and bank, and then some of
- 17 \parallel the wooded area surrounding both of those.
- 18 \parallel Q Thank you. Can we go to the next slide, please.
- 19 \parallel So this is the next slide. Can you tell me what
- 20 places are right outside the geofence as drawn?
- 21 | A Sure. Special Agent -- he was able to identify
- 22 some of these. And so you can, from his chart here,
- 23 you can see the apartments. There's two different
- 24 | sets of apartment complexes here. Again, the
- 25 previously mentioned locations.

You have A.M. Davis, Inc., a company that's across the street from the church. You have the Hampton Inn Hotel, which is just outside the church's parking lot. There's restaurants as well as a mini storage facility there.

THE COURT: I'm just going to put on the record this is page 9 of the same report of Government's Exhibit 1.

Q Okay. I'd like you to take a look at Defense Exhibit 3. If we could bring that up.

MS. KOENIG: Your Honor, this is an exhibit that the Court had previously placed under seal. It is the raw data from what Google produced. And so I believe since we are broadcasting to a different courtroom, it may be best to look at the paper copies of this, but it is Defense Exhibit 3.

THE COURT: Is there any objection to that from the government?

MR. DUFFEY: No objection, Judge.

THE COURT: All right. This has been placed under seal because of the potentially identifying information that is within it. And so we will review this document under seal.

MR. PRICE: Thank you, Your Honor.

Q Can you tell us what is Defense Exhibit 3? What

- 1 are we looking at?
- 2 A Yes. So it's the Stage 1 return for the Google
- 3 geofence. It's the letters from Google telling you
- 4 what they provided as well as PDF versions of the
- 5 Excel spreadsheets or CSVs that were from Google.
- 6 Q So just to clarify, this is the raw data returns
- 7 | from the geofence warrant?
- 8 A Yes. This is what you would use to look and see
- 9 where one of these devices was on the map. It
- 10 provides you with locations and information about what
- 11 was requested in Stage 1.
- 12 Q Can we take a look at column A?
- 13 THE COURT: Okay. We have to move them into
- 14 | evidence.
- MR. PRICE: Excuse me.
- 16 \parallel Q You reviewed this in preparation for your
- 17 | testimony?
- 18 A That's correct.
- 19 MR. PRICE: I'd like to move this into
- 20 | evidence as Defense Exhibit 3, please.
- 21 THE COURT: Right. Any objection?
- 22 MR. DUFFEY: No objection.
- 23 THE COURT: All right.
- 24 MR. PRICE: Thank you for reminding me.
- 25 Defendant's Exhibit No. 3 is admitted into

- 1 evidence.)
- 2 Q Can we take a look at Column A, please.
- 3 A Yes.
- $4 \parallel Q$ What does it say at the top of Column A?
- 5 A Column A is defined as device ID.
- 6 Q What about Columns B and C?
- 7 A B and C provide us a date and time.
- 8 Q How about D and E?
- 9 A D and E are the estimated latitudes and longitudes
- 10 for those records.
- 11 \blacksquare Q So tell me more about that. How do those
- 12 | estimated latitude/longitude points relate to the
- 13 geofence warrant?
- 14 A Sure. So these specific points -- so latitude and
- 15 longitude is a reference of a point on earth. And
- 16 \parallel these are the points that fell within the red circle
- 17 | that we previously spoke about. So within the
- 18 | geofence.
- 19 Q So where that latitude/longitude point is
- 20 determines whether it gets reported in the geofence
- 21 warrant returns?
- 22 A That's correct. So if this point were to have
- 23 | fallen outside of the red circle, it would not be
- 24 provided. If it falls within the red circle, it is
- 25 provided.

- Q Okay. Now, let's look at Column G. What's that column?
- 3 \parallel A That's the maps display radius in meters.
- $4 \parallel Q$ In that column, there are some numbers, right?
- 5 A Yes.

- 6 Q What are those numbers?
- 7 A That is the estimated radius. So from the
 8 estimated latitude and longitude, a circle is then
 9 drawn, a radius circle is drawn around that point, and
 10 that's the estimation that the device should be within
 11 that circle by the estimate.
 - THE COURT: Within the second circle, not the original circle?
- THE WITNESS: Correct. This circle is drawn around the individual points for that specific user for that specific record.
- 17 BY MR. SIMON:
- 18 \parallel Q How does Google draw that display radius?
- 19 \parallel A It would -- I don't know how they come up with it.
- 20 Q How does it appear?
- 21 A Oh, it appears -- when you draw it on the map, it
- 22 appears to be the estimated latitude and longitude
- 23 \parallel point in one place with a circle drawn around that to
- 24 \parallel show you the area that the phone could have been in or
- 25 the device could have been in.

- Q Google has talked about something called a confidence interval. What's a confidence interval?
 - THE COURT: So you cannot be showing exhibits you're not talking about.
 - MS. KOENIG: Your Honor, that's my fault. My screen is locked, and I can't get out. So if we could unlock my screen, potentially I could get out to the correct exhibit.

9 THE COURT: Okay.

THE CLERK: I don't have control of your screen.

MS. KOENIG: There's a little lock button on the screen, Your Honor, on the right-hand side, and I was able to change exhibits before that lock appeared.

THE CLERK: Where it says "no stream detected"?

MS. KOENIG: Right above where it says "no stream detected."

THE CLERK: That's been there all along.

THE COURT: I just want to be clear, this is still part of Government's Exhibit 1.

MS. KOENIG: Correct. I wasn't intending to go to this stage, Your Honor. I was trying to get to the next exhibit, but it is frozen. There we go. All right. Okay.

- 1 MR. PRICE: All set?
- 2 MS. KOENIG: Yes.
- 3 MR. SIMON: Okay. Sorry about that, Your

4 Honor.

6

7

8

12

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

5 THE COURT: That's okay.

MS. KOENIG: We're down to one paralegal in the Federal Public Defender's Office. I'm serving double duty, and it is not my specialty.

9 THE COURT: Congratulations on having a 10 paralegal.

MS. KOENIG: Fair enough.

- BY MR. PRICE:
- Q Google has talked about something called a confidence interval. Can you explain to us what a confidence interval is?
 - A Yes. So they described it -- when they estimate the point on earth, so the latitude and longitude, and once they draw that circle around that point, the display radius, they have a rating or a goal of being how confident they are that they made the correct estimation, and that's 68 percent is their goal in determining the location on earth.
 - Q So they're saying -- so just to clarify. They try to be -- rephrase that. Is that a probability that somebody is going to be in there or just a certainty?

- A It's their goal to be correct 68 percent of the
 time by estimating this latitude/longitude and drawing
 a circle around it, and that the phone should be
 located or the device should be located within that
 circle.
 - THE COURT: At 68 percent?
- 7 THE WITNESS: Correct. That's the goal.
- THE COURT: And you used a phrase "confidence integral"?
- 10 MR. PRICE: Interval.
- THE COURT: You've just got to be a little
 more clear because your witness hasn't said it.
- MR. PRICE: Sorry.
- 14 THE WITNESS: Yes. That was their
 15 description of their confidence interval in the --
- 17 THE WITNESS: Yes, interval. Sorry.
- 18 | THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.
- 19 BY MR. PRICE:

- 20 Q Can you tell us what this confidence interval has
- 21 \parallel to do with the geofence warrant? What does it mean
- 22 \parallel for how the results come in?
- 23 \blacksquare As far as the results, we have to look at where
- 24 the point is referenced on earth, the maps display
- 25 radius, and just understand that even though it

- provides that radius where the device should be located, it doesn't mean that it's absolutely within
- Q So what does it do to the effective range of a geofence warrant?
- 6 A It could make it larger.

that radius either.

- Q I want to show you another slide from the CAST report. This will be Slide No. 20, page 20, of that PDF.
- THE COURT: So that's Government's Exhibit 1, 11 page 20.
- 12 MR. PRICE: Thank you.

return shown on the map.

13 BY MR. PRICE:

3

- 14 Q Mr. McInvaille, what are we looking at here?
- A So, again, the red circle is the original geofence drawn. Each of the pin drops that you see, the blue and the red, indicate that the two differences between either GPS points that were located or Wi-Fi points that were located for a user. I believe this is an aggregation of all of the people for the Stage 1
- 22 And each of the blue circles that you see are
 23 those display radiuses provided in the records for
 24 each of those points.
- 25 \parallel Q So what do those -- those blue circles, that's the

- 1 display radius?
- 2 A Yes. That's the estimation that Google has
- 3 provided for where the device could be based on their
- 4 estimate for that record.
- Q What do they tell us about the effective range of
- 6 the geofence in this case?
- 7 A Sure. So if you were looking at one of these
- 8 circles that extends outside of the geofence, so
- 9 there's a few that you see, you see the larger one,
- 10 \parallel and then you also see the others that encompass the
- 11 roadway to the right or to the north.
- 12 Since the device could be anywhere within that
- 13 circle, if the estimated latitude and longitude is off
- 14 enough that it places the device inside the circle,
- 15 even though it was not, that device is now included in
- 16 \parallel this return even though the device never actually
- 17 | traveled within the circle.
- 18 \square Q So I want to take a look at that big blue circle
- 19 | there. What is that?
- 20 | A That's a -- I believe that was the largest display
- 21 \parallel radius provided for one of the records in the Stage 1
- 22 return.
- 23 \blacksquare THE COURT: Do you believe it or it is?
- 24 THE WITNESS: It is.
- 25 \parallel Q And what was the display radius for that point?

- $1 \blacksquare A 387 \text{ meters.}$
- 2 Q How does that compare to the size of the radius
- 3 for the geofence as drawn?
- 4 A You can see from the map that it's roughly twice
- $5 \parallel$ if not just a little bit larger than the original red
- 6 circle. So the geofence circle.
- 7 Q So the radius is about twice as large?
- $8 \parallel A$ Yes, if not just a little more than twice.
- 9 Q What about the area covered by that?
- 10 A As far as like the squared area?
- 11 Q Yes.
- 12 A It would be a much larger area when you talk about
- 13 area. Just expanding that circle greatly increases
- 14 \parallel the actual area that would be compassioned by that
- 15 circle.
- 16 Q So I promised I wouldn't make you do math on the
- 17 stand.
- 19 \parallel but we need to hear you. And so it is more formal --
- 20 MR. PRICE: You can't hear me?
- 21 THE COURT: I can't hear you.
- 22 MR. PRICE: I said I promised Mr. McInvaille
- 23 \parallel I wouldn't make him do math on the stand on the fly.
- 24 BY MR. PRICE:
- 25 Q So I'm just going to say the area of the geofence

- 1 as drawn, did you calculate that at some point?
- 2 A I did.
- 3 Q And was it approximately 71,000 meters squared?
- 4 A That's correct.
- 5 Q And the area of the large blue circle, did you
- 6 calculate that area at some point?
- $7 \parallel$ A I did. So the larger was, I recall, to be about
- 8 | 470,000 meters.
- 9 Q Thank you. And --
- 10 THE COURT: Can you repeat the first one,
- 11 please. I'm sorry.
- 12 THE WITNESS: Ma'am?
- 13 THE COURT: The first, the regular geofence.
- 14 \parallel THE WITNESS: About 71,000 meters, I believe.
- 15 THE COURT: Okay.
- 16 BY MR. PRICE:
- 17 | Q And how many times larger is 470,000 compared to
- 18 71,000?
- 19 A Roughly, I would say six times.
- 20 Q About six times?
- 21 A About six times.
- 22 \blacksquare Q So is it possible that these map display radiuses
- 23 could create a false positive?
- 24 A Yes, if you mean could someone be outside of the
- 25 original geofence and actually be returned as if they

- 1 were inside the geofence.
 - Q How would that work?
- A So if you're traveling by this geofence and the estimation that is made is incorrect enough that -
 let's just say you're driving down the road here and
- 6 that your physical device is actually on --
- 7 THE COURT: How about you name the road?
- 8 THE WITNESS: I believe it's Price Club
- 9 Drive.

- 10 A If you're on Price Club Drive driving past the geofence and you don't actually cross into it, if
- 12 Google estimates your estimated latitude and longitude
- 13 to be within the circle, then you would have been
- 14 included in this return even though the device never
- 15 \parallel traveled within the geofence.
- 16 | THE COURT: Within the blue circle?
- 17 THE WITNESS: Within the red circle.
- 18 THE COURT: Okay.
- 19 BY MR. PRICE:
- 20 Q So a false positive here would be putting somebody
- 21 \parallel inside the geofence that wasn't there. Is it possible
- 22 | to have a false negative? How would that work?
- 23 \blacksquare A Sure. So if you -- if the opposite occurred, if
- 24 you were inside of the geofence, but the estimation
- 25 was made that your latitude and longitude fell outside

1 of the circle, then you would have not been included.

THE COURT: Wait, wait. So why don't you -- so you're saying that if you're inside the original geofence and the estimate is larger than, say, 150 meters, you wouldn't be reported?

THE WITNESS: So what causes you to be included is the estimated latitude and longitude. If you kind of leave off the display radiuses, those blue circles, at the moment and just think of the estimates of the point that's given, so the latitude and longitude, if that estimate falls within the geofence, you are included. If that estimate falls outside of the geofence, you are excluded.

So the false positive occurs when that estimate is incorrect but actually falls within the fence even though you weren't in the fence. The opposite, the false negative, occurs when the device is actually inside the geofence but the estimate made falls outside the geofence.

THE COURT: So I'm looking at Column G in the exhibit that's under seal. In order to return a false negative, would G have to have a number above 150?

THE WITNESS: No, no. The false positives and negatives only occur due to D and F. Excuse me, D and E. The display radius is just the error radius

drawn around each point. The estimated latitude and longitude is what's critical in determining who will or will not be returned in the geofence originally.

THE COURT: So there's nothing in this exhibit, Defense Exhibit 3, that shows you an estimate that could fall outside, a false negative?

THE WITNESS: So if the false negative occurred, that means the device would have been inside the geofence. But by the estimate made by Google it fell outside the fence, so they were not returned in this, if that occurred.

THE COURT: G reflects the -- tell me what G reflects again.

THE WITNESS: G just reflects the blue circle that's drawn around these points. And all that is is the actual confidence that they place on the estimate. So if you see a point on the map with a very small circle, then they're giving you a smaller area that the device could have been in. A larger one, of course, is a larger area the device could have been in.

THE COURT: I thought it was reflecting the meters with which the confidence was expressed.

That's not true?

THE WITNESS: I'm sorry?

THE COURT: I thought it was expressing the meters within which the confidence was expressed. So if it said 50, that it was within 50 meters of the longitude and latitude. That is not correct; is that right?

THE WITNESS: That is the estimation. That's what the display radius is for is to understand in relation to the estimated point of how far away the device should generally be from that estimate.

THE COURT: Right. So that -- maybe I'm going too far in depth, but if it's more than 150, if the estimate can't fall within 150 meters, it is not going to be reported because the geofence only goes to 150 meters.

THE WITNESS: Yes, but that reporting occurs because of D and F, not because of G. G is just a further piece of data that's given to us to understand the individual points.

THE COURT: Okay. I get it. No one else gets what I get, but I get it. So that's good.

MR. PRICE: I might give it one more try.

22 BY MR. PRICE:

Q Maybe we can run through a quick hypothetical here. All the blue and red points on this exhibit are inside that red line; right?

- A That's correct. So they report it as being within the geofence, so they were returned.
- Q If we suppose that there was somebody standing
 right outside of that red circle, maybe at the Ruby
 Tuesday Restaurant, and they had an error radius -- a
 delay radius of, say, 100 meters. Would that display
 radius intersect with the geofence warrant?
- A It could, but that point wouldn't be returned. If
 that point is outside of the red circle, then it will
 not return within this stage of the request.

- Q And it's also possible if we had somebody whose actual location was inside that geofence, but their radius extended outwards, it's possible that they might be outside that geofence? That they wouldn't actually be at their marker?
- A It's possible. Like if you look at the point, it's kind of a long point up to the top edge of the red circle as you move north. As you see, that display, the point is within the circle, but the radius actually extends out just a touch over towards the Hampton Inn.
- The phone actually or the device could be to the outer edge of that circle, which is outside of the geofence.

MR. PRICE: Does Your Honor have any

1 questions further on that point?

THE COURT: I will think about it, and I'll ask questions. We have Google folks coming.

MR. PRICE: Thank you, Your Honor.

BY MR. PRICE:

Q So if this big blue circle is the effective range of the geofence, can you tell us which places were encompassed by it?

A For this specific device, if that's where the device could be, you have -- again, you have the Price Club Drive, the road, you have Hull Street included, the Mini Price Storage, looks to be a few sets of apartments down here to the south and southeast side of it. The A.M. Davis, Inc., again, that we spoke about. Rockwood Village Apartments is the one I -- I can see it better now. So there's two sets of apartments there, as well, that are included.

So this device could have been anywhere around that location.

Q Thank you. I'm just going to switch gears here for a second. The government likens the geofence warrant to a tower dump in their briefing. Can you tell us, what's a tower dump? And would it have been useful here?

A A tower dump is a similar request. You don't

really know what you're asking for. You're making a request to the cell phone carriers for users, their subscribers, that are using towers in the area of, like, for instance, here, in the area of the bank.

What they're going to return is spreadsheets that are going to tell you phone numbers that were using those towers that service that area.

The kind of point of all that is normally what you have is maybe one or two or more locations where incidents have happened over time. And what you're looking for as a result of these tower dumps is a common number or common numbers that show up in these records.

As a result of that, based on the process of elimination and the time of these incidents, if they're far enough apart and unique enough in time frames as far as small time frames, you would only expect if several incidents occur over a three-day period at different locations, that you -- if it's the same person, that you should only see one or two or a group of people working that specific thing. So it's a process of elimination that lets you understand when you don't have a suspect or an unknown group of suspects, it's meant to help you identify those people.

So that's a -- it's a request similar to this. We don't know what we're looking for. We just know that people have phones. So we're hoping that our suspect was using one and that he will be captured within those requests.

- Q So would it have been useful in this case?
- A It's difficult to say how useful it would be. You only have one location and date and time. So if you return back a thousand records, and you end up with a thousand phone numbers, you have nothing to compare it to, to really understand who in that group belongs there, lives there, works there, any of that, unless you know the phone number you're looking for.
- Q Okay. Thank you.

Let's go back to the beginning of Stage 1. I
think I asked you this earlier, but tell us how does
Google know which devices are there?

A So within that geofence, again, it's a location on earth. They search the user's location history. So that repository of data of location history for their users and compare that latitude and longitude to see which users fit into that location. So broadly look at the data and pick out the ones that fall within that group.

Q So how did you learn about this?

- 1 A Through the declarations submitted by Google.
- 2 MR. PRICE: I'd like to pull up the first
- 3 McGriff declaration, please. It's Defense Exhibit 21.
- 4 Q What is this document?
- 5 A It's the declaration of Marlo McGriff.
- 6 Q And did you review this in preparation for your
- 7 | testimony today?
- 8 A I have.
- 9 MR. PRICE: Your Honor, I'd like to move this
- 10 | into evidence, please.
- 11 THE COURT: Any objection?
- MR. DUFFEY: No objection.
- 13 THE COURT: It will be entered.
- 14 \blacksquare (Defense Exhibit No. 21 is admitted into
- 15 evidence.)
- 16 BY MR. PRICE:
- 17 | Q So Google has to search through everybody in the
- 18 \parallel Location History database. Do you know about how many
- 19 people that is?
- 20 A They state numerous tens of millions.
- 21 \parallel Q I'd like to draw your attention to paragraph 13,
- 22 please. Can you read for us paragraph 13?
- 23 \parallel A Yes. So, "In 2019, the majority of Google users
- 24 worldwide did not have Location History, LH, enabled
- 25 on their account. While a more precise percentage is

- difficult to calculate in part due to fluctuating
 numbers of users in 2019, roughly one-third of active
- 3 Google users (i.e., numbers tens of millions of Google
- 4 users) had LH enabled on their accounts."
- 5 Q So to conduct the geofence search in this case,
- 6 the government had Google search through everyone with
- 7 Location History enabled and Google estimates that at
- 8 | numerous tens of millions of users?
- 9 A Correct.
- 10 | Q In your experience, how does that number, numerous
- 11 \parallel tens of millions, how does that compare to other types
- 12 of warrants seeking location information?
- 13 A So, for -- you know, when we look at a request for
- 14 \parallel call detail records for a single user, of course,
- 15 | that's a single user, normal Location History request
- 16 \parallel for a specific account when they name the account
- 17 | because we know who we're looking at. Again, that's
- 18 one user.
- 19 Probably the only thing comparable would be, say,
- 20 the tower dump that you asked about earlier. But,
- 21 | again, as far as that number of people, it's not -- it
- 22 wouldn't be close to that.
- 23 Q So, I want to turn your attention back to the CAST
- 24 | report. And this is Government's Exhibit 1 at page
- 25 | 13. Can you tell us what we're looking at here?

- Yes. This is the FBI CAST report, and there is --1 Α 2 you see the Sprint towers notated with the yellow kind 3 of antenna sign. You have the Call Federal call out, so showing you where the bank is. You see the red 4 5 circle for the geofence in there. And then a parameter is outlined with blue here, which they've --6 7 which they've indicated as the estimated tower dump 8 area had they conducted one with Sprint here.
- 9 Q So there wasn't a tower dump in this case, just to clarify?
- 11 A No. This looks to be a hypothetical of what that
 12 would look like if one was conducted.
 - Q How is this hypothetical set up?

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A So it's showing you three towers that would -that are in proximity to the credit union. Then you
also see the geofence there and how it relates to
those towers.

The blue appears to kind of indicate probably the estimated coverage that you would get from those three towers that are encompassed by the blue polygon. So what it's trying to show you is the area that would likely be affected had that request been made.

Q Would the government -- well, let me rephrase. Working with this hypothetical, in your experience, about how many people, how many users, would have

- their records searched from a tower dump of just one
 of these towers?
- 3 A It's hard to say just because you're relying on
- 4 how many people are using their device at the time,
- $5 \parallel$ the number of people that you have in that area. I
- 6 don't -- I have seen in past tower dump data sets a
- 7 | thousand users can be pulled for one of these towers.
- 8 Q So roughly a thousand for one tower?
- 9 A Possible.
- 10 Q And I guess if we're doing three, how many users
- 11 would that be?
- 12 A Sure. If you kept that estimate, you'd be looking
- 13 | at, if you kept a thousand being what you think could
- 14 \parallel be encompassed, it could be 3,000 based on three
- 15 towers.
- 16 \parallel Q So 3,000 for the hypothetical here. How does that
- 17 | number compare to the numerous tens of millions in a
- 18 geofence warrant?
- 19 A I don't know that it really does compare, but it's
- 20 much less than numerous tens of millions.
- 21 Q I won't make you do any more math.
- 22 A Thank you.
- 23 Q I'll change gears here slightly. I want to ask
- 24 \parallel you what this information tells you. What sort of
- 25 information can you get from Location History data?

- 1 A From location?
 - Q Yeah.

11

23

24

25

data.

3 So with location for a specific person, you can learn a lot about a person. You can learn about their 4 movements, the places that they frequent, places that 5 they frequently travel or places they attend. So, for 6 7 instance, when people have schedules, where they go to church on Wednesday. You could see if someone 8 9 commonly goes to one of those particular locations, 10 where they work, where they live, pretty much anything

about daily life if you have enough points to look at.

- 12 Q How many is enough?
- 13 A How many?
- 14 Q How many is enough data points?
- 15 You wouldn't need too many. You don't need days 16 and days' worth of records. I mean, you can learn a 17 little bit with just a small amount of data. You may not learn everything, but it doesn't take many data 18 19 points to pick out a way of, you know, just a few 20 locations, only one specific person could likely show up to each of those locations if you know the date and 21 22 time that they were there. So it wouldn't take much
 - Q And how many data points do you think you might need to determine someone's identity?

- A With just a handful, again, if you know if
 somebody shows up at four or five places in any given
 time, you know the date and time that they were there,
 pretty much you could learn something about that
 person.
 - Q So how do you know all this?
- A I look at location data for a living. It's what I
 do. It's what I did prior to this job. I was in law
 enforcement and looked at data to try and get patterns
 for people's movements.
- 11 Q Have there been any studies written about this?
- 12 A Sure. I researched a few studies about Location
- 13 History and how that information is gathered and used
- 14 \parallel for ads and personalizing stuff to particular people.
- 15 Q I'd like to turn your attention to what's been
- 16 \parallel marked as Defense Exhibit 9. Can you tell us what
- 17 | this is, please?

- 18 \parallel A Yes. That was a report written. It's called
- 19 \parallel "Unique in the Crowd: The Privacy" -- I'm sorry. The
- 20 | "no stream detected" is blocking. It's an article
- 21 \parallel about location and privacy. "The privacy bounds of
- 22 | human mobility" is the rest of it.
- 23 Q And this is a report that you reviewed in
- 24 preparation for your testimony today?
- 25 A Yes, I've reviewed this.

MR. PRICE: Your Honor, I move to admit this into evidence.

THE COURT: Any objection?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. DUFFEY: Judge, I do object to this. I don't know what the relevance of moving an article in that he didn't write. He can testify about it, that he read it, and talk about it, I suppose. He's an expert. But to move the entire article into evidence as if we all agree it's all factually correct, I have no idea if it's correct. I don't know who the author is. I don't believe Mr. McInvaille knows the author. And so I object to it being moved wholesale into evidence. I don't object to him talking about it if he wants to talk about it. But that's my objection, is to relevance. And it's also quite clearly hearsay. I understand we're at a motion to suppress, and that's the Court's discretion on that. But I just don't understand the relevance of moving entire articles into evidence just because he read them. That's my objection.

MR. PRICE: Your Honor, Mr. McInvaille used these reports in the preparation of his reports. They are not being admitted for the truth of the matter even though we are under relaxed rules during our hearing here today. So we believe that it should be

in evidence. This is information that our expert relied on to draw his conclusions.

THE COURT: Well, I'm going to overrule the objection, but it's clear that we're not admitting it for the truth of what is in the article. It is a basis for the expert's testimony, and it cannot be admitted wholesale for the purpose of what the government is concerned about, which is that without any other testimony, we don't know anything about the study itself or how it was conducted. So it's really admitted as background information.

MR. PRICE: Thank you, Your Honor.

BY MR. PRICE:

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

- 14 Q Mr. McInvaille, can you tell us what your takeaway
 15 was from this report?
- A Sure. The idea here is that just a few data

 points are revealing of a person's identity is the

 gist.
- 19 Q Do you remember how many were sufficient in this 20 case?
- 21 A I believe they say four data points can tell you about a person.
- Q So how long have you been working with location data?
- 25 A When I began working violent crimes. So probably

- 1 \parallel eight or nine years.
- 2 Q And in your experience, is that correct, the
- 3 report's conclusion about the number of data points
- 4 necessary on average to find somebody?
- 5 A I mean, it's -- not always is four points
- 6 indicative of it, but yes, it can be. It depends on
- 7 those points and what they tell you, but yes.
- 8 Q So, for instance, in this case, you previously
- 9 created a video visualizing the geofence data for
- 10 three users; is that correct?
- 11 A Yes, that was the Stage 2 return. So that
- 12 contextual data for some of those users.
- 13 | Q I'd like to show you what's been marked as Defense
- 14 | Exhibit 5. Is this the video you created?
- 15 A Yes.
- 16 \parallel Q Can you tell us what you did to create it?
- 17 A Yes. So, just using the latitude and longitude
- 18 \parallel here so that you can understand the paths moving,
- 19 | again, there are display radiuses that go along with
- 20 | these, but this is more to just understand the general
- 21 movement of these three devices and how they related
- 22 from -- here what you see in the very beginning of
- 23 each is where they fell within the geofence. And then
- 24 | as it moves along, it shows you where they were
- 25 before, during, and then after the geofence for that

- 1 \parallel period of time.
 - Q Thank you.

- 3 MR. PRICE: Your Honor, I'd like to move this 4 video into evidence.
- THE COURT: No objection, is there?
- 6 MR. DUFFEY: No, Your Honor.
- 7 THE COURT: It's admitted.
- 8 (Defense Exhibit No. 5 is admitted into
- 9 evidence.)
- 10 BY MR. PRICE:
- 11 | Q Can we go ahead and play the video and have you
- 12 describe slowly what is the happening here?
- 13 A Sure.
- 14 (Video is played.)
- 15 A So, again, you have the -- this is the initial
- 16 \parallel return for this user. So the user ID is in the top
- 17 left corner, and it shows you where they began in the
- 18 warrant return.
- 19 THE COURT: I'm going to put on the record
- 20 | it's not identifying as to an individual; right? This
- 21 is the Google number?
- MR. PRICE: No, Your Honor. We previously --
- 23 | that's a time stamp that you're looking at there.
- 24 That's the number that you see. And for
- 25 | identification purposes, we've been referring to this

1 user or we had in the past as Mr. Green.

in this residential area.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2 THE COURT: All right. Mr. Green. Got it. 3 So in answering that question, the user here starts at this hospital here. And as you will see, 4 they leave that location and travel south towards the 5 geofence. You can see generally the path that they 6 7 take, and as they travel south, it continues down to a residential area where it finally ends and the -- as 8 9 far as the data goes that we were provided ends there

- Q What does it tell you about what -- what do those cluster of dots over a house tell you?
- A Sure. So you notice that those dots end up stopping at a given point. So here they kind of cluster around a house or a few houses here in this one area, meaning that the --

THE COURT: You're going to have to use phrases other than "in this one area," because we have a written record.

THE WITNESS: Sorry.

THE COURT: Because we have a written record.

THE WITNESS: Understood.

A So you see that the path had traveled down to this residential area here on the map. There is Decoy Lane is shown. So you see that it's at an address on Decoy

- Lane or at least, you know, would be located very
 close in proximity to a few of these houses here on
- 3 Decoy Lane.
- 4 Q Were you able to determine whose residence that is?
- A I think you could. I looked at tax records and things like that for these houses in the area to take a look and see if based on knowing just the location, that you could possibly determine names for people
- Q I won't ask you to put the name on the record, but
 for Mr. Green, were you able to identify his likely

there in that specific location.

13 identity?

- A I was able to find some names for people from that
 residence for tax records. So, you know, I don't know
 that they are positively identified, but yes, there's
 information available for records for that area.
- 18 Q Would law enforcement have access to the same sort of information?
- 20 A Yes, this is publicly available information. You can search it on the internet.
- Q Thank you. Can we resume the video and talk about
 Mr. Blue?
- A So, again, blue -- starting here, this would have been the point that was returned for Stage 1 for that

device, and then we will see it move into the Stage 2 portion. So before, during, and after the geofence.

So you'll see to the bottom left here underneath the geofence there's the apartment complex just to the south. I don't recall the specific name of it, but it's just to the south off of Price Club Drive. So you see that the user's device is located in that complex and then begins to move outside of the complex up north to Hull Street before traveling some more.

THE COURT: Can you identify where it starts?

You said it starts here in the geofence. What is
that?

THE WITNESS: So the first point that was referenced from Stage 1 for that user, the point given, the estimated latitude and longitude, was there at the church, the Journey Christian Church.

- Q Is that the first point in time or just the one that you got first?
- A I believe that's the point that was given for that user for the Stage 1. And then now you're also seeing the Stage 2 portion of that.
- 22 0 So this user starts where?
 - A At the apartment complex just to the south of the geofence. So located along the southern side of Price Club Drive just south of the geofence.

- Q And then what happens after he leaves his house?
- 2 It looks like there's a point right inside the church.
- 3 A Yes. So you see that at some point during the
- 4 video right here, it moves from several points that
- 5 | fall in the apartment complex and then begins to move
- 6 outward as if it's moving from the apartments to Hull
- 7 Street, which would, you know, the likely path would
- 8 take you past the -- down Price Club Drive and past
- 9 the geofence.
- 10 THE COURT: Past what?
- 11 THE WITNESS: The geofence.
- 12 BY MR. PRICE:
- 13 \mathbb{Q} So, in other words, this may be an example of a
- 14 | false positive?
- 15 A It's possible.
- 16 Q Could you explain why?
- 17 A So, of course, you see the point there on top of
- 18 \parallel the church which falls within the fence, which is how
- 19 \parallel you get included. If this user was passing by and the
- 20 estimate was incorrect, if we assume that they were
- 21 driving down Price Club Drive and didn't enter the
- 22 parking lot and only continued down the roadway, which
- 23 \parallel was not included, if the estimate was incorrect and
- 24 \parallel thought that the device actually did travel through
- 25 the geofence, then this person was included even

- 1 though they did not pass through the geofence.
- 2 Q How would that happen in terms of the location
- 3 data? Was this a Wi-Fi or a GPS point?
- 4 \blacksquare A I'm not sure, but it would be based off of a --
- 5 just due to the estimate, and its just inherent
- 6 inability to perfectly place someone on earth.
- 7 Q So where does Mr. Blue wind up at the end of the
- 8 day?
- 9 A Sure. So you see it travel south. It appears to
- 10 | travel to another residence in this area. I'm not
- 11 sure of the road name here, but it ends up in this
- 12 portion moving down to a more spread out residential
- 13 area just south of where the geofence was and clusters
- 14 around a single residence there before, I believe,
- 15 moving back north again.
- 16 THE COURT: It's near the intersection of --
- 17 | is it Alberta Road, counsel?
- 18 THE WITNESS: Yes, I see Albert Road. I was
- 19 going to try to annotate it. Yeah, they're just south
- 20 | of where Alberta Road intersects with this other
- 21 street.
- MS. KOENIG: Your Honor, Mr. McInvaille can
- 23 \parallel touch the screen and actually circle it so that it's
- 24 clear for everybody.
- 25 \blacksquare THE COURT: It's three dots. The more we can

- tie it to something, somebody else looking at it can find it, we need to do that. All right.
- 3 BY MR. PRICE:

residence?

- Q So this is where the cluster ends for the records that we have?
- A No, I believe it moves back north, but this is
 where they cluster for just a moment.
- Q And would law enforcement be able to do anything
 with the information about the location of that
 residence? Would they be able to identify the likely
 - A Yeah, it's possible this is clustered enough on that location that you would believe that that device did travel to that address. These are a little more these homes are a little more disbursed than the ones we spoke about on Decoy Lane. But, again, you could assume based on what you see here that that device traveled to that location. And, again, you can use publicly available information as well as law enforcement has other information at their disposal that, yeah, you could likely determine who would reside at that residence.
- Q Thank you. All right. Can we resume play here and talk about Ms. Yellow?
- \blacksquare A Yes. This is just the ending of what you see for

blue. Blue returns to the apartment complex located
along Price Club Drive. Looks like Mallard Landing
Circle, that area, is where it comes back to. That's
where it started before it traveled to that residence
we just spoke about and now it has returned there.

So you have yellow is displayed now. So yellow shows you the points that were given in Stage 1.

Those points fall -- one falls just outside of the bank. Others fall on top of the bank.

So now it's moved to the point in time before the geofence. So we're again before everything, we're here at another residential area. These points seem to center around one residence, and then will eventually move as the data plays through.

THE COURT: Can you say where the residence is, what street?

THE WITNESS: Right around Buffalo Spring

Drive. There's an intersection there. It's a

residential home close to that intersection.

THE COURT: Okay.

BY MR. PRICE:

- Q How many dots are sitting on top of one residence there?
- 24 A I'm not sure. It's a few. And then it moves
 25 north to a school that's up the road from the

residence. The school is located along Bailey's

Bridge Road. So after what appears to be a stop at

the school, it continues north to where you finally
see this device inside the geofence.

After the geofence, it moves out of the geofence up Hull Street to some of the business area there before returning back to that same residential area from where it started.

- Q So based on this information, were you able to identify Ms. Yellow?
- A To an extent. I was able to see who owned the home that those points clustered around. And also just doing research into those names learned some more information about those people that's consistent with what you see in some of that contextual video.
- Q Were you able to find social media about that individual?

A Yes. So the -- looking at -- looking for just publicly available social media stuff for the people listed for that residence that the data clustered around, you could also see that they had a school-aged child, that they were just recently married. So you could learn a little bit. You see that the school was possibly -- you know, that a stop was made at the school. So it coincides with just some of the readily

- 1 available information.
- 2 Q And the key for you here was which dots?
- 3 A So, again, the residential dots, the residence
- 4 | tells you, hey, there's, you know, that this may be
- 5 the specific location because they're so clustered in
- 6 that area, that that's the likely location, as well as
- 7 | the school, the school being another reference point
- 8 | just to understand something about someone. Of course
- 9 you saw the bank, as well. The bank's the center of
- 10 this.
- 11 So there was, you know, three locations there.
- 12 \parallel Then if you look, some of those other businesses could
- 13 have been traveled to as well in that area after the
- 14 | bank before traveling back home. So there's a few
- 15 locations within that that could be telling of a
- 16 person.
- 17 Q So the most important ones for you, though, were
- 18 **∥** which in ascertaining Ms. Yellow's identity?
- 19 A If you were going to try and figure out who that
- 20 person is, the home, the bank, and the school would be
- 21 probably the most beneficial locations.
- 22 Q So three points?
- 23 A Sure.
- 24 Q And would this data be as identifying to you --
- 25 dentifiable to law enforcement as it was to you?

- A Sure. I mean anybody that could take a look at
- 2 some of this data could learn something from it.
- Q They would have access to the same tax records that you had access to?
- 5 A Yes, that's publicly available.
- 6 Q And social media?
- 7 A Yes.

9

10

11

20

21

22

23

24

- THE COURT: I'm going to interrupt you. I know I asked you not to repeat too much, but is it the case that an earlier version of this video had lines about where the folks went in realtime or not?
- THE WITNESS: No, I don't know the specific

 path. You can kind of understand the path, but no, I

 couldn't pick out the individual paths.
- 15 THE COURT: Fine. Just making sure.
- 16 BY MR. PRICE:
- 17 Q That's all we have on this exhibit. I want to
 18 shift gears a little bit now and talk to you about the
 19 opt-in method or Location History.
 - THE COURT: All right. So I'm actually going to take a break. We've been here awhile. Folks need to stretch. I have that it's 11:06. I'll give us 15 minutes. And so that would be 11:21.
 - You, sir, of course, will remain under oath, and you can't talk to anybody about your testimony,

- nor can any other witness. And we'll come back and hit this new topic in 15 minutes. All right?
- 3 MR. PRICE: Thank you, Your Honor.
- 4 THE COURT: Okay.
- 5 (Recess taken from 11:06 a.m. to 11:21 a.m.)
- 6 THE COURT: All right, sir. Obviously,
- 7 you're still under oath, and we'll continue your
- 9 I should

testimony.

- other folks have joined. If anybody is here on the

 AT&T line, we welcome you. I need to remind you that

 our local rule, Criminal Rule 53, and our standing

 order prohibits anybody from recording or broadcasting

 or telecasting this proceeding in any way. We have a
- 15 court reporter here who is creating what will be the
- 16 official court record.
- 17 BY MR. PRICE:
- 18 Q All right. Mr. McInvaille, I want to talk with
- 19 you a little bit about the opt-in method for Location
- 20 History in this case. As a part of your work in this
- 21 | case, you reviewed the declarations of Marlo McGriff?
- 22 A That's correct.
- 23 | Q I'd like to show you what's been marked as Defense
- 24 Exhibit 23. Can you tell us what this is?
- 25 \blacksquare A Yes. This is labeled the "Third Declaration of

- 1 Marlo McGriff."
- 2 Q And you reviewed it in preparation for your
- 3 testimony today?
- 4 A I have.
- 5 MR. PRICE: I would move to admit this into 6 evidence, Your Honor.
- 7 MR. DUFFEY: No objection.
- 8 THE COURT: It will be admitted.
- 9 (Defense Exhibit No. 23 is admitted into
- 10 | evidence.)
- 11 BY MR. PRICE:
- 12 Q So do you know when Location History was first
- 13 | enabled on Mr. Chatrie's account?
- 14 A Based on this declaration, yes.
- 15 Q When was that and how do you know?
- 16 \parallel A It's indicated here. They provided the audit
- 17 | report from Google, which indicates when the -- when
- 18 \parallel the activation of location or the enabling of Location
- 19 \parallel History occurred. There are times listed on here.
- 20 | Q And do you remember what time it was enabled on
- 21 \parallel his account? I know we're having an issue here.
- 22 There we go.
- 23 MS. KOENIG: Sorry. I'm getting there. Here
- 24 we go.
- 25 \blacksquare A It was on July 9, 2018. And that was at 04:09

- 1 UTC. So in the a.m. for, of course, in UTC time zone.
- 2 Q What is UTC?
- 3 A UTC is a time standard. So it's just a thing that
- 4 we reference time off of. It's used for many
- 5 different types of records and everything, but, again,
- 6 | it's just a concept of time that we can reference
- 7 local times off of.
- $8 \parallel Q$ So it was enabled at 4:09 UTC. I want to draw
- 9 your attention to a line on page 2 of this
- 10 | declaration. It says -- can you read the highlighted
- 11 part for us?
- 12 A Yes. So on this same page, Section C, "The user
- 13 | opted in to LH," Location History, "either through
- 14 \parallel device settings or through a Google application on the
- 15 Samsung device."
- 16 \parallel Q So can you translate that for us? What does that
- 17 mean?
- 18 A Yes. So to enable Location History for an account
- 19 to gather Location History for a device, you must
- 20 enable Location History. And there's two prescribed
- 21 | ways of doing this. It's either through opening up
- 22 the settings application on the device, logging in,
- 23 | and opting in to Location History through that method
- $24 \parallel$ or when prompted through a supported Google
- 25 application.

- Q Could it have been enabled during the initial setup of the phone?
- 3 A Based on the time that Google is giving us, no, it
- 4 would not have been enabled at setup.
- 5 Q Why not?
- 6 A Setup occurred July 2nd of 2018. This is, of
- 7 course, July 9, 2018. So it was after the phone is
- 8 setup.
- 9 Q Have you had an opportunity to examine Mr.
- 10 | Chatrie's cell phone in this case?
- 11 A I have.
- 12 \parallel Q And you produced a report detailing that
- 13 | examination; correct?
- 14 A I did.
- 15 Q I'd like to show you what's marked as Defense
- 16 Exhibit 6. What is this?
- 17 A This is my report of the examination of the
- 18 device.
- 19 MR. PRICE: Your Honor, I'd like to move this
- 20 into evidence.
- 21 THE COURT: Any objection?
- 22 MR. DUFFEY: No, Your Honor.
- 23 \blacksquare THE COURT: All right. It will be entered.
- 24 MR. PRICE: Thank you, Your Honor.
- 25 Defense Exhibit No. 6 is admitted into

- 1 evidence.)
- 2 BY MR. PRICE:
- Q Can you tell us, Mr. McInvaille, how you examined
- 4 | the phone? What did you use to examine the phone?
- 5 A So I went to the FBI office and was given access
- 6 to the device, and using Celebrite software I was able
- 7 to download or extract the data from the device so
- 8 that it could be examined.
- 9 Q Were you able to determine anything from that
- 10 **|** extraction about how Location History was first
- 11 enabled?
- 12 A I was able to.
- 13 Q What did your determine?
- 14 \blacksquare A So, in my analysis, what I was looking for was
- 15 | activity that corresponded with the timing of what
- 16 | Google indicated was the activation or enabling of
- 17 Location History. Through that, through my analysis
- 18 \parallel of the data that I extracted, I was able to locate the
- 20 | within just, I believe, a minute and a half or two
- 21 | minutes of just prior to Location History being
- 22 enabled.
- 23 \parallel Q Can you tell us when exactly Assistant was
- 24 | installed? You said it was a couple minutes.
- 25 A Yes, I believe on UTC, it would have been 04:06

- 1 and some seconds, some milliseconds.
- 2 Q So it was about two minutes apart?
- 3 A Roughly, yes.
- 4 Q What else, if anything, was happening on the phone
- 5 at that time?
- 6 A Based on my analysis, I didn't see much occurring
- 7 during that time. If I recall correctly, the only
- 8 | thing very close in proximity to that was Google
- 9 Assistant. I believe any activity prior to that was a
- 10 | few hours before, and then the -- I believe the only
- 11 other Google application interaction that showed up
- 12 around that time frame was, I believe, 12 hours later,
- 13 | if not more.
- 14 \parallel Q Did you -- I know this took you quite sometime.
- 15 Did you look at anything else on the phone? How did
- 16 \parallel you determine what else was going on on the phone at
- 17 | that time?
- 18 \parallel A So, to ensure that I feel I had adequately looked
- 19 \parallel at all the data, Celebrite, what it does is it takes
- 20 | the data that's extracted --
- 21 THE COURT: Can you spell that for our court
- 22 reporter who's not looking at your report, please.
- 23 THE WITNESS: Cellebrite,
- 24 C-E-L-L-E-B-R-I-T-E.
- 25 A So using the physical analyzer software and

reviewing the data extracted, that software, what it attempts to do is take the data you have given it and turn it into something that we all can read.

So it parses that information out. Not always is every detail parsed because of changes in software supporting certainly applications and the level of detail. Oftentimes, you can look deeper into that data into the databases to find other artifacts that could be helpful. Dates and times, locations, just bits of information that can give you a better understanding of what it is you're looking at. So I also try to look into that to see if I can locate anything further that maybe wasn't readily available.

Again, my conclusion was that Google Assistant was pretty much the only thing that I could find that was occurring on the device during that time period.

- Q You were able to find evidence of -- what were you able to find evidence of during that time?
- 19 A That the application was installed to the device 20 at that time.
- 21 Q And you said you were able to draw a conclusion 22 about how Mr. Chatrie likely enabled Location History?
- A That's correct. So based on my understanding of Google Assistant, Location History, and this
- 25 extraction, with those items occurring, so the

installation of the application occurring, as well as the activation of Location History just a minute or so after that, knowing that through the initial setup of certain applications through Google that they will prompt you to enable Location History, it's my conclusion that that is what would have activated or been the method of activating Location History at that time.

Q Thank you. So I want to talk about what that opt-in screen would have looked like in Assistant.

And I'll turn your attention to the setup process for Google Assistant. Can you explain in a little more detail how that works?

A So when you -- when the application is on the device, generally people will activate that application by long pressing the home button. It's meant to be a convenience feature. So it opens the application.

During most applications, upon first use of really any application, there are certain things you have to do to set those applications up so that you can use them; preferences, permissions, those types of things.

So those would be things that would be prompted to the user as you begin to use your app for the first time.

- 1 Q Why is that process important in this case?
- 2 A Well, in this case, the reason that it's important
- 3 is, of course, the only way that you are captured in
- 4 this warrant that we have here is to have Location
- $5 \parallel$ History enabled. So that function is critical in all
- 6 of this. So without it, you will not be found within
- 7 | the warrant. So knowing if Location History is on or
- 8 off, when it was activated, those things are important
- 9 in this matter.
- 10 | Q Did you attempt to determine what Mr. Chatrie
- 11 | likely saw when he set up Google Assistant for the
- 12 | first time?
- 13 A Yes, I've tried to understand that, you know, the
- 14 2018 method of opt-in procedures.
- 15 Q You actually prepared a supplemental report all
- 16 about this?
- 17 A Yes.
- 18 MR. PRICE: Can we bring up Defense Exhibit
- 19 7.
- 20 BY MR. PRICE:
- 21 Q What is this?
- 22 A This is the supplemental report that discusses the
- 23 opt-in.
- MR. PRICE: Your Honor, I'd like to move this
- 25 into evidence.

THE COURT: Any objection?

MR. DUFFEY: This is Exhibit 7?

THE COURT: Exhibit 7, yes.

MR. DUFFEY: No objection.

THE COURT: It will be entered.

(Defense Exhibit No. 7 is admitted into

evidence.)

BY MR. PRICE:

Q So tell us, how did you learn about this process and what did you do first?

A So I looked at Android devices to try and get an understanding of what that procedure would be. The issue with trying to recreate some of these things is that software changes over time. Those updates when you're setting up these devices often automatically happen if you have them connected to Wi-Fi, which is kind of a critical piece of actually setting up the device as a normal person would.

So it kind of left me with the inability to see the 2018 or a confident way of knowing that back in 2018 this is what it would have looked like. So instead of being able to recreate, I turned to try and find information contemporaneous to that time to help me understand what that would have looked like at that time.

- 1 Q So you tried to recreate it, but that didn't work?
- 2 A Yeah, they just -- it looks different. The setup
- 3 process is different than what it was. There's
- 4 | features that are different. It's just not the --
- 5 from what I see from research and then trying to
- 6 recreate it, they don't look the same, and I didn't
- 7 | feel it would be an accurate representation.
- 8 Q Does it matter which phone you try and do this on?
- 9 A No. From everything that I know about this is
- 10 | that the Android, and across the devices generally,
- 11 \parallel should be the same as far as this portion of the
- 12 setup.
- 13 \parallel Q So unable to re-create it, what did you do next?
- 14 \parallel A So that's when I turned to information that I
- 15 could find that was more in that time period of people
- 16 \parallel setting up these devices and showing what that
- 17 | information actually looked like in 2018 versus now.
- 18 \parallel Q And did you, through defense investigation, become
- 19 aware of any other information?
- 20 A Yes. So there were several articles or studies
- 21 | that covered, you know, screenshots and different
- 22 information that would help you understand what those
- 23 \parallel setup procedures looked like for the phone or
- 24 applications, things of that nature.
- 25 Q All right. So, let's talk about what you found.

- Were any of those particularly helpful to you? Which ones?
- 3 A I referenced three of the items in my supplemental
- 4 report. There was an article and then two studies
- 5 that showed pictures of the screens as they set
- 6 devices up. And based on the information that they
- 7 provided, you could see that these were Android
- 8 devices with similar, from our understanding, similar
- 9 operating systems and things and also were around this
- 10 relevant time period.
- 11 Q So what was the first article that you came cross
- 12 | that you found to be helpful?
- 13 A I don't know that it's the first. It's the first
- 14 \parallel that I reference here. But it's from the Quartz. And
- 15 | the article talks about Location History as one of
- 16 \parallel the -- as the topic of the article.
- 17 Q What is Quarts?
- 18 THE COURT: Spell it.
- MR. PRICE: Q-u-a-r-t-z.
- 20 THE COURT: Thank you.
- 21 \blacksquare A They have editors and people who write articles.
- 22 | It seems to kind of pertain around technology and
- 23 different items.
- 24 | Q All right. I'd like to show you what's been
- 25 marked as Defense Exhibit 48. What are we looking at

- 1 here?
- 2 A That appears to be that article. Yes, that's the
- 3 article.
- 4 Q So this is the article where you got the
- 5 screenshots from for your report?
- 6 A Yes, lower in the report, in the article. Yeah,
- 7 | it was the Google Assistant permissions screen that I
- 8 found.
- 9 Q Thank you.
- 10 MR. PRICE: And I would like to move this
- 11 into evidence, as well, Your Honor.
- 12 THE COURT: Any objection?
- MR. DUFFEY: Judge, same objection as the
- 14 | other article. If they're moving in, I guess, not for
- 15 | the truth, then I don't know what the relevance would
- 16 be.
- 17 THE COURT: I'm going to overrule it. It's
- 18 the basis of his opinion.
- MR. DUFFEY: For the record, our objection is
- 20 as to relevance. The point of the article doesn't
- 21 \parallel seem to me to be the opt-in process. I understand
- 22 he's relied on some of it, and he's put that into his
- 23 | report, and he can certainly talk about it, but we
- 24 object to the entire article. And I understand the
- 25 Court's ruling.

THE COURT: All right. I'm going to make essentially the same ruling, which is that you can argue the weight of the evidence, certainly, and cross-examine the expert with respect to it, but because, at least in part, it served as the basis for his expertise, it is admissible to that degree. 6

MR. PRICE: Thank you, Your Honor.

(Government's Exhibit No. 48 is admitted into evidence.)

BY MR. PRICE:

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So in addition to the Quartz screenshots, did you find any others? What was the next one that you cited in your report?

THE COURT: Now, wait a minute. Now, he said there is the Quartz screenshot right there in that article. Would you like to identify for the record where it is?

MR. PRICE: We will certainly try, Your Honor. I'm not sure that there are page numbers. It is on page 6 of the PDF itself.

THE COURT: Why don't you identify what's on -- like, does it say, for instance, Google Maps, Google app? That are words and headings that help.

MR. PRICE: There is a heading that says "Google Assistant." And there is a screenshot below

- that which has a blue bar on top and says "Give your new assistant permission to help you."
- THE COURT: Perfect. That's great. Thanks.

 4 BY MR. PRICE:
- Q Mr. McInvaille, so this is one of the screenshots
 that you believed might be similar to the one that the
 user would have seen in July of 2018?
- 8 A Yes, it's similar.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

THE COURT: Why don't you just put on the record why. Is this article dated?

THE WITNESS: Yes, I believe January of 2018 was the date. The reason that it's similar is, again, you see a similar layout, give permission for your assistant to help you. Similar wording. There are some differences in some of them as they move along, but as far as the structure and the question that is being posed to the user, they are similar.

THE COURT: Similar to what?

MR. PRICE: I think we're going to try and compare the different screenshots here, Your Honor.

So I just want to have all three so that we can talk about their similarities and differences.

THE COURT: Okay.

- BY MR. PRICE:
- 25 Q But, Mr. McInvaille, can you please read what it

- 1 says there under "Location History," just so we have 2 it?
- A Sure. So on "Give your new assistant permission to help you" is the kind of header of this permission screen. It tells you the -- it will tell you the account that you're using as it's asking permission to activate certain things for that account.

And then you have Location History, device information, Voice & Audio Activity are the permissions that are being asked to be given. Each of those topics have their own description and expansion arrows.

Location History indicates that you're giving permission to -- it creates a private map of where you go with your signed in device.

Device information. It includes contacts, calendars, apps, music, battery life, and sensor readings.

And then voice and audio activity. Records your voice, audio input, to help recognize your voice and improve speech recognition.

- Q Thank you. I'd like to move on and look at the next screenshot that you found that you included in your report. Which one was that?
- 25 A Sure. This is from a study from Oracle. Oracle

is a technology company, a computer science company, I believe. They show the Google Assistant process, but they also show the previous screen to what you see from the article that we just mentioned from Quartz.

So they're showing you both the initial screen that you see when you open Google Assistant for the first time to set it up, as well as the subsequent permission screen that we just discussed.

The information contained with those, besides the account, of course, because these are two different people setting this up, the other substance is the same here for these two screens.

So, first, you have "Meet your Google Assistant."

It asks how it can help. And then you have to either skip that procedure and not completely set up or press "Next" to completely set up.

You press "Next," that's when it takes you to the permission screen that we just outlined prior to this. And so then you have another piece there at the bottom that was not covered because you couldn't read it, but the options that you have are "No, thanks" or "Yes, I'm in."

Q I'd like to show you --

THE COURT: Wait. Did you identify what document that came from, the Oracle?

- 1 MR. PRICE: That's what we're going to do 2 right now.
- THE COURT: Okay.
- 4 BY MR. PRICE:
- 5 **||** Q Can you tell us what we're looking at here?
- 6 A Sure. So this is the cover page of the Oracle
- 7 study. It's "Google's Advertising and" I believe that
- 8 says "Data Dominance."
- 9 \square Q Is this the document where you got those
- 10 screenshots from?
- 11 A That's correct.
- 12 | Q You reviewed it in putting together your report?
- 13 A I did.
- MR. PRICE: I'd like to move it into
- 15 evidence, Your Honor.
- 16 THE COURT: What number is it?
- MS. KOENIG: Sorry. This is Defense Exhibit
- 18 10.
- 19 MR. DUFFEY: Judge, same objection. I'd also
- 20 point out Oracle is in protracted litigation with
- 21 | Google. They are adverse to Google. I guess that
- 22 goes to the weight, but I'm still objecting to
- 23 | relevance, and I'm objecting to hearsay for the entire
- 24 report to come in.
- 25 THE COURT: All right. Well, for the same

limited purpose, I am going to admit the exhibit. And you can argue the weight of the evidence, including you can cross-examine about the fact that Oracle is litigating against Google for perhaps a bias of the report. All of that can be part of the record. And then it will be introduced for that limited purpose.

(Defense Exhibit No. 10 is admitted into evidence.)

THE COURT: So, Mr. Price, I'm going to tell you, for us who don't know what you're doing, if you're showing a screenshot, you're really having the witness testify from something that's not in evidence yet. So unless you refer to where the screenshot is in the report, so we know where you got it from, it would be not proper for him to be testifying from the Oracle document yet.

So if you say what part of the page of the report that you're using the screenshot, that helps those of us who don't know in advance what you're doing to understand what you're doing.

I was presuming, I'll tell you, that that screenshot was from this report, and it wasn't in evidence yet. So we just -- we're not with you. So you have to be -- you have to go granular into where these documents are coming from. Okay?

- 1 MR. PRICE: I will, Your Honor.
- 2 Can we bring up the specific page in the
- 3 report, please.
- 4 BY MR. PRICE:
- 5 Q Mr. McInvaille, you don't happen to remember which
- 6 page it was on, do you?
- 7 A I don't.
- MR. PRICE: Apologies for the delay, Your
- 9 Honor.
- 10 We are going to move to withdraw Exhibit 10.
- 11 And we'd like to show Mr. McInvaille Defense Exhibit
- 12 11.
- 13 THE COURT: So Exhibit 10 is withdrawn. I
- 14 | want to be clear. Your exhibit list indicates that
- 15 | that's a June 2018 Oracle submission. And so maybe
- 16 \parallel also referring to it by date would be helpful.
- MR. PRICE: Yes, Your Honor. We are
- 18 \parallel referring to the September 2018 Oracle submission.
- 19 Apologies for the confusion.
- 20 BY MR. PRICE:
- 22 Exhibit 11. Can you tell us what this is?
- 23 \parallel A Yes. This is the correct exhibit for the
- 24 screenshot that I show in my report.
- 25 \parallel Q And what page of the Oracle submission does the

- 1 | screenshot appear on?
- 2 A The page number that I have is four here. And it
- 3 is -- the paragraph surrounding it starts with
- 4 | "Continuing through the Android smartphone setup
- 5 process."
- 6 Q And this is where you got the screenshot for your
- 7 report?
- 8 A That's correct, the screenshot that we're
- 9 referring to in the report came from this section
- 10 here.
- 11 Q Thank you.
- MR. PRICE: Your Honor, I'd like to move this
- 13 | into evidence, Exhibit 11.
- 14 THE COURT: Mr. Duffey.
- MR. DUFFEY: Same objection as before, Judge.
- 17 | for the limited purpose, not for the truth of the
- 18 \parallel matter, with the government's concern for the weight,
- 19 \parallel but as a basis for this expert's opinion, it will be
- 20 admitted.
- 21 \blacksquare (Defense Exhibit No. 11 is admitted into
- 22 | evidence.)
- 23 BY MR. PRICE:
- 24 Q How does this screenshot compare to the one from
- 25 Quartz that we just talked about?

- A Yeah. So, again, this is -- it gives you the

 prior screen to the permission screen. So the "Meet

 your Google Assistant" screen where you can make two

 options of either "Skip" or "Next," "Next" being the

 one that takes you to the permission screen that we

 outlined previously with the different paragraphs or

 the different explanations.
- 8 Q Is there any difference in the text as far as
 9 you're aware?
- 10 A No. The Quartz article screenshot and this
 11 screenshot is consistent. They are consistent with
 12 each other as far as wording.
 - Q Okay. Thank you. I want to move on to that third set of screenshots that you found. And I want to show you Defense Exhibit 27. Can we look at your report, and can you show us that third set of screenshots?

 Can you tell us what these are?
 - A Yes. So another set of screenshots from a different study. This study is from the Norwegian Consumer Council. Again, taking you through kind of what the setup process looks like for Google Assistant. These were from the June time period of 2018.
 - Q Where did you get these from?

25 A The Norwegian Consumer Council. I don't know if

- 1 you consider it like Better Business Bureau. It's a
- 2 consumer reporting agency. It's funded by the
- 3 Norwegian Government to educate consumers on different
- 4 products.
- $5 \parallel Q$ What did they do relevant to this case?
- 6 A Sure. So they're showing Google features as far
- 7 as setup processes, requests, and permissions, and it
- 8 resolves around data collection.
- 9 Q Do they publish anything?
- 10 \blacksquare A Yes, they publish a study on their findings in
- 11 different data collected by Google.
- 12 | Q So I would now like to show you what's been marked
- 13 as Defense Exhibit 27. Is this the -- well, what is
- 14 this?
- 15 A This is an article. It's titled "Every Step you
- 17 Norwegian -- the name of their agency, but it's the --
- 18 \parallel they call it the Norwegian Consumer Council.
- 19 THE COURT: How about you spell it? It's on
- 20 | the diagram; right?
- 21 THE WITNESS: Bottom right of the page. It's
- 22 \parallel F-O-R-B-R-U-K-E-R-R-A-D-E-T.
- 23 \parallel Q And this is the document where you got those
- 24 screenshots from?
- 25 A Yes.

- 1 Q Can you tell us which page you found them on?
- 2 A Working on it. There is an -- it's page 19 of 44.
- 3 It's titled or the header is "Enabling Google
- 4 Assistant," and you see screenshots there for Google
- 5 Assistant.
- Q And you used these screenshots to prepare your
- 7 report?
- 8 A Yes, I believe there's actually a section with
- 9 more of those expanded.
- THE COURT: Sir, did you say "I believe
- 11 | there's more explained"? Are you looking for more
- 12 documents?
- 13 THE WITNESS: No. Inside the -- inside the
- 14 \parallel document there's another section with, I believe, more
- 15 screenshots just underlying the same thing. I pointed
- 16 \parallel out one of the sections that had some of those
- 17 screenshots in it. I was trying to make sure that I
- 18 referenced all of the places where the --
- 19 THE COURT: So you haven't told us about the
- 20 other ones yet.
- 21 THE WITNESS: Ma'am?
- 22 THE COURT: You haven't told us about the
- 23 other ones yet?
- THE WITNESS: No. I'm just trying to see
- 25 where they were in the document, what page they were

1 on.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

THE COURT: In the meantime, Mr. Price, are you moving this into evidence?

4 MR. PRICE: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Any objection?

MR. DUFFEY: Yes, Judge. Same objection as before, irrelevance under hearsay.

THE COURT: All right. I'm going to overrule for the same reasons.

(Government's Exhibit No. 27 is admitted into evidence.)

THE COURT: Can we just put on the record the date of this report? I think it's on the first page.

THE WITNESS: Yes. November 27, 2018.

THE COURT: Thank you.

16 BY MR. PRICE:

17 Q Did you receive any additional information from the --

19 THE COURT: He's still looking for pages.

20 Right?

21 THE WITNESS: That question will answer that

22 issue.

25

THE COURT: Okay.

24 BY MR. PRICE:

Q Have you reviewed any additional screenshots

- 1 provided by the Norwegian Consumer Council?
- 2 A Yes. In their report, you see the page that I
- 3 referenced. I believe it was page 19. There were
- 4 more screenshots available than what is just displayed
- 5 on page 19. It expands upon some of these expansion
- 6 arrows and just gives some more detail.
- 7 Q And you've seen those?
- 8 A I have.
- 9 Q Where did they come from?
- 10 A They were provided to me by counsel.
- 11 \square Q And they were what in relation to this report?
- 12 A So, they were the underlying information that the
- 13 counsel used to create this. So it just -- it's more
- 14 \parallel of the screenshots, more of the detailed shots that go
- 15 along with this explanation.
- 16 \parallel Q And you reviewed those for your report?
- 17 A I did.
- 18 MR. PRICE: Can we pull up the third set of
- 19 screenshots from your report?
- 20 BY MR. PRICE:
- 21 \parallel Q These are the screenshots you obtained directly
- 22 | from the Norwegian Consumer Council?
- 23 \parallel A Yes, that's where they were obtained from.
- 24 THE COURT: Do you want to refer to a page in
- 25 \parallel the report and what exhibit number it is?

- MR. PRICE: We are on page 5 of the supplemental report. So that would be Defense Exhibit 7.
- 4 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.
- 5 BY MR. PRICE:
- 6 Q Can you tell us what these screenshots show us?
- 7 A Sure. Again, these are more screenshots for the
- 8 setup for Google Assistant. These were helpful
- 9 because they just give more information than those we
- 10 saw in other articles. They expanded the expansion
- 11 arrows. They are also, from what we understand from
- 12 | Google's declaration, a more accurate version of what
- 13 we think would have been seen during the actual setup
- 14 \parallel of this device that we're talking about today.
- 15 0 When are these screenshots from?
- 16 \parallel A These screenshots were -- I believe it was July 2.
- 17 Yeah. Some were taken in August. Some were taken in
- 18 July.
- 19 \parallel Q So there are two sets here, two sets of
- 20 | screenshots. One that we're looking at now on page 4?
- 21 \blacksquare A Yes. Page 4 is the July 2 screenshots.
- 22 \parallel Q And then we have one more set. When are those
- 23 | from?
- 24 \parallel A August 9. And those are on page 5, Figure 4.
- 25 Q So I want to go up to the July one. Can you tell

- us how these screens differ from the Oracle and Quartz screens that we just talked about?
- 3 A So they look very similar as far as structure.
- 4 Really, the only difference is some of the wording
- 5 that you see as far as the descriptions of what
- 6 permissions are being given. You're still provided
- 7 with the same permission. So Location History, device
- 8 | information, Voice & Audio Activity, but the
- 9 explanation underneath each of those or the
- 10 explanations are different in these screenshots. And
- 11 as I understand from Google submissions, these are the
- 12 screens that the user would have seen during the setup
- 13 of this device.
- 14 Q Can you remind us, for the record, when Location
- 15 History was enabled?
- 16 \parallel A Location History in this case was July 9th of
- 17 2018. So a set of these were just before and another
- 18 set was just after.
- 20 which one was the likely one that was in place at that
- 21 | time?
- 22 A Again, these are close in time to the time that we
- 23 | know Location History was enabled. And also Google
- 24 | has submitted saying these are the screens that the
- 25 user would have seen at that time, as well. So that's

- why I lend more confidence to these being the true
- 2 depiction of the opt-in process.
- 3 Is the language on these screens consistent with the text in Mr. McGriff's affidavit? 4
- With Mr. McGriff's affidavit? 5
 - Yes.

- 7 THE COURT: Is it Mr. or Ms.? It's Marlo.
- MR. PRICE: Mr. 8
- 9 THE COURT: Marlo is Mr.?
- 10 MR. PRICE: Yes.
- 11 THE COURT: My apologies. Okay. Thank you.
- 12 Yes. So in Mr. McGriff's affidavit, he does show
- 13 a portion of these screens or he doesn't show a
- 14 screenshot, but the text from it. So the give your
- 15 permission, Location History, what it says under
- 16 Location History, which says "Saves where you go with
- 17 your devices." And then also the little footnote just
- 18 above the selections that you can make is included in
- 19 his affidavit or declaration.
- 20 So we have the Quartz and Oracle screenshots that
- say one thing. And those are from when? 21
- 22 As I recall, probably January of '18. That time
- 23 frame is my understanding.
- 24 The beginning of 2018?
- 25 Beginning of 2018.

- 1 Q And these are when relative to that?
- 2 A Mid 2018. July, August area.
- 3 Q The language changed between the Norwegian
- 4 screenshots and the Oracle and Quartz screenshots.
- 5 What does that tell you?
- 6 A That just tells me that Google made a change in
- 7 how they display this information to the user.
- 8 Q Can we scroll down to the August screenshots. So
- 9 there's a couple of buttons at the end there. What do
- 10 | those say?
- 11 A So, again, this screenshot is asking for
- 12 permission. It says "Give your new assistant
- 13 permission to help you." And then there are the three
- 14 | categories that you're providing permission for. And
- 15 then at the very bottom you have the choices of either
- 16 No, thanks or "Turn on."
- 17 Q Are the "No, thanks" and "Turn on," are those the
- 18 | same choices available in the Quartz and Oracle
- 19 screenshots?
- 20 | A No, I don't believe they're the same questions.
- 21 \parallel Q Instead it says -- looking at the Oracle one, what
- 22 does it say?
- 23 A "No, thanks" is one, and "Yes, I'm in" is the
- 24 other.
- 25 \parallel Q So that language there is different, as well?

- 1 A It is.
- 2 \parallel Q Tell me about the long press on an Android phone.
- 3 What does that do?
- 4 A Sure. So the buttons on the front lower portion
- 5 of the phone down where your thumb would normally be
- 6 if you were holding the phone, kind of where you would
- 7 speak into the phone, down there our phones now really
- 8 don't have buttons on the screen anymore. Everything
- 9 is touch screen. But in the center of an Android, or
- 10 most Androids, you have a home button. What you can
- 11 do is press, and it's called a long press. It's kind
- 12 of a press and hold of that button to activate Google
- 13 Assistant. So it will launch the application from
- 14 | that long press.
- 15 Q So if you press and hold the home button?
- 16 A Yes. It pops it up on the screen.
- 17 \parallel Q So, in your opinion, which set of screenshots is
- 18 the likely one that Mr. Chatrie would have seen?
- 19 A Those that we see, as in Figure 4, here in the
- 20 | report from August, that or the others in the previous
- 21 | figure. Both of those are confirmed by Google as
- 22 being the most likely screens that would have been
- 23 seen by the user during this time period.
- 24 Q Did you have an opportunity to compare these
- 25 screenshots with the screenshots that Mr. McGriff

- 1 provided in his declaration?
- 2 A Yes.
- 3 Q Those weren't for Google Assistant, though, were
- 4 they?
- 5 A No, it was just a Location History permission
- 6 request. I guess an opt-in screen for Location
- 7 History.
- 8 Q So, can you tell us how these screenshots differ
- 9 from the ones that Mr. McGriff provided in his
- 10 affidavit?
- 11 A So, Mr. McGriff's is -- I wouldn't call it so much
- 12 as a screenshot as it's just the plain text from what
- 13 \parallel you would see in the screen. So, again, in the
- 14 \parallel screenshots that we have here from these articles,
- 15 they kind of show you what the user would see in kind
- 16 \parallel of the way they would see as far as the screens.
- 17 Mr. McGriff's just holds the text.
- 19 THE WITNESS: Just the text.
- 20 THE COURT: Okay.
- 21 \blacksquare THE WITNESS: Instead of the actual screen,
- 22 | like pictures, he's showing more of just the words
- 23 that would have been displayed.
- 24 They're different just because in Mr.
- 25 McGriff's, he doesn't show you each of the options

- that are being given in some of these screenshots.

 He's only showing Location History and then that

 footnote that's provided underneath it, as well as
- 4 what options there are for either "No, thanks" or 5 "Turn on."
- 6 MR. PRICE: Perhaps we can pull up
 7 Mr. McGriff's affidavit.
- Q This is the third affidavit, and it has previously been marked as Exhibit --
- 10 THE COURT: 23.
- MR. PRICE: 23. Thank you.
- 12 Can we scroll down? All right.
- 13 BY MR. PRICE:

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- 14 \square Q This is the text. What are we looking at here?
- 15 A Sure. So it shows that the opt-in screen would
 16 contain the following text: Location History.
 - It has the "Saves where you go with your devices" text that is consistent with the screenshots that we were just looking at. And then it also has, like, the kind of footnote paragraph that's just above the options that you have. So "This data may be saved and used in your Google service where you were signed in to give you more personalized experiences. You can see your data, delete it, and change your settings at account.google.com." Then you have also the "No,

- 1 | thanks" and "Turn on" options there.
- 2 Q So in the actual screenshot, though, does the
- 3 | language appear that way visually?
- 4 A No. There's more in the screenshots of what the
- 5 user would see. So, again, they're asking permission
- 6 for Location History, as well as device information,
- 7 Voice & Audio Activity. There are other descriptions
- 8 and expansion areas. There's just more in the
- 9 screenshots that the user sees than what's displayed
- 10 there.
- 11 Q And that language you just read from Mr. McGriff's
- 12 \parallel affidavit about how the data may be saved and used in
- 13 | any Google service, where does that appear relative
- 14 | the Location History prompt?
- 15 \parallel A It's down the page. It's at the very bottom of
- 16 \parallel the screen where the options for the selections are.
- 17 Q How does it appear visually? Is it the same
- 18 \parallel darkness as the other language on the page?
- 19 A Is it the same -- I'm sorry?
- 20 | Q Font.
- 21 \parallel A I'm not sure. It does -- again, it's just the
- 22 words from the page. It's not the actual screenshots.
- 23 \parallel The screenshots that the user sees has different icon
- $24 \parallel$ descriptions. It's visually different and has some
- 25 content in the screenshots that's not in the

1 affidavit.

THE COURT: Okay. You're referring to the language in the affidavit, paragraph 7; correct? And now you've turned back to page 4 of Exhibit 7, which is your own report. Are you talking about Figure 4?

THE WITNESS: Yes, Figure 4, the picture to

the right. What I'm referring to is that it contains more information than what's put into the bottom page of Mr. McGriff's declaration.

THE COURT: Okay.

BY MR. PRICE:

Q What do you mean by "more information" here?

A Well, there is a request for more than just

Location History happening. There are more

15 descriptions of those other permissions that are being

requested. There are -- as well as expansion arrows

to open up and see what else is available to read.

It's just a little bit different than what you see in

his affidavit.

THE COURT: So, specifically, it says -- on the right-hand part of the screen, it has -- it says "Location History," and it has an icon next to it, and a line under it; "Device information," and an icon next to it and a line under it; "Voice & Audio Activity," and an icon next to it and a line under it.

And then it has, not as a footnote, it says, "This data may be saved and used in any Google service where you are signed in to give you more personalized experiences. You can see your data, delete it and change your settings at account.google.com"; correct?

That's what you're testifying to.

THE WITNESS: Yes.

THE COURT: So there are two more subsections than what is reflected in paragraph 7 of the third McGriff declaration?

THE WITNESS: That's right. There's two other permissions that you're being asked to provide permission to.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. PRICE: Thank you.

Can we bring up Mr. McGriff's affidavit one more time? I'd like to see the screenshots that he provides or maps.

19 BY MR. PRICE:

Q What are we looking at here?

A This is Mr. McGriff's declaration, page 7. These are screenshots from Google Maps.

THE COURT: This is Exhibit 23, McGriff

Declaration 3, since there are three of them. We're

looking at Exhibit 23; am I correct?

- MR. PRICE: Yes, Your Honor. Twenty-three, page 7.
- 3 THE COURT: Okay.

between these two?

now for Google Maps.

4 BY MR. PRICE:

8

18

19

20

21

- Q So, by comparison, comparing the Assistant setup

 screen and the Map setup screen, can you tell us along

 the lines of what you're saying, what is different
- 9 These, again, appear differently. They're asking 10 for similar permissions but appear differently. They actually have less permissions than what you're being 11 12 requested from for Google Assistant. Location History is one of those. It has the drop down. It also has 13 14 the line underneath it for "saves where you go with your devices." It also has the paragraph underneath 15 16 it that's just above the "No, thanks" and "Turn on" 17 buttons that you saw from previous requests but here
 - Q So there's one screen for maps, one set of permissions for Location History, and two options, "Turn on" or "No, thanks"?
- 22 A Correct.
- MR. PRICE: Can we go back to

 Mr. McInvaille's report, supplemental report, Exhibit

 7, page 5.

- 1 BY MR. PRICE:
- 2 Q By contrast here, how many permissions is Google
- 3 asking for?
- 4 A For Assistant, it's askings for three permissions.
- $5 \parallel Q$ What are the options at the bottom?
- 6 A "No, thanks" and "Turn on."
- 7 Q Does that apply to Location History?
- 8 A Yes.
- 9 Q Does it apply to device information?
- 10 A It applies there, too.
- 11 | Q Does it apply to Voice & Audio Activity?
- 12 \parallel A Yes. It applies to everything you see on the
- 13 screen. The request is for all three of those items
- 14 at once.
- 15 Q So what are the users options at that juncture?
- 16 \parallel A Either to turn it on or not turn it on.
- 17 Q Turn what on?
- 18 | A Location History, device information, Voice &
- 19 | Audio Activity.
- 20 | Q So either turn all three on or don't?
- 21 A Correct.
- 22 Q Those little upside down triangles, what are those
- 23 \parallel on the screen there that we're talking about? There
- 24 \parallel are three of them. One is next to Location History.
- 25 One is next to device information. One is next to

- 1 Voice & Audio Activity.
- 2 A They were referred to in some of the -- in the
- 3 declarations as expansion arrows. It just expands the
- 4 area underneath each of those topics. And there's
- $5 \parallel$ more information contained under each of those tabs.
- Q I think we have that on the screen. If we can
- 7 show the language.
- We're on page 4 of Exhibit 7. Can you tell us
- 9 what we're looking at now?
- 10 A Sure. These were included due to the expansion
- 11 | arrows actually being selected so that you can see the
- 12 information underneath each of the permissions being
- 13 given.
- 14 \parallel Q But that information is not visible from that
- 15 | first screen?
- 16 \parallel A No. Unless you click the arrow, you can't see all
- 17 of the data.
- 18 Q Do you have to click the arrow?
- 19 A No, you don't have to click the arrow to make a
- 20 determination of on or off.
- 21 | Q So, I could enable Location History without ever
- 22 clicking on that expansion arrow?
- 23 | A Right. You don't have to see this to make a
- 24 selection.
- 25 Q Does it say "learn more" or "more info" here?

- 1 A I don't recall any of these having that option.
- 2 Q What does Google say in the screenshot about
- 3 whether Location History is necessary for Assistant to
- 4 work?
- 5 A So the kind of, I guess, characterization that's
- 6 put at the top is Assistant depends on these settings
- 7 in order to work correctly. Turn these settings on
- $8 \parallel$ for this account. It specifies the account that
- 9 you're making that selection for. And then tells you
- 10 | what permissions you're acknowledging to make
- 11 Assistant work correctly.
- 12 Q Can you set up Assistant this way?
- THE COURT: Wait a minute. Where are you
- 14 reading from?
- 15 MR. PRICE: Right under "Give your new
- 16 Assistant permission to help you." It says -- it's
- 17 | the middle screenshot.
- 18 | THE COURT: So you're on page 3. You moved
- 19 | to page 3?
- 20 MR. PRICE: I believe we're still on page 4.
- 21 \parallel Three screenshots in a row. We're looking at the
- 22 middle one.
- 23 MR. DUFFEY: Judge, I have page 3. So I'm a
- 24 | little confused.
- 25 THE COURT: Right. My page 3 has on the top

- of it "Device Information" and on the bottom "Voice & Audio Activity." And my page 3 has "Location History.

 Saves where you go with your devices." And that's the one that has the "Meet your Google Assistant."
 - MR. PRICE: One moment, Your Honor. Your Honor, are you looking at Exhibit 7?

THE COURT: Yes.

MR. PRICE: Page 4?

THE COURT: I'm looking at page 3 and page 4.

MR. PRICE: We have it on the screen now.

I'm not sure why your version -- page 3 has the Oracle screenshot. Page 4 has the one that we are looking at from the Norwegian Consumer Council.

THE WITNESS: The page number at the bottom is different than the PDF page number is what it is.

- Q Okay. What page?
- A Scroll down just a touch. So, the page number at the bottom right is 3.
 - Q Okay. My apologies.

So we're looking at page 3 of Exhibit 7, the

Norwegian Consumer Council screenshots from July 2,

2018. And in the middle screen it says, "Give your

new Assistant permission to help you." And then,

sorry, can you read that language one more time?

A Yes. So, in that middle screenshot, "Give your

- 1 new Assistant permission to help you." Just
- 2 underneath that, "The Assistant depends on these
- 3 settings in order to work correctly. Turn on these
- 4 settings for, " and that's referring to what account
- $5 \parallel$ you're turning the setting on for. And then it
- 6 indicates what settings you are either turning on or
- 7 not turning on.
- 8 Q Thank you. And if we're setting up Google
- 9 Assistant in this way, and you want to turn it on,
- 10 Assistant, what do you have to do?
- 11 A As they indicate for it to work correctly, you
- 12 need to give permission to these -- to the permissions
- 13 shown to the user.
- 14 \parallel Q You need to give permission for all three?
- 15 A Yes. You don't get to pick individually. It's
- 16 all three.
- 17 | Q So let me ask you, what would happen to Google
- 18 Assistant if you disabled Location History later on?
- 19 A You could still use it.
- 20 Q It works?
- 21 A Yeah.
- 22 Q Even if Location History is not enabled?
- 23 \parallel A Yes. You don't need Location History for it to
- 24 work.
- 25 Q So why would Google make it a requirement to set

- 1 up Assistant?
- 2 A I'm sorry?
- 3 Q Why would Google make it a requirement to start
- 4 Google Assistant?
- 5 A I think they're asking for permission to make it
- 6 better. Again, you don't have to use it, but it's a,
- 7 as they say, for it to work correctly or as intended,
- 8 the permissions help in that way.
- 9 Q But it works without Location History enabled?
- 10 | A You can use it without Location History.
- 11 Q And where on here does Google tell us that?
- 12 A I'm not sure they do. I just know that you can
- 13 use it without Location History being enabled.
- 14 Q Thank you. Switching gears slightly. I want to
- 15 | talk about Location History collection more generally.
- 16 **∥** When does Google collect location history information?
- 17 A When the user -- if it's enabled by the user from
- 18 | everything that I have seen as far as data outputted
- 19 | from Location History, it's always collecting.
- 20 Q Always?
- 21 \blacksquare A Very consistently throughout the day.
- 22 \parallel Q What if the user is not using Assistant?
- 23 \blacksquare A It -- again, from seeing the times of day and
- 24 | things that are referenced in these records that we
- 25 see from Location History, it appears to happen all

- times of the day. So when the user is sleeping, not
 sleeping. It's a lot of information that's being
- 3 gathered as far as just location is concerned.
- Q What if a person isn't using an app at all on their phone?
- A I think it would still collect location information.
- 8 Q What if the person is not doing anything at all 9 with their phone?
- 10 A It still could be collecting.
- THE COURT: Let me clarify that. Do you mean
 by not using the app at all that the app is open or
 closed? It doesn't matter?
 - THE WITNESS: So the application is not important here once it's enabled. Just the phone being on, not in use, or any specific application being launched or not launched. Once enabled, you are now collecting your location history all the time.
- 19 THE COURT: Thank you.
- 20 BY MR. PRICE:
- Q What about right now? What if somebody in this
- 22 courtroom had an Android phone? Would it be
- 23 collecting their location data?
- 24 A It very well could be even if they're not using
- 25

it.

14

15

16

17

- 1 Q How do you know this?
- 2 A Again, based on looking at a lot of these records
- 3 from Location History accounts, not just geofence. Of
- 4 course, you could get this data just at the account
- $5 \parallel$ level. The time that it spans when you look at the
- 6 records, it consistently covers just about every hour
- 7 of the day, most of the time. So, just looking at it,
- 8 I would assume somebody's got to sleep at some point
- 9 or, you know, just not using their device all the
- 10 \parallel time. So it's constantly recording information.
- 11 Q And did you review any of those types of records
- 12 | in this case?
- 13 | A Yeah. So the account that we're talking about
- 14 here was gathered by law enforcement. So once the
- 15 particular account was identified, they actually did
- 16 \parallel another request to get the full account. So the
- 17 | location history associated with that account and all
- 18 \parallel the other data that you can normally get through that
- 19 type of request.
- 20 So, in this instance, I looked at that data. So I
- 21 \parallel believe there was a 35-day period of data that was
- 22 provided in that request.
- 23 | Q Let's take a look. I'd like to show you Defense
- 24 Exhibit 8, please.
- 25 MS. KOENIG: Your Honor, this exhibit details

very detailed specific location information for an individual, Mr. Chatrie. And so we're going to look at the paper copy of this. This is Defense Exhibit 8, and we would ask that this be put in under seal.

THE COURT: All right. There's no objection to that being under seal; is that right?

MR. DUFFEY: No objection, Judge.

THE COURT: All right. So we'll look at the sealed version, which I don't have a copy of.

THE WITNESS: I don't either.

MS. KOENIG: I hadn't anticipated -- we have a digital copy that had been provided to the Court. I hadn't thought ahead about how to present this since we have broadcasting.

THE COURT: Since we have what?

MS. KOENIG: The broadcasting that is being shown to another courtroom. There's no issue with showing it to everybody in this room if it's up on the screens. I don't know if it's just that I could show it to the witness and the lawyer screens and the Court screen instead of broadcasting it to the other courtroom.

THE COURT: Is there anybody in the other courtroom?

THE CLERK: It doesn't appear that there's

1 anyone in there.

THE COURT: Can we talk to the CSO and close the courtroom?

MR. DUFFEY: Judge, I guess I'll raise my objection. Why are we talking about search warrant No. 2? I object to relevance if we're going to get into a sealed second search warrant. It's not the subject of the motion today.

MR. PRICE: I'm happy to explain.

Your Honor, the data obtained through that search warrant was Mr. Chatrie's location information over a, let's see, 35-day period. What it allows us to do is to determine how frequently Google was actually collecting Mr. Chatrie's location information. So that point we believe is very relevant, and this goes to show that directly in this case.

THE COURT: I'm going to overrule the objection.

Is the courtroom closed? Do we have an issue with the folks on the phone? Is there anybody on the phone?

THE CLERK: Yes.

MR. PRICE: They won't be able to see it,
Your Honor, so it's okay for them to hear the

- testimony about it. We just don't want to have the longitude and latitude coordinates being captured or somebody being able to write them down.
- 4 THE COURT: Okay.
- 5 BY MR. PRICE:
- Q All right. So this is Defense Exhibit 8. Can you tell us what we are looking at here?
- A Yes. So very similarly to what we have seen when
 we refer to like the Stage 1, Stage 2 requests. This
 is account specific location history rather than a
 group of people's location history. But you'll see
 very similar items throughout. It will look very
 similar besides just a few extra pieces of
- 15 THE COURT: I don't have this document.
- MS. KOENIG: Your Honor, it's a very large
 file. It would have taken hundreds of pages to print
 off. So we provided it digitally to the Court. It's
 in the box.com account that we had provided to the
 Court.
- 21 THE COURT: Okay.
- 22 BY MR. PRICE:

information.

- 23 Q Is this the CSV Google data file that you
- 24 reviewed?
- 25 A Yes.

- THE COURT: The what? 1 2 MR. PRICE: CSV. 3 THE COURT: Okay. MR. PRICE: It's just a type of file, Your 4 Honor. It's a database file. 5 BY MR. PRICE: 6 7 This is the file that you reviewed? A Yes, it's a comma separated value spreadsheet. 8 9 MR. PRICE: I'd like to introduce this into 10 evidence, as well, Your Honor. 11 MR. DUFFEY: Same objection, Judge. 12 THE COURT: All right. Well, I'm overruling 13 on the same basis. 14 (Defense Exhibit No. 8 is admitted into 15 evidence.) BY MR. PRICE: 16 So when you reviewed this file, can you tell us 17 what you found? 18 Yes. So, again, this is account specific 19 20 information for one account rather than a group of accounts. The information contained spans, again, I 21
- believe, a 35-day period. And so you'll see that,
 throughout this document, you'll see dates and times,
 estimated latitudes and longitudes, those sources that
 we talked about earlier, Wi-Fi, GPS, as well as those

- 1 display radiuses. All of that information is given.
- 2 This is where the Stage 1 and Stage 2 information
- 3 actually comes from for each user.
- 4 Q So what were the beginning and end dates here, if
- 5 you recall?
- 6 A I don't. From looking at -- the bottom date here
- 7 | is May 1st of 2019.
- $8 \parallel Q$ And that's the start date and the end date?
- 9 A At the very top it is June 4th of 2019.
- 10 | Q So it's 35 days?
- 11 A I believe so.
- 12 Q And could you tell us how many records, how many
- 13 | lines of information are in this file?
- 14 A Sure. Can you click on the A column, please?
- 15 \blacksquare 8,349. And that may include a few rows at the top. I
- 16 \parallel believe there's three rows at the top. So it's 8,346
- 17 | individual records, I believe. Yes.
- 18 **|** Q And were you able to determine about how many
- 19 records per day that is?
- 20 A Yes. So I just took, you know, how many days that
- 21 was just to try and understand about how many times
- 22 per day on average that record got entered. I believe
- 23 it's around 238 times.
- 24 Q 228?
- 25 A Somewhere around in there. It's an estimate.

- 1 Q Did you work out how many times an hour that is?
- 2 A Sure. So if you broke that down just by a 24-hour
- 3 period, that's, I believe, almost 10 records per hour.
 - Q Or once every how many minutes?
- 5 A Once every 10 minutes.
- 6 Q Six?

- 7 A Sure. It's a lot of data.
- $8 \parallel Q$ So 10 times an hour, once every six minutes?
- 9 A Roughly. I mean, that's the average. Again, it's
- 10 not on a specific interval. That's just an average.
- 11 Q Were there any times that Google wasn't collecting
- 12 data? Like, did it only collect data during business
- 13 hours?
- 14 A No. So I looked to try and understand, like, how
- 15 often per hour, but like the actual hour ranges of
- 16 \parallel when data was collected. And what I did when I looked
- 17 | at that was just try to understand, you know, at, say,
- 18 \parallel 12 a.m. to 12:59 a.m. of every day throughout that
- 19 period, like, how often it gathered records. And what
- 20 | I noticed was that it was consistently gathering data
- 21 24-hours a day. Sometimes some areas had higher
- 22 rates, some had lower, but regardless, there were no
- 23 periods of data not being collected. It was a
- 24 consistent collection of data across the date, if you
- 25 look at it just on average for that time.

- Q There was no hour of the day when Google was not collecting data?
- 3 A There could be in certain days. There could have
- 4 been a day where a particular -- but for the, again,
- 5 the average of across that time, you could see that it
- 6 generally always would collect data during hours of
- 7 the day.
- 8 Q So even as you're sitting here, Google is
- 9 collecting your data?
- 10 \parallel A Could be, if I had those options turned on.
- 11 | Q And if you did, how many times would they have
- 12 collected your data since you've been sitting on the
- 13 witness stand?
- 14 \parallel A Quite a few times. I've been here a few hours
- 15 now.
- 16 Q So 20 or so?
- 17 A It could be, yeah.
- 18 \parallel Q Just one last thing. What happens to Location
- 19 \parallel History, the setting, if the user deletes the
- 20 | application that was used to enable it?
- 21 | A So you're saying in this instance, if Google
- 22 Assistant was used to opt in to Location History, but
- 23 \parallel then the application is then deleted?
- 24 | Q Uh-huh.
- 25 A So if you delete that, your permissions are still

- there. You've enabled that permission on your account
 even though the application that you used to do so is
 no longer there. It's not application based. You're
- Q Let me make sure I understand. Even if you delete
 Google Assistant or even if Mr. Chatrie had deleted
 Google Assistant, it wouldn't have affected whether
- 8 Google was getting his location history data?
 9 A No, because other applications are also

activating it for your account.

- submitting -- it's still collecting because it's activated for the account, not that specific app. It was just facilitated through an application.
- 13 Q So it's a permission for the entire account?
- 14 A It is, yes. For that device for that account, 15 yes.
- 16 Q Even though it was enabled through Assistant, if
 17 you delete Assistant --
- 18 A It's still going to collect.
- 19 Q It's still collecting?
- 20 A Yes.

- MR. PRICE: That's all I have, Your Honor.

 Thank you very much.
- THE COURT: We probably should take a break
 now before cross. It's a natural breaking point, a
 little earlier than when I normally do it. Do you all

1 want to break for lunch? It's 12:30.

2 MR. DUFFEY: Fine with the government, Judge.

MR. PRICE: That's fine with us, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Do you need a full hour? No.

Half an hour?

MR. DUFFEY: Yes, ma'am.

MR. PRICE: That will be sufficient. Thank you.

THE COURT: All right. So I'll give a little more than half an hour. I have it as 12:35. We'll turn at 1:15. That will give time for folks to move back and forth. All right?

MR. DUFFEY: That's fine.

THE COURT: Again, sir, you're still under oath. Please don't speak to anybody about your testimony. Don't speak to your witnesses about testimony. And please wait for our CSOs to move you around as you're moving in our hallways. All right?

So we will take a recess, please.

(Luncheon recess at 12:30 until 1:17 p.m.)

THE COURT: All right. I'm going to do our reminders, which is, obviously, that our witness is still under oath. Do we have anybody on the AT&T line?

THE CLERK: Yes.

THE COURT: Anybody who is on our telephone conference, welcome. And I need to remind you that our local rule, Criminal Rule 53, and our standing order prohibits anybody recording, transmitting or broadcasting this hearing.

We have a court reporter here who's making the official record, and that's all we will have of this proceeding.

All right. Thank you. Mr. Duffey.

MR. DUFFEY: All right. Thank you, Judge.

11

12

13

18

19

20

21

22

10

6

7

8

9

CROSS-EXAMINATION

- BY MR. DUFFEY:
- 14 Q So, good afternoon, sir.
- 15 A Good afternoon.
- 16 Q I'm Peter Duffey. I'm with the U.S. Attorney's
 17 Office. Nice to meet you.

Let's start -- so we're going to talk about the search warrant first. Phase 1 you've already testified to, but let's just clarify. That's one hour; right? And a fence, 150-meter fence, around the area where we say a crime was committed; right?

- 23 A That's correct.
- Q And we got back multiple points of data from Google; right?

- 1 A In that return, yes.
- 2 Q In Phase 1?
- 3 A Yes.
- $4 \parallel Q$ In fact, the multiple points of data applied to 19
- 5 different devices?
- 6 A Correct.
- 7 Q All right. And we talked about Defense
- 8 Exhibit 21, which is Mr. McGriff's affidavit,
- 9 paragraph 13.
- 10 MR. DUFFEY: I'm sorry. I should have warned
- 11 you.
- 12 THE COURT: That's okay.
- 13 BY MR. DUFFEY:
- 14 Q That's where we got the tens of millions of
- 15 data -- tens of millions number about their location
- 16 | history data; right?
- 17 A Correct.
- 18 \parallel Q And that came from McGriff who works for Google.
- 19 A That's right.
- 20 THE COURT: Can you repeat the exhibit
- 21 | number, please?
- 22 MR. DUFFEY: It's Defense Exhibit 21.
- THE COURT: Okay.
- 24 MR. DUFFEY: And I'm talking about paragraph
- 25 13.

- 1 BY MR. DUFFEY:
- 2 Q So let me just ask this: You characterize that,
- 3 or maybe it was Mr. Price, characterized that as a
- 4 search of tens of millions of people's location
- 5 history data; right?
- 6 A Correct.
- 7 Q Mr. McGriff didn't call that a search, did he?
- 8 A I don't recall. If we can pull it up.
- 9 Q We'll pull it up. But I think you know the answer
- 10 \parallel to this. Let me ask this. This is one database that
- 11 Google has of location history that they run the
- 12 parameters that we give them in a search warrant, that
- 13 \parallel is one hour, and longitude and latitude parameters,
- 14 \parallel and ask them to give us location history from those
- 15 parameters; right?
- 16 A Correct.
- 17 Q Okay. And it's one database?
- 18 THE COURT: I'm sorry. I know the timing of
- 19 cross is important, but I think -- are we having
- 20 | trouble with accessing the exhibits?
- 21 THE CLERK: Laura, it's not coming up on the
- 22 screen. Is your plug pushed in all the way or
- 23 \parallel whatever they did last time?
- 24 MS. KOENIG: I think I got it.
- 25 MR. DUFFEY: Thank you, Judge. It's a fairly

1 minor point.

THE COURT: We're probably going to be using this further. So I want to start off -
BY MR. DUFFEY:

Q So it's paragraph 13 that I was interested in. So maybe if we could just scroll up a little bit to get by that, because I want to see all the words. There we go.

So paragraph 13, they're talking about the majority of Google users worldwide did not have Location History enabled on their account. They concede that it's difficult to come up with an exact number, but one-third of active Google users, tens of millions of Google users worldwide, had Location History enabled on their accounts; right?

A Correct.

- Q Now, that's where you get the tens of millions of people's accounts that were searched; right?
- 19 A Correct.
- Q Nowhere does McGriff refer to that as a search;
 21 right?
 - A No, he's telling you how many people they estimate would use -- that use the Location History service after he discusses that they have to search that database for the people located within the fence.

- Q And you would agree with me, we're talking about one database; right?
 - A The Location History database.
- 4 Q Right. That's called Sensorvault, I think?
- $5 \parallel A = I$ believe that's where they keep it, yes.
- 6 Q And when you're talking about searching that,
- 7 you're really talking about a computer and you're
- 8 putting in the parameters that you want it to look
- 9 for; right?

- 10 \blacksquare A That's correct. They're using computers to do
- 11 | that work.
- 12 | Q Also to be clear, the government doesn't have
- 13 access to the Sensorvault. The government gets the
- 14 results, that is the 19 devices that Google tells us
- 15 \parallel complied with the parameters that we gave them; right?
- 16 A That's right.
- 17 Q Okay. So to be clear, when you compared our
- 18 \parallel search here, our geofence search, to a tower dump, the
- 19 \parallel tower dump example we gave you said probably would
- 20 \parallel give 3,000 numbers to the government?
- 21 A It's possible.
- 22 Q And so we're clear on a tower dump, one, you're
- 23 | getting 3,000 numbers; right?
- 24 A Correct.
- 25 Q And you're getting phone numbers; right?

- 1 A Correct.
- 2 Q Phone numbers to people's accounts; right?
- 3 A Correct.
- 4 Q That is not true in the geofence; right? We're
- 5 getting reference numbers.
- 6 A Correct. You're getting the device ID.
- 7 Q Right. But it's a device ID that we, even
- 8 standing here today after over a year, we can't
- 9 connect these device ID reference numbers to any
- 10 | individual cell phone numbers; right? At least not
- 11 through the device number.
- 12 A Directly to the phone number? No.
- 13 Q Right. And so when you compare 19 devices, and
- 14 | then your Defense Exhibit 3, and that's under seal.
- 15 So you should have that in front of you; right?
- 16 A You said three?
- 17 Q Three. That's the return that we got on Phase 1.
- 18 A Okay.
- 19 Q Actually, I think it also contains Phase 2, but I
- 20 want to talk to you about Phase 1.
- 21 Well, it's not numbered. So if you can click to
- 22 the very beginning of the actual spreadsheet, and it
- 23 \parallel begins in the upper left-hand corner with the No.
- 24 No. 1. And that No. 1.
- 25 THE COURT: Are you in Exhibit 3?

- 1 MR. DUFFEY: Yes, ma'am.
- 2 THE COURT: So your face is away from the
- 3 microphone. So we're not hearing everything.
- 4 MR. DUFFEY: Let me move it over here.
- 5 BY MR. DUFFEY:
- 6 Q So if we can go to the beginning of the
- 7 spreadsheet that begins with 1 and ends three or four
- 8 pages later at 210; right?
- 9 A Correct.
- 10 Q And it's only 19 different devices, but it's
- 11 actually 209 location plots?
- 12 A That's correct.
- 13 Q That's what the government got from the search
- 14 warrant, at least Phase 1; correct?
- 15 A Correct, in one.
- 16 \parallel Q Compared to 3,000 -- possibly 3,000 phone numbers
- 17 | that are identified by their phone numbers in a tower
- 18 ∥ dump; right?
- 19 A Correct.
- 20 | Q Okay. And you testified, I think, on direct that
- 21 | Google calculates to the best that they can that if
- 22 | they are in our 150-meter radius, they're in, and we
- 23 \parallel get coordinates, and if they're out of the 150-meter
- 24 | radius, we don't get anything from them; right?
- 25 A Yes. If that latitude and longitude falls

- 1 outside, it won't.
- 2 \mathbb{I} Q In, we get it; out, we don't get it?
- 3 A Correct.
- $4 \parallel Q$ And the latitude, longitude, and that's Column C
- 5 \parallel in -- excuse me -- D and E in this, those are pretty
- 6 precise. Those are the points that we see you used, I
- 7 | think, in your Mr. Blue, Mr. Green, Mr. Yellow. We've
- 8 | used in some of these where we're pointing; right? So
- 9 that's the exact point. And then as you move over to
- 10 G, maps display radius in meters, that's where they
- 11 say some of these we're very confident on, and they
- 12 give you a low number in meters; right? And some of
- 13 | these we're not, and they give you a slightly higher
- 14 | number; right?
- 15 A That's correct.
- 16 \parallel Q So that's like their margin of error, say, in a, I
- 17 don't know, a political poll, they give you a margin
- 18 \parallel of error. This is like Google's margin of error.
- 19 | They're telling you how confident they are, and how
- 20 close this phone is likely to be to this -- to those
- 21 | longitude and latitude marks?
- 22 A That's correct.
- 23 \parallel Q And some they're pretty confident in, and some
- 24 | they tell you we're not so confident in; right?
- 25 A Based on radius, right.

- 1 THE COURT: Based on what?
- 2 THE WITNESS: The radius, how big or small it
- 3 is.
- 4 BY MR. DUFFEY:
- $5 \parallel Q$ If we could go to Government's Exhibit 1, page 20.
- 6 And that's with the big blue circle. On direct, you
- 7 | talk a lot about this because this -- and you're
- $8 \parallel$ accurate. This one big blue circle included
- 9 businesses, and streets, and apartments, and all kinds
- 10 of things; right?
- 11 A Correct.
- 12 Q All right. And I think you testified that the
- 13 margin of error, so to speak, the map display radius
- 14 on that was 384 meters.
- 15 A Somewhere around there, yes.
- 16 Q That's pretty high?
- 17 A Yes.
- 18 Q In fact, if I can get you to look through the
- 19 Stage 1 returns, so let's start with the page at the
- 20 very beginning of the returns. In the upper left-hand
- 21 corner, that's a one, and it goes down to line 33;
- 22 | right? Are you with me?
- 23 A Yes.
- 24 | Q Looking at map display radius, do you see any
- 25 \blacksquare numbers in that that are even close to 384?

- 1 A No.
- 2 Q In fact, I think it's lines two, three, four, and
- 3 | five, maybe the first six or seven are over 50, but
- 4 none are more -- there's two that are 100 even, and
- 5 then the rest are less than 50 meters; right?
- 6 A That's fair, yes.
- 7 Q Is that right?
- 8 A Yeah, it is.
- 9 Q Okay. Second page, likewise. That's line 34
- 10 through 66. There's not a single map radius that's
- 11 over 50 in that; right?
- 12 A Correct.
- 13 THE COURT: Wait. Where are you?
- 14 \blacksquare MR. DUFFEY: Going to the next page.
- 15 Q And that has line 67 through 99. I think there's
- 16 \parallel only two, which is line 83 and 86, are slightly over
- 17 \parallel 50. The rest of the display radiuses are under 50;
- 18 right?
- 19 THE COURT: Can you remind me which exhibit
- 20 | we are?
- MR. DUFFEY: We are Exhibit 3. And then
- 22 we're at the third page of the spreadsheet. And that
- 23 has lines 67 through 99.
- 24 THE COURT: Got it.
- 25 BY MR. DUFFEY:

- 1 Q So, I'm asking you, with the exception of line 83
- 2 and 86, which are slightly over 50, the rest of those
- 3 map radius numbers are all under 50 meters; right?
- 4 A Correct.
- $5 \parallel Q$ We go to the next one, that's line No. 100 to 132,
- 6 the entire sheet's well under 50; right?
- 7 A They're under 50, yes.
- 8 Q Not a single one's over 50?
- 9 A It's not --
- 10 Q Much least 384?
- 11 A That's right.
- 12 \parallel Q Similarly, line 133 to 166, that page, I think
- 13 there's two. One's 104 and one's 122. Well, let me
- 14 be precise. Line 137, slightly over 100. And line
- 15 | 150, a little over 100. The rest significantly lower.
- 16 \parallel In fact, some of them are down to 3 meters; right?
- 17 A Correct.
- 18 \parallel Q In your training and experience, being down to
- 19 3 meters is probably a GPS point; right?
- 20 A It is. A reference is GPS for those points.
- 21 \parallel Q All right. Very similarly, on the next page, line
- 22 166 to line 198, lots of GPS points. Nothing --
- 23 \parallel excuse me. One over 50. Line 186 shows 73. The rest
- 24 well under 50; right?
- 25 A Correct.

- 1 \mathbb{I} Q So, finally, the last page.
- 2 MR. DUFFEY: I'm getting to it, Judge.
- 3 Q 199 to 210, the very end of Phase 1. The second
- 4 \parallel to the last one there, line 209, that's the three --
- $5 \parallel$ excuse me, 387, I think it is. That's the blue
- 6 circle; right?
- 7 A The largest one, yes.
- 8 Q Right. Now, if you look right above that also at
- 9 line 208, that's the same reference number; right?
- 10 A Correct.
- 11 | Q So that's the same device; right?
- 12 A Correct.
- 13 Q And only about 30 seconds earlier, but their
- 14 \parallel radius -- map display radius on that is 84?
- 15 A Correct.
- 16 \parallel Q So they have the same device on here. It has a
- 17 map radius of only 84, but the next one for some
- 18 reason goes to 387.
- 19 A Correct.
- 20 \blacksquare Q Do you know why it jumped to 387?
- 21 A I don't.
- 22 Q Do you have any idea?
- 23 A I don't.
- 24 Q Okay. But you would agree with me, if we take
- 25 \parallel away that one anomaly, which is the only one in this

- entire Phase 1 that's even close to 387, if you remove
- 2 that one anomaly, what we're left with is a fairly
- 3 concise circle. Granted, some of them are slightly
- 4 outside the geofence radius. But it's fair to say
- 5 this one, the one big blue circle, is pretty much an
- 6 anomaly here; right?
- 7 A Again, it's larger than the others, and it's the
- 8 only one.
- 9 Q Well, it's a lot larger than the others; right?
- 10 A Yes.
- 11 Q Okay. And, in fact, the coordinates just 30
- 12 \parallel seconds earlier on that same device was only 84;
- 13 right?
- 14 A Correct.
- 15 | Q Okay. So, now, we've got -- as I said, Phase 1
- 16 **∥** was 19. I'm calling it anonymous numbers. I know you
- 17 don't agree with that, but these were 19 devices. So
- 18 | let's talk a little bit about the anonymity of these.
- 19 \parallel The reference numbers here, and those are all those
- 20 | reference numbers in Column A from the Phase 1 thing;
- 21 | right? You've been looking at this for over a year;
- 22 right?
- 23 A Correct.
- 24 Q Is there some secret code to those reference
- 25 numbers that you've cracked that can tell you what the

- cell number is that's associated with those reference numbers?
- 3 A No.
- 4 Q And so to your knowledge, I mean, when we're
- 5 | talking about anonymity, those reference numbers are
- 6 anonymous as far as identifying any particular phone
- 7 | number; right?
- 8 A Correct. They don't associate to a phone number.
- 9 Q So we can take out the reference number. That's
- 10 | not what you're talking about when you say the returns
- 11 aren't anonymous; right?
- 12 A Correct.
- 13 Q Okay. Now, as we talked about the map radius, the
- 14 \parallel location is not precise, and Google gives you
- 15 different margins of error; right?
- 16 A Correct.
- 17 Q Some very, very small and some larger. But every
- 18 \parallel single one of these plots that we got back, Google
- 19 reasonably believes, at least in their mind, that
- 20 every single one of these by longitude and latitude
- 21 **∥** should be plotted within our 150-meter radius; right?
- 22 A That's how they returned it, right.
- 23 \parallel Q And if they found a longitude and latitude outside
- 24 of our radius, we don't get it; right?
- 25 A Right.

- 1 Q So there's no search as far as the government is
- 2 concerned. We don't get any information on that
- 3 device; right? Is that right?
- 4 A If the point falls outside of the geofence, you
- 5 don't get it.
- 6 Q Okay. They're not guessing at this. They're
- 7 not -- it's not their discretion. They have a set way
- 8 of doing this; right?
- 9 A They certainly do.
- 10 | Q And they calculated longitude and latitude; right?
- 11 A Yes.
- 12 \parallel Q And they comply with the search warrant and the
- 13 parameters that we give them; right?
- 14 A Correct.
- 15 Q So at this point Phase 1, in your mind, is
- 16 anonymous; right?
- 17 A Sure. You only know who's inside -- you only know
- 18 \parallel the numbers for the people inside the circle.
- 19 Q And you have these anonymous reference numbers;
- 20 right?
- 21 A Yes.
- 22 Q They don't give you any clue; right?
- 23 A No, they just associate --
- 24 Q So Phase 1 is anonymous just like everyone says;
- 25 right?

- 1 A Sure.
- 2 Q Okay. Phase 2 is where you begin to diverge a
- 3 | little bit; right?
- 4 A Correct.
- 5 Q Okay. So let's talk about that. So -- well,
- 6 first, let me ask you, you said government -- the
- 7 government asked in Phase 2 for all 19; right?
- 8 A Correct.
- 9 Q From Phase 1. And I think you said, but I'm not
- 10 | sure, was it your testimony that then Google decided
- 11 to give us only nine?
- 12 A No.
- 13 Q What did you say?
- 14 \parallel A It was that 19 were requested and that Google
- 15 \parallel asked for them to be -- for that number to be reduced.
- 16 | Q Right. Well, I think they just didn't respond.
- 17 | But --
- 18 MS. KOENIG: Judge, objection that Mr. Duffey
- 19 | is, I think, testifying about that point instead of
- 20 \parallel the witness.
- 21 \blacksquare MR. DUFFEY: Well, it was a question.
- 22 THE COURT: The question is, what you think?
- 23 How does he know what you think? Just rephrase it.
- MR. DUFFEY: All right.
- 25 BY MR. DUFFEY:

- 1 Q The point is, is that Google didn't respond to the
- 2 initial request. I mean, you reviewed the emails;
- 3 right?
- 4 A Yes.
- 5 Q Google didn't respond to the government's first
- 6 request that we get all 19 back; right?
- 7 A I think that's right, yes.
- 8 Q And, in fact, a couple of times when we asked,
- 9 Google just didn't respond?
- 10 A Correct.
- 11 | Q And it's also true, then, that -- and this is
- 12 Detective Hylton's email, I think. He added in, in
- 13 | the alternative, here's our nine; right?
- 14 A Correct.
- 15 Q And so those nine numbers weren't chosen by
- 16 \parallel Google. They were chosen by Detective Hylton?
- 17 A Correct.
- 18 ∥ Q Okay. Just so we're clear on that. And all
- 19 \parallel nine -- did you do the plot, all nine videos, too?
- 20 Did you plot all nine of those?
- 21 A Yes.
- 22 Q And, in fact, all nine of those, if you recall,
- 23 \parallel all the radiuses, with, I think, the exception of one,
- 24 \parallel all fell -- not only the point, but the radiuses, too,
- 25 | the map display radiuses, all fell within the

- 1 150-meter circle. Does that sound right?
- 2 A I think that's correct.
- 3 Q Now, so let's talk about that. So then it's your
- 4 contention, then, though, that once we -- in Phase 2,
- $5 \parallel$ we drop the fence. We add a half hour to each end.
- 6 | That's what we did. That's what the government asked
- 7 for in the search warrant; right?
- 8 A Correct.
- 9 Q And so now we're talking about two hours on one
- 10 | particular day with no geographical restriction and
- 11 just on these nine phones; right?
- 12 A Correct.
- 13 \parallel Q So, basically, we already know some of this
- 14 ∥ because we've had them in Phase 1; right? But now
- 15 we're going outside the circle; right?
- 16 A Correct.
- 17 Q So, in some of these, your contention is it's not
- 18 **∥** really anonymous because they go -- I think you really
- 19 center on the fact that they travel -- they appear to
- 20 | travel to a single family residence; right?
- 21 A That's part of it, yes.
- 22 Q Well, we'll talk about that, then. So, when they
- 23 \parallel go to the residence, would you dispute that not a
- 24 | single one of these in Phase 2 of these nine phones
- 25 stay at any single family residence more than an hour?

- 1 A Do they stay there for more than an hour?
- 2 Q Right.
- 3 A We've only got two hours of data. So none stayed
- 4 for more -- no, none stayed for an hour.
- 5 Q Less than an hour?
- 6 A Sure.
- 7 Q Okay. All nine phones, when you mapped them out,
- 8 none of them stayed at the -- I realize you're
- 9 tracking them. They're going down roads. At some
- 10 point, they're all going into the circle; right? At
- 11 least that's what we say; right?
- 12 A Correct.
- 13 Q And then some of them go back. But none of them
- 14 stay at any single family residence for more than an
- 15 hour; right?
- 16 A Correct.
- 17 Q And many of them don't even stay very long at all;
- 18 right?
- 19 A Correct.
- 20 Q Okay. Now, you would agree with me that -- I
- 21 mean, how old are you?
- 22 A Thirty-three now.
- 23 \parallel Q All right. You've been to people's homes and
- 24 stayed more than an hour; right?
- 25 A Sure.

- 1 Q You didn't live there; right?
- 2 A That's correct.
- 3 Q In fact, people get guests at their homes all the
- 4 | time; right?
- 5 A That's right.
- 6 Q So you're not saying, as an expert, because you
- 7 can track a cell phone to at or near a residence, that
- 8 | that means they have to live there?
- 9 A That's right. That doesn't mean they have to live
- 10 there, you're right.
- 11 | Q I understand you say it's possible, and I would
- 12 agree with you it's possible. Anything's possible.
- 13 | But that doesn't mean that they live there; right?
- 14 A That's correct.
- 15 Q And I think when we're talking about -- I notice
- 16 \parallel when you plotted on your three plot -- let me get the
- 17 | number. Defense Exhibit 5. We don't have to play it,
- 18 \parallel but in that you plot specific points, and you show
- 19 \parallel them hitting at or near a single family residence.
- 20 | And that's for Mr. Green, and Mr. Yellow, and Mr.
- 21 | Blue; right?
- 22 A Correct.
- 23 \parallel Q So, I notice we don't have the map display radius
- 24 around those points; right?
- 25 A That's correct.

- 1 Q Isn't it fair to say that many of those, if you
- 2 | put up the map display radiuses, would probably
- 3 | include the house next to it?
- 4 A That's right.
- $5 \parallel Q$ In fact, I think you said that on direct.
- 6 A I did.
- 7 Q In fact, it might include a third house; right?
- 8 A It could include more than one, yes.
- 9 Q Okay. So, now, you don't know whether they're a
- 10 | guess or the actual person who lives there; right?
- 11 And for most of these, if not all of these, you're
- 12 going to have to look, if you want to figure out their
- 13 | identity, now you're looking at two, maybe three
- 14 | houses; right?
- 15 A Correct.
- 16 \parallel Q And you would agree with me that if, in fact, the
- 17 | holder of that phone was a guest, then doing the
- 18 \parallel things that you talked about doing, the open source
- 19 thing and looking at tax records or deeds or I'm not
- 20 sure what, you weren't real specific on what you were
- 21 | looking at, but looking at those kinds of things, like
- 22 deeds, tax records, open source data, for that house,
- 23 | if they were a guest, then you're not going to get
- 24 | that; right?
- 25 A Right. You're going to see the person they're

- 1 associated with.
- 2 Q Right. And nobody on their tax record or maybe
- 3 you get, I don't know, their power bill, no one says
- 4 here's Peter Duffey's power bill, and, by the way,
- 5 here's 10 of his closest friends; right? You're not
- 6 going to get that off the power bill; right?
- 7 A Correct.
- 8 Q So I think you would agree with me that if, in
- 9 fact, these people were a guest in the home that
- 10 | you're looking at, then you're not going to be able to
- 11 | find their name from any of this open source data that
- 12 we talked about; right?
- 13 A Probably not.
- 14 Q So now we're talking about you're having to limit
- 15 | this, and you're having to assume, I guess, or look
- 16 \parallel for them actually living in the house before you even
- 17 | have really a prayer of figuring out their identity;
- 18 right?
- 19 \blacksquare A For associating with the house, then, yes, you
- 20 would need something.
- 21 \parallel Q Well, the phone is the only thing that associates
- 22 | it to the house; right?
- 23 A Correct.
- 24 \parallel Q And so what we're talking about is identifying the
- 25 person holding the phone; right?

- 1 A Correct.
- 2 Q And when I say "identify," and you tell me if you
- 3 agree with me, when we're talking about identifying a
- 4 | human being, you're talking about their name; right?
- 5 A Sure.
- 6 Q Okay. So all of these, I guess, you could go to
- 7 the courthouse, if you had an address, and look at the
- 8 deed; right? You could get other open source data,
- 9 | figure out who paid the taxes on the house; right?
- 10 A Yes.
- 11 Q That would give you the owner?
- 12 A Correct.
- 13 Q But you'd agree with me, if the owner was leasing
- 14 \parallel the house, then you're back in the dark again because
- 15 now there's lessees in the house, and you don't know
- 16 who they are; right?
- 17 A That's right.
- 19 source data?
- 20 A Right.
- 21 Q And, of course, there's Facebook; right? I think
- 22 you looked on Facebook.
- 23 A Correct.
- 24 Q Other tax records. All of this, you would agree
- 25 with me, requires fairly significant investigative

- 1 work on your part; right?
- 2 A Yeah, you have to look into the data.
- 3 Q None of it come from Google; right?
- 4 A Correct.
- Q And none of it certainly came from Google pursuant
- 6 to this search warrant; right?
- 7 A Correct.
- 8 Q Okay. So let me ask you this: Ultimately, if you
- 9 were to get, say, a person's -- even if you were able
- 10 to figure out what their phone number was living in a
- 11 | house, and then you had one of our phones from Phase 2
- 12 going to that house, you'd still have to be able to
- 13 | match up their phone number with this anonymous
- 14 reference number from our Phase 2 data; right?
- 15 A Correct.
- 17 | that we got pursuant to this warrant that tells you
- 18 \parallel what their phone number is, at least until you get to
- 19 Phase 3; right?
- 20 A Correct.
- 21 \parallel Q So even then, in order to match up that phone to
- 22 | that person, you're going to have to probably have a
- 23 | friendly prosecutor, and you're probably going to have
- 24 to do court process; right?
- 25 A Yes.

- 1 Q You're going to have to get a search warrant;
- 2 right?
- 3 A Yes.
- 4 Q At least grand jury subpoenas to figure out who
- 5 the subscriber to the phone was?
- 6 A Yes.
- 7 Q Maybe. And even -- and you were in law
- 8 enforcement for eight and a half years, so I think
- 9 you're going to know the answer to this. Criminals
- 10 | often use other people's names on their cell phones;
- 11 right?
- 12 A People in general do that, yes.
- 13 Q Okay. And especially, say, drug dealers; right?
- 14 \parallel A They can, yes, or false names more often than not.
- 15 Q They do it all the time; right?
- 16 A Yes.
- 17 Q Or they use a girlfriend's name; right?
- 18 A Correct.
- 20 \parallel the phone.
- 21 A Right.
- 22 Q So in your, I guess, scenario of saying you can
- 23 maybe uncover the identity of these people, even if
- 24 | you got a person's cell phone at this house and got
- 25 the subscriber information and figured out their name,

- 1 that still doesn't get you there; right? Because
- 2 you're not sure, one, whether or not they were the
- 3 ones holding the phone on May 20th at 5 p.m., or
- 4 whatever the time is, of 2019; right?
- 5 A Correct.
- 6 Q The time of this search warrant.
- 7 A Right.
- 8 Q All right. So when -- I quess maybe it's a
- 9 question of semantics, but I just want to ask you, so
- 10 **|** it's your expert opinion that the information that we
- 11 got from Google in Phase 2 is not anonymous?
- 12 A It's not that it's identifying in terms of names,
- 13 but, yes, it can lead you to know who that person is
- 14 \parallel based on being able to track where they have been.
- 15 Q Okay. In two hours of one day; right?
- 16 A Correct.
- 17 | Q You think you can figure out who these people are?
- 18 A Sure.
- 19 Q All right. Have you figured out who anyone is?
- 20 A I haven't, no.
- 21 | Q Well, you've had over a year. You haven't figured
- 22 \blacksquare out the identity of anyone in Phase 2?
- 23 | A I wasn't actually here to investigate who the
- 24 \parallel people were. I was trying to determine if it would be
- 25 \parallel possible to do so.

- 1 Q Okay. But you haven't done it yet?
- 2 A No, I have not.
- 3 Q Okay. So when you say it's not anonymous, it's
- 4 because it could lead you, with extra work, extra
- $5 \parallel$ investigative activity, maybe lead you to possibly a
- 6 group of likely suspects; right?
- 7 A Correct.
- 8 Q And in your mind, that means that's not anonymous?
- 9 A Right.
- 10 Q All right. So let's talk about, if we could, your
- 11 supplemental report. And that's Defense Exhibit 7;
- 12 Right?
- MR. DUFFEY: Could we get that up? Do you
- 14 mind?
- MS. KOENIG: Sure.
- 16 BY MR. DUFFEY:
- 17 | Q So you would agree with me, looking at your
- 18 \parallel report, right off the bat, you state, and I think
- 19 veryone appreciates your candor, that you can't
- 20 replicate the opt-in process that the defendant would
- 21 | have seen. That's on page 1 of your report.
- 22 A That's right.
- 23 Q So you can't be sure exactly which of these
- 24 screens that he saw, if any, from your report; right?
- 25 A Can't be 100 percent certain.

- 1 Q Sure.
- 2 A Right.
- 3 Q And so the Quartz article, which is Defense
- 4 Exhibit 48, and we don't have to get that up, but
- 5 | that's what it is, you show the screenshot in your
- 6 report, Defense Exhibit 7, as Figure 1; right?
- 7 A Correct.
- 8 Q And I think you said this, but let's make it
- 9 clear, this article was published January 24th of
- 10 2018; right?
- 11 A Right.
- 12 \parallel Q And I think we've established that Location
- 13 History on our phone -- I say "our phone" -- the
- 14 | target cell phone here, Mr. Chatrie's phone, was
- 15 enabled on July 9th of 2018; right?
- 16 A Right.
- 17 | Q So you would agree with me that the Quartz article
- 18 **∥** showing various screenshots was published seven or
- 19 eight months prior to the enabling on Mr. Chatrie's
- 20 phone; right?
- 21 A Correct.
- 22 Q Okay. And we'll get to the Norwegian test, but
- 23 that shows a different -- that's from July, and that
- 24 shows a different screenshot; right?
- 25 A The Norwegian?

- 1 Q Yes.
- 2 A Yes, correct.
- 3 Q So either Quartz is just wrong or something
- 4 changed in between January and July; right?
- 5 A Correct, the language changed.
- 6 Q Okay. So when we look at Figure 1, and this is
- 7 Figure 1 on Government's 7, this is the Quartz
- 8 screenshot; right?
- 9 THE COURT: Just to be clear, it's Defense 7.
- 10 MR. DUFFEY: Oh, I'm sorry. Defense Exhibit
- 11 7.
- 12 BY MR. DUFFEY:
- 13 | Q Figure 1, this is the Quartz screenshot; right?
- 14 A Correct.
- 15 Q And you would agree with me, this is not entirely
- 16 accurate because it cuts off "No, thanks" or "Yes, I'm
- 17 | in" at the bottom of the screenshot; right?
- 18 \parallel A Yeah, it has to be scrolled down to get to those.
- 19 \parallel Q Okay. So we can assume that at the bottom there
- 20 | is a "No, thanks" or "Yes, I'm in"; right?
- 21 A Correct.
- 22 Q Okay. And can you preclude the possibility that
- 23 | if you click "No, thanks," that there's a second
- 24 | opt-in page that follows up this to say, like, are you
- 25 sure, or this is what happens if you do this?

- 1 A I'm not sure if there is.
- 2 Q You don't know?
- 3 A No, I don't.
- 4 Q In fact, the Quartz article, it wasn't really the
- 5 point of the Quartz article to document the opt-in
- 6 process; right?
- 7 A Right.
- 8 Q They had a different subject; right?
- 9 A Correct.
- 10 **|** Q It wasn't about whether or not this is truly an
- 11 opt-in process; right?
- 12 \parallel A No. I used the screenshot because it showed one
- 13 \parallel of the permission screens.
- 14 Q Oh, I'm not attacking you. I'm just pointing out
- 15 the point of the article wasn't about opt-in. It just
- 16 | happened to have screenshots in it; right?
- 17 A Correct.
- 18 \parallel Q Okay. The second source you went to, the Oracle
- 19 report -- for the record, that's Defense Exhibit 11.
- 20 So if we go to page 2 of your report, Exhibit 7, so
- 21 \parallel Figure 3, in this screenshot that you document --
- 22 excuse me, Figure 2. This is page 2.
- MS. KOENIG: It's page 2 of Exhibit 7.
- MR. DUFFEY: All right.
- 25 BY MR. DUFFEY:

- 1 Q This doesn't document at all when this screenshot
- 2 would have been taken; right? Or does it? You tell
- 3 me.
- 4 A I think in another portion they do reference
- 5 when -- I know they were 2018. I'm trying to recall.
- 6 I think it was -- I think it was actually closer to
- 7 the time frame of Quartz, if I'm --
- 8 Q Before July 2018?
- 9 A I do believe it was before July.
- 10 | Q But looking at this, you're not sure because it
- 11 doesn't document it in your report?
- 12 A Not right here, no.
- 13 Q Okay. And, again, much like the Quartz figure,
- 14 \parallel the Quartz screenshot, this does not document if
- 15 there's any further opt-in or out-out process after
- 16 | clicking either "No, thanks" or "Yes, I'm in"?
- 17 A It does not.
- 18 Q It doesn't tell you what happens next?
- 19 A No, there's no screenshots for that.
- 20 \blacksquare Q Okay. Now, then we go to the Norwegian report,
- 21 \parallel and the Norwegian report itself was Defense 27, but
- 22 | this is on page 3; right? And the difference here, I
- 23 | take it, is that you have to opt-in, I think you
- 24 \parallel testified. It now has three things in the opt-in
- 25 list, I guess you would call it, that has Location

- 1 History. And this is Figure 3, "Location History,
- 2 Device information, Voice & Audio Activity"; right?
- 3 And those all three are on the list; right.
- 4 A Yes, they were on the others, as well.
- 5 Q Then you also show the expanded view of Location
- 6 History. That, I guess, is that you clicked on the
- 7 down arrow, and that gives you an explanation of what
- 8 Location History is; right?
- 9 A That's what they did, yes.
- 10 Q Okay. And that says "Location History saves where
- 11 you go with your devices"; right?
- 12 A Yes.
- 13 \parallel Q To save this data, Google regularly obtains
- 14 \parallel location data from your devices. This data is saved
- 15 even when you aren't using a specific Google service,
- 16 ∥ such as Google Maps or Search. That's on Figure 3;
- 17 | right?
- 18 A Yes.
- 20 | reading that could not figure out that Google is
- 21 saving their location history, are you?
- 22 A No.
- 23 Q Okay. So it's clear, pretty much to anyone who
- 24 can read, that they're telling you Google is going to
- 25 save where you go; right?

- 1 A Correct.
- 2 Q It also says "If you use the device without an
- 3 | internet connection, your data may be saved to your
- 4 account once you return online"; right?
- 5 A Correct.
- 6 Q I think that goes to your point that once you
- 7 enable Location History, it's tracking your phone all
- 8 | the time; right?
- 9 A Right.
- 10 Q Okay. It also says, I think, that this data may
- 11 be saved and used in any Google service where you were
- 12 signed in to give you more personalized experiences;
- 13 right?
- 14 A Yes.
- 15 Q And it tells you, you can see your data, you can
- 16 \parallel delete it, and you can change your settings at
- 17 | account.google.com; right?
- 18 A Correct.
- 19 Q That's the same language that Mr. McGriff has in
- 20 | his affidavit; right?
- 21 A Correct.
- 22 Q Okay. So there's nothing shady about that; right?
- 23 | About McGriff's affidavit, at least to that point;
- 24 right?
- 25 A No.

- 1 Q Same language. All right. And then at the
- 2 | bottom, again, there's "No, thanks" or there's "Turn
- $3 \parallel on"; right?$
- 4 A Correct.
- 5 Q Do you think there's any ambiguity there that you
- 6 are turning on Location History?
- 7 A No, it's specifically asking for those three
- 8 permissions.
- 9 Q All right. And, essentially, and I think this is
- 10 Defense Exhibit 23, is McGriff's affidavit, the
- 11 difference really with McGriff's affidavit is that --
- 12 in his affidavit and his screenshot, Location History
- 13 stands alone; right?
- 14 A Correct.
- 15 Q That's really the only difference; right?
- 16 A Correct.
- 17 | Q He doesn't define Location History any
- 18 differently; right?
- 19 A No.
- 20 Q Okay. And there's still a "No, thanks" or "Turn
- 21 on" at the end of it?
- 22 A Correct.
- 23 \parallel Q Right. So is there any doubt also that consumers
- 24 \parallel are told -- and this is true, that they can always
- 25 delete Location History any time they want; right?

- 1 A They can, yes.
- 2 Q So I know Mr. Price asked you about turning off
- 3 or, I guess, deleting Google services or Google
- 4 Assistant doesn't turn off Location History?
- 5 A Right, the application.
- 6 Q Right. My question is, though, regardless of
- 7 | that, any time a consumer wants, they can go on their
- 8 phone and they can say stop taking my -- stop saving
- 9 my location history; right?
- 10 A Correct.
- 11 Q And then Google will stop doing it?
- 12 A Correct.
- 13 Q In fact, they can delete it, and then Google won't
- 14 | have it in the Sensorvault anymore; right?
- 15 A Correct.
- 16 \parallel Q And if Google doesn't have it in the Sensorvault,
- 17 | the government's not getting it even with a search
- 18 warrant; right?
- 19 A The way I understand it, yes.
- 20 \parallel Q Because it's just not there.
- 21 A Right.
- 22 Q So that can come either because the person never
- 23 turns on Location History; right?
- 24 | A I'm sorry?
- 25 \parallel Q That can happen -- the government can, I guess,

- get thwarted on the search warrant by Google where
- 2 Google says "We don't have any location history."
- 3 | That can happen for two reasons. One is the person,
- 4 the holder of the phone, can have never turned on
- 5 Location History; right?
- 6 A Right.
- 7 Q Or they can at any time go back on and delete it?
- 8 A Correct.
- 9 Q Any time before the search warrant comes; right?
- 10 A Correct.
- 11 Q In which case, in both cases, Google, in response
- 12 to a government search warrant, would say, Sorry, we
- 13 don't have anything; right?
- 14 A I would think so, yes.
- 15 Q Okay. And I think you've said this, again, but
- 16 ∥ let's make it clear. There is no way that Google
- 17 \parallel saves this data without the customer in some form or
- 18 **∥** fashion clicking either "Yes, I'm in" or "Turn on" and
- 19 \parallel Location History is at least one of the items up above
- 20 | that choice; right?
- 21 A Right.

that.

- 22 Q A customer has to agree to Location History or
- 23 Google is not saving their location data; correct?
- 24 A Yes, their location history. It doesn't have
- 25

- Q From Mr. McGriff's affidavit, do you have any
- 2 reason to doubt his one-third of Google users
- 3 worldwide have Location History enabled? That means
- 4 two-thirds do not; right?
- 5 A Yeah, there's no way for me to know.
- 6 Q Do you have any reason to doubt that?
- 7 A No.
- 8 Q I mean, you talked about the tens of millions
- 9 number on direct; right? You got that from the
- 10 | one-third of Google users worldwide having Location
- 11 | History enabled; right?
- 12 A Correct.
- 13 \parallel Q That means three times that number of Google users
- 14 don't have Location History enabled; right?
- 15 A That's right.
- 17 | their location data; right?
- 18 A They don't have location history.
- 19 Q Location history.
- 20 A Yes.
- 21 Q We'll get to that.
- 22 So, it's fair to say that tens of millions of
- 23 | Google users have somehow figured out how to use their
- 24 phones without Location History enabled; right?
- 25 A Right.

- Q There's millions of people using their phones without Location History enabled?
- 3 A Right.
- 4 Q You're not saying in your opinion these people, I
- 5 don't know, are just stupid because they don't know
- 6 how to turn it on?
- 7 A I'm not saying anything about those people.
- 8 Q Does that seem reasonable to you that tens of
- 9 millions of people would be using a phone without
- 10 | Location History when they really wanted Location
- 11 | History on?
- 12 A I don't know why you would make the choice one way
- 13 or another. That's completely up to the user.
- 14 Q All right. Okay. Well, let me ask you this:
- 15 Location Services is clearly not the same thing as
- 16 Location History; right?
- 17 A Right.
- 18 \parallel Q So Location Services, enabling that, that's what
- 19 really gets you, I guess, according to Google, kind of
- 20 | the fun stuff of the phone; right? That allows the
- 21 phone to know where it is at all times; right?
- 22 A Right.
- 23 Q Realtime?
- 24 A Right.
- 25 Q And so if you wanted to -- you have Location

- 1 Services enabled, you can turn on Google Maps and say,
- 2 Take me to the nearest Chick-fil-A, and it will tell
- 3 you right then, Go down the road, take a right, and it
- 4 will direct you there; right?
- 5 A Right.
- 6 Q Because it's tracking you realtime because you
- 7 have Location Services enabled; right?
- 8 A Right.
- 9 Q And you have Google Maps enabled and all the other
- 10 things; right?
- 11 A Right.
- 12 | Q But that is not the same thing as Location
- 13 History; right?
- 14 A No.
- 15 Q Because if you have Location Services enabled, you
- 16 \parallel can do all the fun stuff that I call it, but without
- 17 | Location History enabled, Google's not saving any of
- 18 that; right?
- 19 A Not that I'm aware of, no.
- 20 Q It doesn't go into the vault; right?
- 21 \blacksquare A I'm not sure. I don't know for sure. That would
- 22 be Google, but --
- 23 | Q Well, I'm asking you, in your expert experience,
- 24 | if you don't have Location History enabled, Google's
- 25 not saving the data; right?

- A It's not saving Location History. I don't know if they're still saving some location information is all
- 3 | I'm saying.
- 4 Q Well, do you think they're saving your Location
- 5 Services data when you've told them not to enable
- 6 Location History?
- 7 A So, look -- yeah, Location History is you creating
- 8 | that data that's being stored to you. There's still
- 9 advertising data and things being collected. I just
- 10 was trying to say, as far as Location History goes,
- 11 you can have Location Services running and either have
- 12 Location History either running or not. Two different
- 13 | things away from whether or not they still collect
- 14 \parallel some location data in other ways. But as far as
- 15 Location History goes --
- 16 Q They do not?
- 17 A Right.
- 18 \parallel Q So if Google tells you that the only thing the
- 19 government gets from a search warrant is Location
- 20 History, do you have any reason to doubt that?
- 21 **A** No.
- 22 Q And, therefore, I'm asking you if Location History
- 23 \parallel is not enabled, the government's not getting any
- 24 | location data out of Google; right?
- 25 A Correct.

- 1 Q We've talked real quick about our second search
- 2 warrant, and that's Defense Exhibit 8. And that had a
- 3 whole bunch of plots; right?
- 4 A Yes.
- 5 Q That's the second government's search warrant that
- 6 focused just on Mr. Chatrie's account; right?
- 7 A Correct.
- 8 Q It had a whole bunch because we covered, I think,
- 9 a little over 30 days of him traveling around; right?
- 10 A Correct.
- 11 Q But you're aware that that came after he was ID'd
- 12 as the likely suspect of this crime; right?
- 13 \blacksquare A I understand that.
- 15 | him; right?
- 16 A Correct.
- 17 Q And as I think I just said, this was a search
- 18 warrant; right?
- 19 A Right.
- 20 Q It was issued to Google; right?
- 21 A Correct.
- 22 Q Signed by a judge or a magistrate; right?
- 23 A Correct.
- 24 Q Okay. And I think you were asked, but if we could
- 25 go back to Government's 1, page 24. This is, in fact,

- 1 the device ID ending in 5659; right?
- 2 A Correct.
- 3 Q This is Mr. Chatrie's phone?
- 4 A Correct.
- 5 Q That's what we said. You would agree with me that
- 6 this is pretty precise information about Mr. Chatrie's
- 7 phone; right?
- 8 A Yes, sir.
- 9 Q In fact, all the red dots on the corner there are
- 10 | GPS marks; right?
- 11 A That's correct.
- 12 | Q And all of the map radiuses are completely within
- 13 \parallel the 150-meter geofence with the exception of one;
- 14 right?
- 15 A Correct.
- 17 church and also around the bank; right?
- 18 A Right.
- 19 Q In your law enforcement experience, do you
- 20 | question why the government would have gone after a
- 21 second search warrant on this phone?
- 22 A No.
- 23 MR. DUFFEY: Judge, if I could just have one
- 24 second, I think I'm about done.
- 25 All right, Judge. Thank you. I think I'm

1 done.

THE COURT: I do have one question.

Sir, do you know how towers store information? Do they store it by tower? So if you're doing a tower dump, what are you downloading?

THE WITNESS: So the carriers will look for how they store it. I don't know if they store it, necessarily, tower per tower, but when they do that search, they are searching based on the tower location. So they will query for, you know, in the tower dump instance, a period of time for a specific tower that the location referenced. It's searched based on usage of the tower.

THE COURT: So you don't know if the download comes from just the tower or from a bigger database?

THE WITNESS: Likely going to come from a larger database. I doubt that the tower location is holding all of the records.

THE COURT: All right.

MR. DUFFEY: May I ask two follow-ups to that?

22 THE COURT: Of course.

23 BY MR. DUFFEY:

Q So, first, to be clear, when you're talking tower dump, you're getting that information not from Google,

- 1 you're getting that from a cell phone provider; right?
- 2 A Correct.
- 3 Q So that's Verizon or AT&T or T-Mobile or some of
- 4 | those people?
- 5 A Correct.
- 6 Q And I think the Judge's question about what
- 7 database it comes from, tower dumps, we give them or
- 8 | law enforcement gives them an address; right? And
- 9 they say, Here are the likely towers that if that
- 10 person was near that location, here are the likely
- 11 towers that they were hitting off of; right?
- 12 A Correct.
- 13 \parallel Q That a phone would have been connecting to; right?
- 14 A Yes.
- 15 \parallel Q And then they get -- I guess, to clarify, the data
- 16 \parallel is not stored at the tower, but the data is stored and
- 17 saved, I guess, through each tower; right? Meaning
- 18 once Verizon figures out, Okay, there's two towers
- 19 that are at issue here, they go back. They're
- 20 searching their database just for those two towers;
- 21 right?
- 22 A Right.
- 23 \parallel Q To figure out which phones likely were hitting off
- 24 of those towers; right?
- 25 A Correct.

- Q Okay. And, again, then they give up actual phone
- 2 numbers, not anonymous reference numbers; right?
- 3 A That's right.
- 4 Q Okay.
- 5 MR. DUFFEY: That's all I have, Judge.
- 6 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.
- 7 MS. KOENIG: Your Honor, I'm going to try to
- 8 do this myself up here at the podium, but I'll need to
- 9 have the screen switched to the podium monitor,
- 10 please. Perfect.
- 11 REDIRECT EXAMINATION
- 12 BY MS. KOENIG:
- 13 Q All right, Mr. McInvaille. Before I lose track of
- 14 \parallel my last thought, in terms of the tower dump, so
- 15 \parallel when -- is it -- how does a phone -- when we have a
- 16 \parallel tower dump, what is the number doing? Like, the phone
- 17 | that is received in the tower dump, what does it mean
- 18 \parallel that that phone has done with that tower?
- 19 | A Generally, it's because a call or a text -- in
- 20 most cases, it's because a call or a text has
- 21 occurred.
- 22 Q Like, did it connect -- did that particular device
- 23 connect with that tower?
- 24 A Yes.
- 25 Q And so when the company is searching for all the

devices that connected with that tower, is it just looking for the phones that connected with that tower? A Yes, it's the ones that they actually have records for. So, again, kind of in that scenario of earlier when you asked or when it was asked if a phone is just sitting idle, while it will communicate or at least interact with the network, that's not information that's generally, it can be in some cases, recorded.

Most of what you see in the tower dump is due to calls or texts. There are other instances, but that's generally what's being requested.

- Q If I'm a judge, and I'm issuing a warrant for, like, a tower dump of Tower A, does that require the phone company to look through the data for the phones that connected to Tower B?
- A I don't think so. I would think they would be able to narrow it down just by the tower that they are actually looking for.
- Q Because it's specific to, like, a -- they record the data as to which tower it connected with; right?

 A Right.
 - Q Okay. Let's go way back to the Google account itself. And so we've talked about a Google account that's at issue in this case. Is the Google account in this case a Gmail account?

- 1 A Right, it is.
- 2 Q So when we say "Google account," we're meaning
- 3 | that Mr. Chatrie had a Gmail email address?
- $4 \parallel$ A Yes. You have to create one for an account.
- 5 Q Okay. What type of phone did Mr. Chatrie have?
- 6 A It was a Samsung S9.
- 7 Q Is that an Android phone?
- 8 A It is.
- 9 Q Who makes Android?
- 10 \parallel A The Android operating system is a Google product.
- 11 Q Okay. When we go back to Mr. Duffey's questions
- 12 | about the search that's run in the Stage 1 returns, do
- 13 we know how Google runs the return?
- 14 | A Other than looking inside of the Location History
- 15 database and drawing, you know, actually using the
- 16 | latitude and longitude to figure out where at -- you
- 17 know, if this data would actually fall within there.
- 18 | That's all I really know about how they conduct that
- 19 \parallel search through that database with the latitude and
- 20 | longitude.
- 21 | Q So let's go back to that latitude and longitude
- 22 point, and let's talk about it in context of Wi-Fi and
- 23 \parallel GPS. When we looked at Defense Exhibit 3, what are
- 24 \parallel the two types of sources of data, the location data,
- 25 that are in that Stage 1 return?

- 1 A And you're referring to the Wi-Fi and GPS portion?
- 2 Q Yes.
- 3 A Yes, those are two of the sources that are in
- 4 there.
- 5 Q The GPS and Wi-Fi?
- 6 A Yes.
- 7 Q So what is GPS? I know you said it was Global
- 8 Positioning System, but what does that mean?
- 9 A So that's using satellites to locate a GPS-enabled
- 10 device.
- 11 \square Q So I've got my phone in the courtroom. If I'm
- 12 connecting to a GPS satellite, how does that work?
- 13 A So, you don't really connect to it. The
- 14 satellites are broadcasting information down that can
- 15 be used. So GPS, you don't have the issues of loading
- 16 ∥ up the GPS system. It's because you're just receiving
- 17 | the information. Your device is resolving where it is
- 18 | based on the information it's receiving.
- 19 Q And that's very accurate information?
- 20 A It can be.
- 21 | Q And then with Wi-Fi, how do we generate Wi-Fi
- 22 | location data if a phone connects to a router?
- 23 \parallel A So, very basically, as far as how Google does it,
- 24 | it is based on generally knowing where the access
- 25 point is, because they don't know the exact place

within, say, your home that your access point is, but they're able to figure out that, hey, this access point is generally here at Laura's house.

Based on signal strengths, they can measure to and from that device. Then you can, with a few of those, resolve if I know where points A, B, and C are, and the phone is getting signals from A, B, and C based on signal strength, and probably some other information that they put along with that, they're able to resolve a location.

Q So I want to make sure because this is, I think, a compact issue. Let me make sure I understand each point.

So, somehow Google has determined where all these Wi-Fi routers are?

- A We, or Google users, share that information.
- 17 0 How do we do that?

A Your phone -- one of the things that you'll set up in the initial setup of a device is whether or not you want to share that type of information with Google. I don't recall the specific wording of it, but there is a place in here, Would you like to share that type of information? So being able to, say, share with Google, this is a -- you don't specifically tell them this, but your phone will tell it, like, hey, I'm

- here. And this is also what I see at the time, so that it can be used later to make those type of requests.
- Q And so when we see in Defense Exhibit 3 in the column that is regarding the sources, when we see a Wi-Fi connection, does it mean that that phone has actually connected to that router?
- A No, it's not like going somewhere to, like, your friend's house and connecting to the Wi-Fi. It just simply sees the identifiers for that access point that it's broadcasting. A connection between those doesn't have to be, like, user name/password kind of connection.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

- Q So if I'm at my house and I have a Wi-Fi router, and I have shared somehow this information with Google that I have a Wi-Fi router, do they keep that information?
- A Yes. You don't have to share it. It could be your neighbors that your neighbor's device or something picked this up and shared it. It's a community effort, pretty much, through Google.
- Q And so if you happen to be driving past my house, can your phone see my Wi-Fi router?
- A It's possible, depending on how far away it is, things like that. But, yes, in general, your phone

- would -- you know, say it's in close proximity to the
 road, you could see yours and your neighbor's, as
 well.
- Q So if you are -- if in the points of data that
 list out Wi-Fi, it doesn't mean that the person was at
 the -- like, in the building or in any way associated
 with the router from the place that the Wi-Fi is
 lindicating there's a longitude and latitude?
- 9 A Right. It's not a user name/password interaction.

 10 This is just that it sees the identifiers for that

 11 specific point.
- 12 Q What do you know about the ranges of Wi-Fi
 13 routers?

18

19

20

21

22

23

- A Generally, probably looking at 150 feet or so for a normal router. I'm sure you could -- you know, different sets could be bigger or smaller, but that's kind of generally what people look at.
 - Q So when Google is estimating the longitude and latitude that's listed in Columns D and E of Defense Exhibit 3, how are they estimating that longitude and latitude?
 - A Again, with Wi-Fi, it's because they're using those -- the kind of mostly known location of access points, signal strength values, to resolve a location.
- 25 \parallel Q So if a Wi-Fi point, the longitude and latitude is

- based on where Google thinks the Wi-Fi router itself
 is?
- 3 A That's a piece of it, yes.
- 4 Q And so when you're looking at the Wi-Fi data point
- 5 | that's plotted, the longitude and latitude, we're not
- 6 talking -- or are we talking about the longitude and
- 7 | latitude that Google thinks the device was at or where
- 8 the connection to the Wi-Fi router is?
- 9 A That's where it thinks the device was at that
- 10 given time.
- 11 Q But within this larger radius?
- 12 A Correct.
- 13 Q So the phone or the device could be anywhere
- 14 | within that blue circle?
- 15 A Correct.
- 16 Q Okay. I want to turn to Defense Exhibit 3. And
- 17 | if you'll look -- we've been talking a lot about the
- 18 | Stage 1 returns, which I think begin at page 6 and
- 19 maybe end around page 12, and I want you to look at
- 20 the Stage 2 returns.
- 21 A Is that in the same --
- 22 \blacksquare Q In the same exhibit. The Stage 2 portion of
- 23 \parallel Exhibit 3, which is the second spreadsheet.
- 24 A Okay.
- 25 \parallel Q Does that portion of Exhibit 3, the Stage 2 data

- 1 returns, does that also have a maps display radius?
- 2 A Yes, all of the Location History data does.
- 3 Q Can you look through those map display radiuses
- 4 and tell us some of the larger numbers that you see?
- 5 \blacksquare A On the first page, the largest is 179. The
- 6 smallest, I believe, is 16, it looks like.
- 7 Q Let's go to the next page.
- 8 A This page, the largest is 413, it looks like.
- 9 That's on line 42.
- 10 | Q Let's go to the third page.
- 11 A Line, it looks like, 75 is 164 meters.
- 12 Q Then let's go to the next page.
- 13 A 100 meters is line 104.
- 14 Q Okay. The next page.
- 15 A Line 157 is 1,797.
- 16 \parallel Q And let's go to the next page after that.
- 17 A Line 170 is 64.
- 18 Q What's the next page after that?
- 19 A 156 meters, line 231.
- 20 Q Next page after that.
- 21 \parallel A Looks like 55, which is line 264, 55 meters.
- 22 Q Can you go to the next page?
- 23 A 210. It is line 292.
- 24 \blacksquare Q Let's go to the next page after that.
- 25 A Line 322 is 1,573.

- Q Let's just have you kind of more quickly flip
 through and tell us if you see any other large numbers
 that are over a thousand.
- A It's the page that begins on the Column 397 or Row 397 through 429. Row 420 is 1,026. The final page begins with Row 661, ends in 681. The Row 681 has 1,838 meters.
- 8 Q Okay. So is it fair to say that the map display
 9 radius varies depending on the longitude and latitude
 10 point, the individual data point?
- 11 A Each point has their own display radius.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

24

- Q Had the geofence swept in one of these data points that had a map display radius of over a thousand feet, the effective radius of the geofence would have been multiple thousands of feet just in the radius, in the diameter?
- A If you look at it as if the -- if that point had fallen within the fence and that circle extended, and then if the phone could be anywhere within that circle, then I guess you could look at it that way.
- Q So is there any way for a judge or law enforcement to know ahead of time what the effective radius of the geofence is going to be?
 - A I guess knowing what I know is that the only thing that you can, as far as that search goes, is knowing

- that the estimated latitude and longitude would have to fall within the circle for it to be captured.
- 3 Q But the effective radius could end up being
- 4 thousands of feet or meters larger than that; right?
- 5 A In that scenario, I guess, yes.
- 6 Q I want to talk about the device ID that's listed
- 7 in Column A of Defense Exhibit 3. The government
- 8 asked you a number of questions about whether that's
- 9 tied to a phone number, and you've indicated that you
- 10 don't have any information that it is. Is that right?
- 11 A Correct.
- 12 Q Have you reviewed Ms. Rodriguez's declaration,
- 13 which is Defense Exhibit 24?
- 14 A Yes.
- 15 Q By Ms. Rodriguez, I mean Sarah Rodriguez from
- 16 Google.
- 17 A I have.
- 19 \parallel that the device ID remains the same from one geofence
- 20 search to another?
- 21 A Say that again.
- 22 Q In reviewing Ms. Rodriguez's affidavit, does it
- 23 \parallel indicate to you whether the device ID, that number in
- 24 \parallel Column A, remains the same for each device from
- 25 geofence search to geofence search?

- 1 Α The way it's described, it appears that the kind 2 of global identifier that would face out through the 3 accounts is stripped, but that that number that you see within these requests is an identifier that stays 4 with that particular device, but only within the 5 Location History database. It does not reach outside 6 7
- So if I have a device ID of 123, I think they're 8 9 more complicated than that, but if there's a device ID 10 number of 123, and device ID No. 123 is swept up in 11 geofence warrant one, if I see device ID No. 123 in 12 geofence warrant No. 2, does that mean that that is 13 the same device?
- 14 Based on reading her declaration, I believe so.
 - Is there any obligation that the law enforcement officers who obtain the returns from Google have to return the data after they have used it in any way?
- 18 I'm not aware of any.

of that database.

- Okay. Let's talk now about some of the questions that Mr. Duffey was asking you about your follow-up investigation. As a former law enforcement officer, were you a detective?
- 23 I was.

15

16

17

19

20

21

22

24 And as a detective, is it your job to do police 25 work and follow-up work?

- 1 A That's what I did.
- 2 Q Such as get search warrants?
- 3 A Yes.
- 4 Q And do surveillance?
- 5 A Yes.
- 6 Q And try to track people down from various location
- 7 data points?
- 8 A Yes.
- 9 Q Were you successful in doing that?
- 10 A I believe so.
- 11 \blacksquare Q Is that the nature of detective work is you have
- 12 to actually do the work?
- 13 A It is. I mean, connecting the dots is what you
- 14 do.
- 15 Q Obviously, law enforcement officers would like
- 16 their jobs to be easier; right?
- 17 A Sure.
- 18 \parallel Q But there are sometimes stumbling blocks?
- 19 A There's work to be done.
- 20 Q But you still have to work around that?
- 21 A Yes.
- 22 Q When we were talking in advance of today, do you
- 23 \parallel have an example of two data points that would apply to
- 24 you today but nobody else probably?
- 25 A Yeah. I recall you asking me this before, and I

- 1 kind of used the example of me coming here today.
- 2 Q How would that work?
- 3 A I think I'm the only person. I haven't seen any
- 4 of my neighbors, but I think I'm the only person from
- 5 | my cul-de-sac who traveled from Holly Springs, North
- 6 Carolina, to the federal courthouse today. I don't
- 7 | think there's anybody else. So those two points would
- 8 be --
- 9 Q Sufficient to identify you?
- 10 A Yes.
- 11 Q Okay. And, obviously, the more points of data you
- 12 | have, is it more likely that you're going to get a
- 13 precise narrowing down of who the identity is of that
- 14 person that's carrying that device?
- 15 A Can be. With what I do, more data is -- we always
- 16 \parallel want more data. It helps everything when you can --
- 17 the more you know.
- 18 Q I want to turn now to the screens that we were
- 19 talking about. So if we go to Mr. McGriff's
- 20 affidavit. And so this is Defense Exhibit 23 at page
- 21 \parallel 3. If you can turn to that exhibit, please.
- 22 A Say that again.
- 23 Q Defense Exhibit 23 at page 3.
- 24 I'm sorry. So on Footnote 2 of page 3, Mr.
- 25 McGriff refers to, like, a second screen; right?

- 1 A Yes.
- 2 Q And have you been able to determine that -- and if
- 3 we look up, we see on that above, that page flips into
- 4 paragraph 8 of Defense Exhibit 23. And that portion
- $5 \parallel$ has the Location History in bold, and then under that
- 6 | it says "Saves where you go with your devices"?
- 7 A Yes.
- 8 Q And then under that it has "Location History.
- 9 Saves where you go with your devices"?
- 10 A Yes.
- 11 \parallel Q And so when Mr. McGriff seems to be talking about
- 12 | the second screen, we've been able to determine, is
- 13 | that really just the language that's under the drop
- 14 down arrow?
- 15 A That's what it looks like. This language that you
- 16 \parallel see in this under No. 8 is the same that we saw in
- 17 most of the other screenshots that actually show the
- 18 expansion arrow selected.
- 19 Q Because when Mr. McGriff is writing this, he's
- 20 indicating I don't have the screenshots -- right? --
- 21 \parallel of activating this? And so I'm describing what
- 22 | language would have been presented?
- 23 | A I don't believe so. I don't think he turned
- 24 over -- I think that's correct.
- 25 Q And so when we see the "Saves where you go with

- 1 your devices" language in the examples that you have
- 2 put forth in Defendant's Exhibit 7, all the user has
- 3 to see under Location History is "Saves where you go
- 4 with your devices, " in the Norwegian example,
- 5 specifically, and then they can click yes, and that
- 6 will turn on?
- 7 **∥** A Yes, you can.
- 8 Q And that's it? You don't have to look at any of
- 9 that other expansion arrow?
- 10 A You don't have to, no.
- 11 Q And going back to -- I just want to make sure I'm,
- 12 | again, clear about turning on Google Assistant. So if
- 13 I have my phone. I just push that little circle
- 14 | button at the bottom; right? That's the home button?
- 15 A Yeah, I believe that's the icon that's used.
- 16 \parallel Q And you just hold it and press it for a couple of
- 17 seconds?
- 18 A It's not even really a couple of seconds. It's
- 19 more of just, you know, if you were clicking on
- 20 something on a website, how you normally just tap the
- 21 screen. This is more of just a press, a longer
- 22 version of that touch.
- 23 \parallel Q And so if you're doing that for the first time,
- 24 | and you haven't already activated Google Assistant,
- 25 | it's going to take you to the setup process; right?

- 1 A From what I've seen, yes.
- 2 Q And then when you're doing that, you either have
- 3 to choose yes, I'm going to do it or no, I'm not going
- 4 | to do it to turn on Google Assistant?
- 5 A Right. You either "Skip" the setup of Google
- 6 Assistant or you go "Next," and have to choose
- 7 permissions.
- 8 Q So you just have to do two clicks; right? The
- 9 long press of the home button and then the "Yes, I'm
- 10 | in"; right? You just have to do two clicks; right?
- 11 | Or two presses or two movements of your hand?
- 12 A I guess it would actually be three.
- 13 Q What would the movements be?
- 14 A You're long pressing to launch the app. When that
- 15 comes up, then you see the "Meet your Google"
- 16 | Assistant screen. You can select "Skip" or "Next."
- 17 If you select "Next," it takes you to the permission
- 18 screen where you have to make the selection of "Turn
- 19 on or "No, thanks."
- 20 | Q So, thank you for correcting me. So it's three
- 21 presses, and that could happen within probably less
- 22 than a second; right?
- 23 A I guess, yeah, you could.
- 24 \parallel Q Okay. Is it pretty easy to turn on Google
- 25 Assistant, then?

- 1 A Yeah, it can be.
- 2 Q And anywhere in the screens that have you found in
- 3 the research of what the screens themselves would have
- 4 | looked like to a user setting up Google Assistant on
- $5 \parallel \text{July 9th of 2018, does it indicate that deleting}$
- 6 information, that if you accept Location History, does
- 7 | it ever indicate that deleting your information
- 8 doesn't stop you from tracking information in the
- 9 | future?
- 10 A I'm not sure. Ask that again.
- 11 Q So if we go down to the drop down menu, your
- 12 | location history. And the bottom says -- I'm sorry.
- 13 \parallel Not that. Not that portion. The -- so the paragraph
- 14 \parallel that's right above the boxes that say either "No,
- 15 | thanks or "Turn on." So this is on page 4 of
- 16 \parallel Exhibit 7, which is the second set of the July 2, 2018
- 17 screenshots from the Norwegian Consumer Council.
- 18 A Yes.
- 19 \parallel Q That paragraph that begins "This data may be saved
- 20 and used in any Google service. And the second
- 21 | sentence says that you can delete the Location History
- 22 data; right?
- 23 A Yes.
- 24 Q Does that in any way indicate that if you delete
- 25 | the Location History data, that it will still keep

- 1 tracking Location History data in the future?
- 2 A No, I don't think it indicates that it won't. If
- 3 | just by simply deleting your old location history, no,
- 4 I don't think that indicates that it will stop
- 5 collecting more information.
- 6 Q But if I were -- like, if I had Location History
- 7 enabled, and I deleted at this time my location
- 8 history, would it still keep tracking my location
- 9 history even if I deleted the old information?
- 10 A If you allow it to continue to be enabled, then,
- 11 yes, it would.
- 12 | Q But there's no -- when you delete it, is there a
- 13 portion of the deletion information that tells you
- 14 **∥** that you are not going -- that location history
- 15 | information will still continue to be gathered from
- 16 you?
- 17 A I'm not aware that that's the way that it's
- 18 | displayed, but deleting it is not going to stop it
- 19 from -- deleting your old history is not going to stop
- 20 it from collecting.
- 21 MS. KOENIG: If I can have just a moment,
- 22 Your Honor.
- 23 \parallel No further questions, Your Honor. Thank you.
- 24 THE COURT: All right. Can this witness be
- 25 excused?

189

```
MS. KOENIG: He may, Your Honor.
 1
 2
             THE COURT: All right. Excused excused or
 3
    subject to recall?
             MS. KOENIG: Subject to recall, Your Honor,
 4
 5
    by the defense.
 6
             THE COURT: All right, sir. You may stand
7
    down. Thank you for your testimony.
             Because you might be subject to recall, it's
8
 9
    still as if you're testifying. You can't talk to
10
    anybody about what you've testified to or what anybody
11
    else has testified to. Thank you.
12
             (The witness was excused from the witness
13
     stand.)
14
             MS. KOENIG: Your Honor, if we could take a
    brief break before we begin the next witness.
15
16
             THE COURT: Yes, I think it's a good time to
    do that. So we could go -- we'll go until just five
17
18
    minutes of three. That's a little more than 15
19
    minutes.
```

MS. KOENIG: Thank you, Your Honor.

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: So we'll take a recess. Again, nobody violate our sequester order that continues to be in place. All right? Thank you.

(Recess at 2:35 p.m. to 2:55 p.m.)

THE COURT: All right. So we're returning

from our break. I need to, if we have folks online to
remind them that our Local Rule Criminal Rule 53
prohibits, and our standing order, prohibits anybody
from recording or broadcasting or telecasting these
proceedings. It is as if you are in court with us.
And we have one court reporter making our official
record.

So I understand we have a new witness, who is actually in the witness box, but who needs to be called and sworn. Right?

MR. PRICE: Thank you, Your Honor. The defense calls Marlo McGriff to the stand.

MARLO MCGRIFF, called by the Defendant, first being duly sworn, testified as follows:

adhering to COVID protocol here. Obviously, you can see we have plastic barriers. We're not within 6 feet of each other unless folks have taken necessary precautions. You have sanitizer there and hand sanitizer.

When you're testifying, the only way that my court reporter can hear you is through the microphone. So you can either testify with or without a mask. I

just want to be sure that it is going through the microphone so we can hear you clearly. All right, sir?

THE WITNESS: Okay.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you.

MR. PRICE: And, Your Honor, I just wanted to remind the Court that we have agreed to treat Google's witnesses, including Mr. McGriff, as adverse in this case.

THE COURT: All right. I do need to remind you all of one thing. On the break, I was notified that I have to attend an important conference call at 5 o'clock. And so we're going to have to break before 5 o'clock. Otherwise, I wouldn't do it, but it is apparently enough that we have to take a break. So we will be doing that, just to give you the advance notice.

MR. PRICE: Understood. Thank you, Your Honor.

DIRECT EXAMINATION

22 BY MR. PRICE:

Q Mr. McGriff, hi. Good afternoon. I'm Michael
Price. I'm an attorney with Mr. Chatrie. Thank you
for being here today.

- 1 You are a Location History Product Manager for
- 2 Google?
- 3 A That's correct.
- 4 Q And that means you're responsible for the Location
- 5 History product?
- 6 A That's correct.
- 7 Q And you've had that position since 2016?
- 8 A That's correct.
- 9 Q And you joined Google in 2011?
- 10 A Yes.
- 11 | Q So you're very familiar with Google?
- 12 A That's correct.
- 13 | Q And you're very, very familiar with Location
- 14 History in particular?
- 15 A Yes.
- 17 | years?
- 18 A That's right.
- 19 Q And now you lead the cross functional location
- 20 history team?
- 21 A That's correct.
- 22 Q So that means you're not just familiar with how
- 23 | Location History works, but how it works with Google's
- 24 other services?
- 25 A That's correct.

- 1 Q And does that include Google Assistant?
- 2 A Some aspects of Assistant, yes.
- 3 Q And you filed three declarations in this case;
- 4 correct?
- 5 A That's correct.
- 6 Q Your first on March 11th, 2020?
- 7 A I believe so, yes.
- 8 MR. PRICE: Can we bring up Defense Exhibit
- 9 1.
- 10 \blacksquare Q This is the first declaration that you filed in
- 11 | this case?
- 12 A Yes. You said this is Exhibit 1?
- 13 Q Yes. It has previously been admitted, and it's
- 14 Defense Exhibit 1.
- 15 MS. KOENIG: 21.
- 16 THE COURT: 21.
- MR. PRICE: 21, I'm sorry.
- 18 | Q Okay.
- 19 A Yes.
- 20 Q Great. So Location History was not initially
- 21 designed to assist law enforcement investigations, was
- 22 it?
- 23 A That's correct.
- 24 Q It was designed to support Google's Timeline
- 25 | feature as you explain on page 9?

McGRIFF - DIRECT

- THE COURT: Are we entering this into evidence?
- MR. PRICE: It is already in evidence, Your 4 Honor.
- THE COURT: No, it's not. No. 3 is in evidence.
- 7 MS. KOENIG: I have Exhibit 21 is admitted 8 into evidence with Mr. McInvaille, Your Honor.
- 9 THE COURT: Oh, it sure is. My apologies.
- 10 | It's 22 that isn't. My apologies.

get it accurately. All right?

- 11 A That's correct. It mentions, if I'm looking at
 12 page 9, it mentions Timeline as a feature, yes.
- THE COURT: So, sir, I didn't hear a word of
 that. So I think the microphone needs to be a little
 closer to you. And it's natural when you're sort of
 looking away from the document at the document that
 you also turn away from the microphone. It's an
 unnatural way to speak, but we want to be sure that we
- 20 THE WITNESS: Okay. Sorry about that.
- 21 BY MR. PRICE:
- 22 Q So could you repeat that answer? Location History
- 23 was designed to support Google's Timeline feature;
- 24 correct?

19

25 A That's correct.

- Q And as you wrote in your first declaration, also on page 9, "The purposes for which Google designed
- 3 Location History do not depend on any individual
- 4 stored Location History data points"?
- 5 A That's correct.
- 6 Q In other words, Google can infer where a person is
- 7 heading with their device even with a few points
- 8 registering along their path?
- 9 A I'm not sure that I follow that question.
- 10 Q Google uses Location History to infer a user's
- 11 | location; correct?
- 12 A I wouldn't use it -- I wouldn't frame it in that
- 13 \parallel way, but various signals are used to infer where a
- 14 \parallel user is. That's how we infer a user's location. And
- 15 \parallel then those inferences are stored, which create the
- 16 history.
- 17 Q Sure. And if somebody is traveling along a path,
- 18 \parallel and one dot is kind of off out of the way, Location
- 19 History will snap that point right back onto the path;
- 20 correct?
- 21 A Only if it makes sense. We do filter out like an
- 22 outlier because that sort of teleportation can happen.
- 23 THE COURT: That sort of what?
- 24 THE WITNESS: Sorry. There can be an outlier
- 25 point. But, logically, if I was here, and then

- 1 there's one point I'm sitting in -- let's say I was
- 2 sitting here for an hour. And there's one point
- 3 | that's not where I've been sitting for the hour.
- 4 | That's an outlier point, but yes.
- 5 Q My point is, it's precise enough for what it was
- 6 designed to do for Timeline?
- 7 A That's correct.
- 8 Q And that's why you wrote, "Location History" --
- 9 this is also on page 9, Slide 2, this is why you
- 10 wrote, "Location History is sufficiently precise and
- 11 reliable for these purposes for which Google designed
- 12 Location History"; correct?
- 13 A That's correct.
- 14 | Q That indicates, though, that there's maybe more
- 15 | than one purpose for Location History; correct?
- 16 A Yes.
- 17 Q Another purpose of Location History, as you wrote
- 18 \parallel in the same paragraph, is to serve ads based on user
- 19 | location?
- 20 A Yes.
- 21 **||** Q And for some advertisers you also provide
- 22 | information about store visit conversions; is that
- 23 true?
- 24 A That's correct.
- 25 Q Could you explain what store conversions are?

McGRIFF - DIRECT

- 1 A So, for Location History, we never share anyone's
 2 location history with a third party. So there's no
- 3 instance where you would share with a third party that
- 4 | I went into a particular store. What Location History
- 5 is used for in terms of advertising is very
- 6 specifically ads measurement. And so that is for a
- 7 particular campaign, how many users who saw a
- 8 particular ad actually went to one of those stores.
- 9 And that's the store visit conversion or ads
- 10 measurement you're referring to.
- THE COURT: Okay. You're just talking too
- 12 quickly. I'm so sorry.
- 13 THE WITNESS: I'm sorry.
- 14 THE COURT: It sounds as if that's the way
- 15 you normally talk, but pretend like I'm three, and
- 16 slow down a little, if you don't mind.
- 17 THE WITNESS: Yes, absolutely.
- 18 BY MR. PRICE:
- 19 \parallel Q So Google is doing this in a privacy protective
- 20 way; correct?
- 21 A That's correct.
- 22 Q It's not giving user location data over to stores
- 23 about who was around?
- 24 A No.
- 25 Q And businesses can also use Google to target ads

- 1 based on a device's location?
- 2 A Not using Location History, though.
- 3 Q Right. They're not using the user's thing.
- 4 | They're going to Google, and they're attempting to
- 5 target ads based on geography?
- 6 A Yes.
- 7 Q Okay. In fact, it's possible to do something
- 8 called radius targeting; is that true?
- 9 A Yes, but I'm not totally familiar on the full ad
- 10 suite of products.
- 11 Q My understanding is that it allows a business to
- 12 | target ads to users that are within a certain distance
- 13 of that business.
- 14 \blacksquare A That sounds correct, yes.
- 15 Q And there's a minimum radius that advertisers can
- 16 ∥ select when doing that; right? You can't make your
- 17 | radius 4 meters or something like that?
- 18 | THE COURT: Okay. Now you're also fading
- 19 out.
- 20 There you go.
- 21 MR. PRICE: Sorry.
- 22 BY MR. PRICE:
- 23 Q There's a minimum radius that advertisers must
- 24 adhere to. They can't select I believe it's less than
- 25 | a kilometer or less than a mile radius?

- 1 A That sounds correct.
- 2 Q And those businesses don't actually get to see
- 3 which devices are in the area; correct?
- 4 A Not that I'm aware of, no.
- 5 Q And the businesses can't go back to Google and ask
- 6 for more information about where a particular user was
- 7 | half an hour before or half an hour later?
- 8 A No.
- 9 Q They can't get any information at all about
- 10 | individual users; correct?
- 11 A Not that I'm aware of, no.
- 12 | Q And that's true even when you're tracking store
- 13 visit conversions, no exceptions?
- 14 A That's correct.
- 15 Q So Google filed an amicus brief in this case. Are
- 16 you aware of that?
- 17 A Yes.
- 19 helped put it together?
- 20 A Yes.
- 21 MR. PRICE: I'd like to bring up Defense
- 22 Exhibit 2.
- Q Is this the amicus brief?
- 24 A Which --
- 25 Q It's also on your screen as Defense Exhibit 2.

- 1 A I don't think my screen is actually updating.
- 2 It's been static on the same --
- 3 MS. KOENIG: It is different, but they are
- 4 white papers with letters on them.
- 5 THE WITNESS: Maybe it just looks the same.
- 6 BY MR. PRICE:
- 7 Q It should say "Brief of Amicus Curiae Google LLC."
- 8 A I see it. Thank you.
- 9 Q So that's the amicus brief that Google filed that
- 10 you helped prepare?
- 11 A Yes.
- 12 MR. PRICE: I'd like to admit that into
- 13 | evidence, Your Honor.
- 14 THE COURT: No objection, right?
- MR. SIMON: No objection, Judge.
- 16 THE COURT: It will be admitted for purposes
- 17 of the hearing, too.
- 18 MR. PRICE: Thank you.
- 19 (Defense Exhibit No 2 is admitted into
- 20 evidence.)
- 21 BY MR. PRICE:
- 22 \parallel Q So on pages 5 to 6, Slide 4, the brief goes out of
- 23 \parallel its way to correct a misconception in this case;
- 24 correct?
- 25 A That's correct.

- Q Google says that a geofence warrant is not really analogous to a so-called tower dump?
- 3 A Yes.
- 4 Q And the brief states, "In fact, while Google
- 5 Location History information bears some similarities
- 6 to those types of data in some respects, it is
- 7 different in important ways that are highly relevant";
- 8 right?
- 9 A Yes.
- 10 Q And it goes on to explain, with respect to cell
- 11 site location information, which is how tower dumps
- 12 work, "When law enforcement seeks access to CSLI,"
- 13 cell site location information, "it is thus asking the
- 14 | wireless carriers to produce its own business records
- 15 showing when a particular device connected to a cell
- 16 \parallel site within a particular period of time. A request
- 17 | for a tower dump likewise seeks the wireless carrier's
- 18 own business records. In that case, identifying every
- 19 phone that connected to a particular cell site or
- 20 tower in a particular period"; correct?
- 21 A Yes.
- 22 THE COURT: What page are you on?
- 23 \blacksquare MR. PRICE: That is page 9, Your Honor.
- 24 THE COURT: Thank you.
- 25 BY MR. PRICE:

- Q And there are only so many people that can connect to one cell tower at a time; right?
- 3 A That's correct.
- 4 Q And so there's sort of an upper limit on the
- 5 number of users that can be searched as a result of a
- 6 tower dump?
- 7 A That I don't know.
- 8 Q Well, if you're choosing, say, three towers to
- 9 search, and there's a maximum number of people that
- 10 can be on one tower at a time, would you agree there's
- 11 a maximum, there's a cap?
- 12 \blacksquare A That has to be some cap, yes.
- 13 Q There's a natural limit?
- 14 | A Yeah.
- 15 Q And that would be true even if the tower dump
- 16 \parallel involved more than one tower. There would still be
- 17 | that sort of upper limit. And that differs from how
- 18 geofence searches work; right?
- 19 A That's correct.
- 20 Q That's because -- and this is on page 8 of your
- 21 | first declaration, which is Exhibit 21, Defense
- 22 Exhibit 21. You explain that's because, unlike tower
- 23 dumps, Google does not know which users may have saved
- 24 | Location History data before conducting the search and
- 25 running computations; correct?

- 1 A That's correct.
- 2 Q And going back to the amicus, and I apologize for
- 3 the -- this is Slide 7 at page 12. Google says it
- 4 | "has no way to know ex ante which users may have
- 5 Location History data indicating their potential
- 6 presence in particular areas at particular times"; is
- 7 | that correct?
- 8 A That's correct.
- 9 Q So, unlike a tower dump, there is no way of just
- 10 | searching Location History records for people in one
- 11 area in the way that you do with a tower dump by
- 12 | looking at a tower?
- 13 A That's correct.
- 14 Q You have to search all of the records for every
- 15 user with Location History enabled; right?
- 16 A Yes.
- 17 \parallel Q And this is at your first declaration, page 8,
- 18 Slide 8. You say in your first declaration, To
- 20 all Location History data to identify users with
- 21 Location History data during the relevant time frame;
- 22 correct?
- 23 A Yes.
- 24 Q And you then have to run a computation against
- 25 every set of stored Location History coordinates to

- determine which records match the geographic parameters in the warrant?
- 3 A Yes.
- 4 Q And then, as Google explains in its amicus,
- 5 similarly, page 12, "In order to comply with the first
- 6 step of the geofence protocol, therefore, Google must
- 7 search across all Location History journal entries to
- 8 didentify users with potentially responsive Location
- 9 History data, and then run a computation against every
- 10 set of coordinates to determine which Location History
- 11 records match the time and space parameters in the
- 12 warrant"?
- 13 A Yes.
- 14 Q So for every geofence warrant, Google has to
- 15 search across all Location History journal entries,
- 16 \parallel and then it has to run a computation against every set
- 17 of coordinates; correct?
- 18 A Yes.
- 19 \parallel Q In other words, you had to search everybody with
- 20 | Location History enabled?
- 21 A Yes.
- 22 Q That's what happened in this case?
- 23 A Yes.
- 24 \parallel Q To look for users in the geofence provided by the
- 25 warrant, Google had to search literally everybody with

- 1 Location History enabled?
- 2 A Yes.
- 3 Q I want to try and figure out just how many people
- 4 | had their data searched in this case. So Google
- 5 searched all accounts with Location History enabled,
- 6 and in your first declaration -- this would be the
- 7 | fourth page, paragraph 13 -- you say, In 2019, roughly
- 8 one-third of active Google users, i.e., numerous tens
- 9 of millions of Google users, had their Location
- 10 History enabled on their accounts?
- 11 A Yes.
- 12 Q Numerous tens of millions. That's a lot.
- 13 A Yes.
- 14 Q Can you tell us precisely how many?
- 15 A At that point in time, I cannot.
- 16 | Q Let's try it a different way. Google owns
- 17 Android; right?
- 18 A That's correct.
- 19 \parallel Q And you know that Android has a Twitter account?
- 20 \blacksquare A I'm certain they do, yes.
- 21 Q And occasionally it tweets updates about Android.
- 22 Yes?
- 23 A Yes.
- 24 \square Q And in May 2019, Android said they had 2.5 billion
- 25 active users; is that accurate?

- 1 A I have not seen that tweet.
- 2 Q Well, I believe we can show it to you. It's
- 3 Defense Exhibit 55.
- 4 \blacksquare A The tweet says 2.5 billion active devices. It
- 5 doesn't speak to accounts.
- 6 Q As a rough estimate, would that differ
- 7 significantly from the number of users?
- 8 MR. SIMON: Judge, I'm going to object. He's
- 9 answered the question. He said he doesn't know. The
- 10 pressing on, I think particularly given the time
- 11 | constraints, the witness had answered the question to
- 12 the extent he has personal knowledge.
- 13 THE COURT: Just finish answering that
- 14 question and we will move on.
- 15 A I wouldn't be able to say.
- 16 Q Okay.
- 17 THE COURT: Are you moving that into evidence
- 18 or not? Is it just demonstrative?
- 19 MR. PRICE: It was just demonstrative, Your
- 20 Honor.
- 21 BY MR. PRICE:
- 22 \parallel Q If you had to take a rough estimate at the number
- 23 \parallel of active users in 2019, do you think it would be much
- 24 different than 2.5 billion?
- 25 A I would not even know where to begin to make that

- 1 assessment.
- 2 Q So you don't know how many people have Location
- 3 | History enabled? I mean, presumably, you said you're
- 4 | in charge of the Location History product.
- 5 A Yes. If the question is how many users were opted
- 6 in to Location History in 2019, I do not know that
- 7 | number off the top of my head. My clarifying
- 8 questions would be at a particular point in 2019,
- 9 because it's not a static number? Or were you just
- 10 looking for a rough range or average? But, again, I
- 11 wouldn't know that off the top of my head.
- 12 I remember at the time of preparing this that it
- 13 was roughly a third, the Android number, but I do not
- 14 \parallel know the Android number again off the top of my head.
- 15 Q And if we take, for example, if we say 2.5 billion
- 16 \parallel as the Android number, a third of that would translate
- 17 | into about 800 million, just generally.
- 18 A The Android number here was referencing devices,
- 19 though. I, for example, have multiple devices, but
- 20 | only one account that I'm using across all those
- 21 devices.
- 22 Q In your estimation as the Location History Product
- 23 | Manager, would you estimate that there were more or
- 24 | less than 800 million users with Location History
- 25 enabled at some point in 2019?

- 1 A Users as in they have the account on, Location
- 2 | History on, or Location History on and actively
- 3 reporting?
- 4 Q Enabled on their accounts.
- 5 A That I couldn't say. That I couldn't say.
- 6 Q Okay. In any case -- all right. We'll move on.
- 7 I want to talk about how Google processes Location
- 8 | History geofence warrants. When Google receives a
- 9 geofence warrant, what happens? What is the process?
- 10 A I'm not involved in the processing of the warrants
- 11 \parallel in any way.
- 12 \square Q Do you know if there are any rules that Google has
- 13 about the size of a geofence warrant?
- 14 \parallel A I know at a high level the team works to be
- 15 sure -- at a high level I know that there's some back
- 16 \parallel and forth in terms of the refinement of the request,
- 17 \parallel but I'm not involved in the details of that
- 18 refinement.
- 19 \parallel Q What do you mean, back and forth about the
- 20 | refinement of a request?
- 21 \parallel A Just clarification. Do we have the right details?
- 22 There's some process. I believe there's someone else
- 23 | who's a witness who can speak to it in detail, but I'm
- 24 \parallel not involved in that process at all.
- 25 \parallel Q So you don't know if there's an upper limit on the

- 1 size of a geofence that Google would respond for?
- 2 A I am not involved in that at all day-to-day.
- 3 Q If we asked for all the data in a city over a
- 4 | two-week period, would Google comply with that?
- 5 A I can say with certainty they likely wouldn't, but
- 6 I have no idea what their parameters are for that.
- 7 Q Do you know what the rules are for narrowing
- 8 things down at each stage of the process?
- 9 A I do not.
- 10 Q So when the government comes back in Stage 2 and
- 11 says, Well, you know, we want all of them, do you know
- 12 | if that's okay or not?
- 13 A Again, my knowledge and involvement with that
- 14 \parallel process is limited to something is wrong in their
- 15 processing, and there's an ask to understand why some
- 16 | particular aspect of retrieving whatever they've
- 17 decided fits within scope is not retrieving in the way
- 18 | that it should.
- 19 Q What do you mean "not retrieving in the way that
- 20 it should"?
- 21 A That there's some delay or some sort of just
- 22 general process breakdown. I'm often engaged to --
- 23 | not often, but when it happens, I'm engaged to assist
- 24 | with looking into the issue, but, again, I'm not
- 25 | involved in either the receipt of or the processing of

- $1 \parallel$ or the response to.
- 2 Q Okay. You're aware that Google has notified some
- 3 users when they've been the subject of a geofence
- 4 warrant; right?
- 5 A That's correct, yes.
- 6 Q You're aware that just recently Google notified a
- 7 Minneapolis user who is the subject of a geofence
- 8 warrant targeting protesters following the death of
- 9 George Floyd?
- 10 \blacksquare A I was not aware of that, no.
- 11 | Q Were you aware that Google notified a user in
- 12 Florida who is the subject of a geofence warrant from
- 13 the Gainesville Police Department?
- 14 \blacksquare A I was not aware of that, no.
- 15 Q In which cases are you aware of Google notifying
- 16 users of a geofence warrant?
- 17 A I am not involved in any way in the day-to-day
- 18 processing of geofence warrants, their receipt, any
- 19 responses. That is not involved in my day-to-day
- 20 whatsoever.
- 22 Mr. Chatrie that he was the subject of a geofence
- 23 warrant in this case?
- 24 \parallel A I would not be able to comment on that.
- 25 Q I assume you don't know, then, the rules for when

- Google will notify users that they are the subject of a geofence warrant?
- 3 A I do not know that, I'm sorry.
- 4 Q Okay. I want to go back to your first
- 5 declaration, page 7. It says, "Location History is
- 6 the only form of location data that Google maintains
- 7 | that Google believes to be responsive to a geofence
- 8 request"?
- 9 A That is correct, yes.
- 10 Q And Location History is the only form of location
- 11 data that was produced to the government in this case?
- 12 A To my knowledge, yes.
- 13 Q So no Google Location Accuracy data, no Web & App
- 14 Activity data?
- 15 A No.
- 16 \parallel Q And the reason for that is because only Location
- 17 | History -- well, let me take a step back. When the
- 18 | government makes a geofence request, does it specify
- 19 **∥** that it wants to search only Location History?
- 20 A I don't believe so.
- 21 Q And Google does actually maintain location data
- 22 | apart from Location History in Web & App Activity, for
- 23 | example?
- 24 \parallel A Some location information can be captured in Web &
- 25 App Activity, yes.

- Q But Location History was the only repository of location data that Google searched in this case?
- 3 A Yes.
- 4 Q That's because only Location History is
- 5 sufficiently granular to be responsive and searchable?
- 6 A That is my understanding, yes.
- 7 Q And only Location History is able to pinpoint a
- 8 user's estimated location with enough precision?
- 9 A That is correct.
- 10 | Q So Google decided that only Location History was
- 11 precise enough to be searched in response to a
- 12 geofence warrant?
- 13 A That is my understanding, yes.
- 14 Q Okay. Now, even though you said pinpoint, and
- 15 | this is page 8 of your declaration, the location data
- 16 **∥** points reflected in Location History are really
- 17 estimates; is that right?
- 18 A That's correct.
- 19 Q A user's actual location doesn't necessarily align
- 20 perfectly with any one isolated data point?
- 21 A Yes.
- 22 Q There's a confidence interval, a number associated
- 23 | with each set of Location History coordinates that
- 24 | reflects Google's confidence in those coordinates?
- 25 A Yes.

- 1 Q And this number is expressed in meters as a
- 2 radius?
- 3 A Yes.
- 4 Q And it's called the display radius; is that
- 5 correct?
- 6 A Yes.
- 7 Q So it can be visualized as a shaded circle around
- 8 the coordinates?
- 9 A Yes.
- 10 Q The magic blue circle around the blue dot?
- 11 A Yes.
- 12 | Q And on pages 8 to 9, you say Google aims to
- 13 accurately capture roughly 68 percent of users with
- 14 this method?
- 15 A Yes.
- 16 \parallel Q Or, in other words, there's a 68 percent
- 17 \parallel likelihood that a user is somewhere inside of that
- 18 shaded circle, or at least that's Google's goal?
- 19 A Yes.
- 20 Q That means there's a 32 percent chance that
- 21 | they're outside of that circle altogether?
- 22 A Yes.
- 23 Q Not necessarily at the blue dot?
- 24 A Yes.
- 25 Q Is it just as likely that the user's actual

- location would be near the edge of that circle as opposed to smack dab in the middle?
- 3 A Yes.
- 4 Q So you're equally confident -- you're confident
- 5 that the user is in that circle, 68 percent, but where
- 6 you put those coordinates doesn't necessarily
- 7 | translate into that same amount of confidence. You're
- 8 still only 68 percent confident?
- 9 A That the device is within those coordinates, yes.
- 10 Q Okay. So, moving on to page 9, you stated that if
- 11 | the estimated location, the stored coordinates in
- 12 \parallel Location History, falls within the radius of the
- 13 \parallel geofence request, then Google treats that user as
- 14 \parallel falling within the scope of the request; correct?
- 15 A Yes.
- 16 \parallel Q So, in other words, if the blue dot is inside of
- 17 | that geofence, inside of that radius, then Google will
- 18 consider it responsive to the warrant?
- 19 A Yes.
- 20 Q You consider it responsive even if that shaded
- 21 circle, the confidence interval display radius, falls
- 22 partly outside the radius of the geofence request?
- 23 \blacksquare A That is my understanding, yes.
- 24 \parallel Q So you can have a little blue dot right close to
- 25 the edge of that geofence with a big display radius

- that goes way beyond it, and that user is still going to be recorded in the geofence return?
- 3 A Yes.
- 4 Q So you consider it responsive even if the shaded
- 5 circle falls partly outside? And even then, you can't
- 6 say where inside that circle the user was?
- 7 A No.
- THE COURT: What question did you answer? He asked two questions. Do you think if it's outside the
- 10 circle, it's responsive?
- 11 Why don't you rephrase the question.
- 12 BY MR. PRICE:
- 13 Q So even if part of that display radius falls
- 14 | outside of the geofence, it's still considered
- 15 responsive?
- 16 A Yes.
- 17 Q Even though there's a 68 percent chance that that
- 18 \parallel person is somewhere outside the actual geofence within
- 19 | that display radius?
- 20 A Yes, that would still be considered responsive.
- 21 \parallel Q Even though there's a 32 percent chance that
- 22 | they're not even there and somewhere else?
- 23 A Yes.
- 24 Q Okay. So there's a significant likelihood that at
- 25 least some of the users identified as being inside the

- 1 geofence might have been outside of that geofence?
- 2 A There is a possibility, yes.
- 3 Q Google has to draw the line somewhere; right? And
- 4 | this practice makes sense from Google's perspective?
- $5 \parallel A$ This is the process, yes.
- 6 Q The warrant didn't tell you to do it this way;
- 7 right?
- 8 A This is the process by which we respond to these,
- 9 yes.
- 10 | Q It's Google's process. It didn't tell you to do
- 11 | this in the warrant?
- 12 A (Nodded head affirmatively.)
- 13 Q And no court told you to do that; right?
- 14 A This particular process of identification?
- 15 Q Yeah, to draw the line and say, well, we're going
- 16 \parallel to report people whose blue dots are inside, and
- 17 | that's the way it's going to work.
- 18 \blacksquare A This is the process that we use to respond to
- 19 these, yes.
- 20 | Q Okay. So false positives are possible here?
- 21 A Yes.
- 22 Q And you say this, page 9 of your first
- 23 declaration, 17. You said, "As a result, it is
- 24 possible that when Google is compelled to return data
- 25 in response to a geofence warrant, some of the users

- 1 whose locations are estimated to be within the radius
- 2 described in the warrant, and whose data is therefore
- 3 included in data production, were in fact located
- 4 outside the radius"?
- 5 A Yes.
- 6 Q False negatives are possible, too; right?
- 7 A Yes.
- 8 Q So if somebody was standing -- if somebody was
- 9 | actually right outside that geofence radius, but
- 10 Google estimated their location as being inside of it
- 11 or, I'm sorry, other way around. If the blue dot
- 12 | falls outside the geofence, you don't include it even
- 13 | though the person could have been inside of that
- 14 geofence?
- 15 A That's correct.
- 16 \parallel Q Okay. Even if part of the shaded circle falls
- 17 within the geofence, if that blue dot is outside,
- 18 | nothing?
- 19 A Yes.
- 20 | Q The warrant, once again, didn't tell you to do it
- 21 | that way?
- 22 A This is our process, yes.
- 23 \parallel Q The Court didn't tell you to do it that way?
- 24 \blacksquare A This is our process, yes.
- 25 Q It's just your process. Great.

```
1
        All right. I want to switch gears a little bit
 2
    here and talk about some of the feedback that Google
 3
    has received about Location History. You published a
    blog for Google on December 9, 2019; correct?
 4
 5
    Α
        Yes.
 6
        It was titled "Updates to Incognito Mode and Your
7
    Timeline in Maps"?
       Yes.
8
    Α
 9
       Let me show you Exhibit 47. Is this the blog
10
    post?
11
    Α
       Yes.
             MR. PRICE: Your Honor, I'd like to introduce
12
13
    this into evidence, please?
14
             THE COURT: Any objection?
15
             MR. SIMON: No objection, Judge.
             THE COURT: It will be entered.
16
17
             MR. PRICE: Thank you.
             (Defense Exhibit No. 47 is admitted into
18
19
    evidence.)
20
    BY MR. PRICE:
21
       So you wrote --
22
             MR. PRICE: This is on 19, Laura.
23
       You wrote that throughout this year, we've focused
24
    on making it easier to control, manage, and delete
```

your Location History information; correct?

- 1 A Yes.
- 2 Q And earlier that year, same year, you published
- 3 another blog post for Google. This one on May 1,
- 4 2019.
- 5 A Yes.
- 6 Q It was titled "Introducing Auto Delete Controls
- 7 | for Your Location History and Activity Data"?
- 8 A Yes.
- 9 Q Is this the blog post?
- 10 A Yes.
- 11 MR. PRICE: Your Honor, this is Defense
- 12 Exhibit 46. We'd like to move it into evidence, as
- 13 | well.
- 14 THE COURT: Any objection?
- MR. SIMON: No objection.
- 16 THE COURT: It will be entered.
- 17 | (Defense Exhibit No. 46 is admitted into
- 18 | evidence.)
- 19 Q So you wrote, "We work to keep your data private
- 20 and secure, and we've heard your feedback that we need
- 21 | to provide simpler ways for you to manage or delete
- 22 | it"; correct?
- 23 A Yes.
- 24 Q I would like to talk about some of that feedback
- 25 for a second that you received. In fact, Location

- 1 History has received some significant media attention
- 2 and received significant media attention in 2018; is
- 3 | that correct?
- 4 A Yes.
- $5 \parallel Q$ And that attention was pretty negative?
- 6 A It was mixed, yes.
- 7 Q In January 2018, there's an online type magazine
- 8 called "Quartz," and they published an article
- 9 discussing Location History. Do you mean that?
- 10 A Yes.
- 11 | Q It was titled, "If You're Using An Android Phone,
- 12 Google May Be Tracking Every Move You Make." This is
- 13 | the article?
- 14 A Yes.
- MR. PRICE: This is Defense Exhibit 48, Your
- 16 \parallel Honor. And I would like to move it into evidence.
- MS. KOENIG: It already is in evidence.
- 18 MR. PRICE: It already is in evidence. Thank
- 19 you.
- 20 | Q So speaking of Location History, it says,
- 21 | "Although the product behind those transmissions is
- 22 opt-in, for Android users it can be hard to avoid and
- 23 even harder to understand"; correct?
- 24 A Yes.
- 25 Q And it goes on to say, "While it is not enabled on

- an Android phone by default, or even suggested to be turned on when setting up a new phone, activating

 Location History is subtly baked into setup for apps
 like Google Maps, Photos, the Google Assistant, and
 the primary Google app"; correct?
 - A Yes, that's what the article says.
 - Q And then it adds --

THE COURT: There's an objection.

MR. SIMON: Judge, I just object to the way in which this is being entered into evidence, particularly that first sentence. I didn't object at the time, but the way the record is going to read is that Mr. McGriff is saying that he agrees with this. I would prefer, Judge, and I think the record would be clearer, if he's going to put these assertions in front of the witness, ask him to assess them.

THE COURT: At the very least --

MR. PRICE: Your Honor, I am not -- sorry.

THE COURT: Okay. You can respond.

MR. PRICE: We are not introducing these articles for the truth of the matter. We are introducing them because they constitute feedback which Google received.

THE COURT: I know, but what you're doing is saying that the declarative statements, and you're

starting it by saying "It says this. Is that right?"

He says yes. It's going to create a record where you

can cut off the front where you say it says this,

quote it, and then say yes.

So what we're trying to do is create a fair record here. You are getting him to indicate that there are statements in this article and whether or not he knew them. And so that is really the point.

I would agree that this way it's being asked, it sounds as if you're trying to get him to, although you're not doing it that way, but it does sound as if you're trying to get him to sound as if he's agreeing with a declaration.

So it's sustained to that degree. And I'll just ask you to use the nuances. You're still making your point. But do it in a different way.

MR. PRICE: Okay.

18 BY MR. PRICE:

- Q The article here criticized Location History; correct?
- 21 A Yes.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

- Q And the article looked at testing multiple phones to see what this process was like; correct?
- 24 A Yes, it did.
- 25 Q And it faulted Google, didn't it?

- 1 A The article did, yes.
- 2 Q And it faulted it because it said that none of the
- 3 apps used the same language to describe what happens
- 4 when Location History is enabled; correct?
- $5 \parallel A$ That is one of the things they cited, yes.
- 6 Q And it also criticized Google for not explicitly
- 7 | indicating that activation will allow every Google
- 8 app, not just one seeking permission, to access
- 9 Location History data. So they're complaining about
- 10 account level nature of the setting.
- 11 THE COURT: The what?
- 12 MR. PRICE: Account level nature of the
- 13 setting.
- 14 | THE COURT: You need to look at the
- 15 | microphone.
- 16 \blacksquare A Yes, they are in the article.
- 17 Q Okay. And that account level setting, that means
- 18 ∥ that when you turn on Location History through one
- 20 A When you opt in to Location History, you are
- 21 opting in for your account, yes.
- 22 Q Thank you.
- 23 \parallel So the press didn't stop with the Quartz article.
- 24 | I imagine you're aware that the Associated Press also
- 25 published an article about Location History in 2018?

- 1 A Yes, I am aware of that article.
- 2 Q Is this the article?
- 3 \blacksquare A That is the article, yes.
- 4 Q It's titled "Google Tracks Your Movements, Like It
- 5 or Not"?
- 6 A Yes, that's the title of the article.
- 7 Q Thank you.
- MR. PRICE: And this is Defense Exhibit 49,
- 9 Your Honor. And we would ask to move this into
- 10 | evidence, as well.
- 11 THE COURT: Any objection?
- 12 MR. SIMON: Judge, we just reiterate our
- 13 earlier objections. We think it lacks relevance,
- 14 **∥** particularly when you have a Google witness here to
- 15 | address the issue. It's obviously hearsay.
- 16 Understanding that the rules of evidence wouldn't
- 17 strictly apply here, but I think the best route here
- 18 \parallel is to question the witness about, like, the Quartz
- 19 \parallel article assertions. But I know the Court has
- 20 previously ruled, but we'd object to it being entered
- 21 into evidence.
- 22 THE COURT: Right. He's allowed to make his
- 23 case. I will allow it for the limited purpose, not
- 24 \parallel for the truth of the matter, but to the extent it
- 25 has -- the witness has already testified that he was

- aware of the article. And so I think it is relevant for background information. And you all can cross-examine with respect to weight. All right?
- 4 MR. SIMON: Understood.
- 5 MR. PRICE: Thank you, Your Honor.
- Sorry. I did move to have the article
 introduced. I'm not sure if there was a ruling on
 that, Your Honor.
- 9 THE COURT: Right. I overruled the 10 objection, and so it will go in.
- MR. PRICE: Thank you.
- 12 (Defense Exhibit No. 49 is admitted into evidence.)
- 14 BY MR. PRICE:
- Q So the article's main complaint here is that it says, "Even with Location History paused, some Google apps automatically store time-stamped location data without asking." That's just the complaint in the article; correct?
- 20 \parallel A That's the complaint in the article, yes.
- Q But it was a complaint that Google ended up taking pretty seriously, especially given the interest from
- members of the Senate, for example?
- 24 A We take all complaints seriously, yes.
- 25 Q Here the article actually quoted United States

- 1 Senator Mark Warner; correct?
- $2 \parallel A$ Yes, the article does quote the Senator.
- 3 Q And he complained that it's frustratingly common
- 4 | for technology companies to have corporate practices
- 5 that diverge wildly from the reasonable expectations
- 6 of their users. Was that his statement?
- $7 \parallel A$ That was his statement, yes.
- 8 Q You were aware that he made that statement;
- 9 correct?
- 10 \blacksquare A I was aware that he made that statement, yes.
- 11 | Q And at the end, it quotes a Yale researcher, Sean
- 12 | O'Brien, and he called this practice disingenuous;
- 13 right?
- 14 \blacksquare A That is what he said, yes.
- 15 Q So this was a pretty negative report about
- 16 | Location History from Google's perspective; correct?
- 17 A It was not a flattering report, that's correct.
- 18 \parallel Q Google actually tracked the media coverage of this
- 19 report; correct?
- 20 \blacksquare As we do often for all media reports, yes.
- 21 Q And Google prepared what's called an issue
- 22 coverage report for this article for four days?
- 23 A That's correct, yes.
- 24 \parallel Q I'd like to show you Defense Exhibit 38, please.
- 25 Are these the issue coverage reports that you were

- 1 referring to?
- 2 A That's correct, yes.
- 3 Q And it makes it clear that this story was pretty
- 4 widely covered; correct?
- 5 A That's correct, yes.
- 6 Q If we check out one of those reports --
- 7 | THE COURT: What exhibit are you on?
- MR. PRICE: Sorry. Excuse me?
- 9 THE COURT: Which exhibit?
- 10 MR. PRICE: Sorry. This is Defense Exhibit
- 11 | 38, Your Honor.
- 12 THE COURT: 38?
- MR. PRICE: Yes. And I forgot to move it
- 14 \parallel into evidence. I would move for this to be admitted
- 15 into evidence, as well.
- 16 \blacksquare THE COURT: Is there any objection to Defense
- 17 | 38?
- 18 MR. SIMON: No objection, Judge.
- 20 Defense Exhibit No. 38 is admitted into
- 21 evidence.)
- 22 BY MR. PRICE:
- 23 \parallel Q So if we look at the issue coverage reports, we
- 24 \parallel see that Google wrote, the AP tweeted the story out,
- 25 which created a surge of social chatter, approximately

- 1 \mid 8,000 re-tweets. And the story was picked up by 60
- 2 plus outlets, including the New York Times, U.S. News
- 3 and World Report, and the Washington Post; is that
- 4 accurate?
- 5 A That's accurate.
- 6 THE COURT: It's accurate that it's in there.
- 7 You're continuing to ask the questions in the same
- 8 way. And so, you know, you're making points, but you
- 9 are making the points. It's the witness who needs to
- 10 be able to talk about what it is that is or is not in
- 11 an exhibit.
- 12 That is what is in the exhibit.
- MR. PRICE: Thank you, Your Honor.
- 14 BY MR. PRICE:
- 15 Q Google's report explained the coverage themes for
- 16 these stories; is that correct?
- 17 A That's what's in the report, yes.
- 18 \parallel Q And the report noted the top two themes for this
- 19 \parallel article? It said, the report said, that 69 percent of
- 20 | the coverage mentioned the lack of user consent/creepy
- 21 | factor?
- 22 \blacksquare A That's what is in the report, yes.
- 23 \parallel Q And the report also noted that a third of the
- 24 coverage was about misleading controls?
- 25 \blacksquare A That's in the report, yes.

- 1 Q Just a few days later, Google changed the language
- 2 on its help page. On its Location History help page.
- 3 You're aware of that, I assume?
- 4 A Yes.
- 5 Q It was in response to this article; is that
- 6 correct?
- 7 A Which update are you referring to specifically?
- 8 Is it an exhibit here?
- 9 Q It was three days later. And that would have been
- 10 on August 17, 2018.
- 11 A Is there an exhibit here I can look at?
- 12 Q Yes. We'll get there.
- 13 So three days later -- sorry -- three days later
- 14 | it was reported that Google changed its Location
- 15 History help page, as well; is that correct?
- 17 | later.
- 19 few days later about that change. Are you aware of
- 20 | that?
- 21 A Is that in this book?
- 22 Q We can show you the article if you'd like. Would
- 23 | that help?
- 24 A Well, I guess, the Associate Press reported that
- 25 we made -- that Google made a change three days later.

- 1 Q Yes.
- 2 A So if that's in there, yes, then that is what they
- 3 reported.
- 4 Q And you do recall Google making a change to its
- 5 Location History help page shortly after this article?
- 6 A I recall in some period of time, yes, we made
- 7 updates to our pages.
- 8 Q Okay. That's fine. The bad press, so to speak,
- 9 here had some ramifications for Google in terms of
- 10 | oversight from the federal government; is that
- 11 | correct?
- 12 A It did.
- 13 | Q In May 2018, are you aware that two United States
- 14 \parallel Senators wrote a letter to the Federal Trade
- 15 Commission about Location History?
- 16 A Yes.
- MR. PRICE: And this is 36, Laura.
- 18 Q Is this the letter?
- 19 THE COURT: Okay. Is this Defense Exhibit
- 20 36?
- 21 MR. PRICE: This is Defense Exhibit 53, Your
- 22 Honor.
- THE COURT: Okay.
- 24 Q I'd like to show you what's marked as Defense
- 25 Exhibit 53. It's a letter from two United States

- 1 | Senators; correct?
- 2 A Yes.

MR. PRICE: Your Honor, I would like to move this letter into evidence.

THE COURT: Any objection?

MR. SIMON: Judge, I think there are multiple letters in Exhibit 3 (sic), including Google's response on January 12, 2018. We'd obviously object generally based on what we've talked about before, but I understand the Court will admit it not for the truth of the matter asserted, but for -- just to allow the question.

THE COURT: All right. I'm going to allow this for the limited purpose that we have been looking at these documents.

So, to make a record, this is Defense Exhibit 53, which is a letter dated May 11, 2018, that has a signature of Richard Blumenthal from the United States Senate.

It then has something marked an attachment.

And the attachment says "Letter from Susan Molinari.

Received by Senators Blumenthal and Markey." And that is then followed by a January 12, 2018 document on Google letterhead. So it predates this May 18th letter.

McGRIFF - DIRECT

And then there is something that has an Attachment 4. So you have to be clear about what you're admitting and under what circumstances.

The United States is correct that it's confusing to say we're admitting just one letter.

There's actually a series of documents here.

So you can ask questions to establish why they're there, but the whole -- what so far we have admitted is part of 53, the May 11, 2018 letter.

MR. PRICE: Yes, Your Honor. Thank you. And the exhibits that go along with it include previous correspondence. There's one in particular that we have an interest in. It's Attachment 4, which includes a screenshot of the Google Assistant setup.

THE COURT: There is no Attachment 2, letter from Susan Molinari?

MR. PRICE: No, Your Honor. It's Attachment
4.

THE COURT: No, no, no. I'm in your exhibit that you put in front of the Court. Right after Senator Blumenthal's letter, there is a page that says Attachment 2, letter from Susan Molinari. Received.

MR. PRICE: We do not need to introduce that into evidence.

THE COURT: Because it's not there, right?

- MR. PRICE: I have not seen it.

 THE COURT: Well, it's your exhibit. Then
 there is -- yes.
 - MR. SIMON: Judge, it's a part of our book, and it's what we've seen. And certainly if we're going to introduce the letter from the senators, we'd certainly want Google's statement to the Quartz article and the like.

THE COURT: All right. So there are four attachments, and you're not objecting that the exhibit goes in as presented because it will be the full exhibit. Is that correct, Mr. Simon?

MR. SIMON: Correct, Judge. Thank you.

THE COURT: It will be admitted, then.

(Defense Exhibit No. 53 is admitted into evidence.)

MR. PRICE: Thank you, Your Honor.

BY MR. PRICE:

- Q I just want to turn to the body of the letter here because it seems to put Google on notice that Location History has some -- that the Senate had some concerns with Location History; is that correct?
- A Just one point. Where this started was a walking away from the AP article which came out after this letter. This letter was sent on May 11. The AP

- 1 article was from August, I believe, later that year.
- 2 Q The Quartz article, I believe, preceded this and
- 3 is cited in the letter --
- 4 A That's correct.
- 5 \parallel THE COURT: He's correct about the AP
- 6 article; right?
- 7 MR. PRICE: Pardon me?
- THE COURT: He's correct about the AP
- 9 article.
- 10 BY MR. PRICE:
- 11 \ Q You are correct about the AP article.
- 12 A Okay. Because I just wanted to be clear. When
- 13 | you had previously asked me was I aware of additional
- 14 \parallel scrutiny, I said yes. Then you referenced this
- 15 letter, but this letter was written before that
- 16 article.
- 17 So this letter was in response to the Quartz
- 18 \parallel article, not the additional scrutiny that came as a
- 19 result of the AP article.
- 20 Q Yes.
- 21 A Okay.
- 22 Q The letter criticized Google's Location History
- 23 practices for -- this is 38 -- frequently
- $24 \parallel$ mischaracterizing the service and degrades the
- 25 I functionality of products in order to push users into

- 1 providing permission, so says the letter.
- 2 Would you agree that's what it says?
 - A That's what it says in the letter, yes.
- 4 Q And the letter also says that these factors raise
- 5 serious concerns -- raise serious questions about
- 6 whether users are able to provide informed consent.
- 7 Were you aware of that criticism, as well?
- 8 A That's what is in the letter, yes.
- 9 Q And I don't mean to be belabor this, but it does
- 10 go on to say that Google's policies and explanations
- 11 \parallel raise questions about their characterization of basic
- 12 consumer protection terms, such as opt-in, opt-out,
- 13 notice, consent, and anonymization according to the
- 14 letter?
- 15 A That is what's in the letter, yes.
- 16 \parallel Q The letter calls the consent process confusing,
- 17 42?

- 18 A That is what's in the letter, yes.
- 20 | that correct? It cites Attachment 4?
- 21 A That's correct, yes.
- 22 0 And is this Attachment 4?
- 23 \parallel A I'm sorry. I didn't hear the question.
- 24 Q Is this Attachment 4?
- $25 \mid A$ Yes, that is Attachment 4.

- 1 Q What does it show?
- 2 A This is one of the Google Assistant permission
- 3 prompts.
- 4 Q And that includes Location History; correct?
- 5 A At that time it included Location History, yes.
- 6 Q The letter goes on to add that most consumers do
- 7 not understand the level of granularity and reach of
- 8 Google's data collection, and that there's serious
- 9 questions about whether they have provided informed
- 10 consent and maintain reasonable ability to avoid
- 11 participating in this collection. Are you aware of
- 12 | that criticism, as well?
- 13 \blacksquare A That is what's in the letter, yes.
- 14 Q And the letter concludes by asking the FTC to open
- 15 | an investigation into the potential deceptive acts and
- $16 \parallel$ practices used by Google to track and commoditize
- 17 American consumers. Are you aware that the letter
- 18 called for an investigation?
- 19 \blacksquare A I am aware that that is what's in the letter, yes.
- 20 \parallel Q So in addition to the news articles, and the
- 21 | Senate inquiry, Google got sued over Location History
- 22 in 2018. Are you aware of that?
- 23 A Which particular case are you referring to?
- 24 \parallel Q The case is called *In re: Google, Location*
- 25 History Litigation. It's a class action out of the

- 1 Northern District of California.
- 2 A Yes.
- Q I'd like to show you Defense Exhibit 26. Is this
- 4 the complaint in this case?
- 5 A Yes.

- 6 Q And you're aware of the complaint in the lawsuit?
- 7 A I am aware of this complaint, yes.

MR. PRICE: Your Honor, I would move to introduce the amended complaint for -- not for the truth of the matter, but for the fact that it exists.

THE COURT: Is there an objection?

MR. SIMON: Judge, I'd object to relevance.

Obviously, it's hearsay through and through. But moreover, what we have, and the United States wouldn't object to things like the exhibit emails that are going to come from Google v. Arizona that shows communications between Google as a part of that litigation internal discussions. This is literally just some class action lawyer, presumably in California, that decided to go after Google.

The fact that it exists, the witness just admitted it. Putting it in the record, I think, does nothing more than continue sort of a broadside against Google more than just the article. This is just accusing Google of all kinds of things. And I don't

1 see any relevance to this being in the record.

THE COURT: These are just allegations. Why do we need to know -- has this class action completed?

MR. PRICE: It hasn't, Your Honor. The reason that we are talking about these things is because things like the newspaper articles, the congressional inquiries, and the lawsuits appear to have changed Google's behavior, changed Google's policies, and, in particular, have something to do with the relevant changes here to the Location History language.

So we're trying to establish what happened, what changed, why, and whether it was sufficient.

THE COURT: Well, I'm not going to admit this yet until you establish some fact that Google did that somehow you can say comports with something in the complaint. And even then, I'm not sure. These are just allegations. This is not — this is not relevant to facts of what we need to consider in this case except that Google knew that the suit was ongoing and that it was about Location History.

So I'm going to sustain that objection.

MR. PRICE: Okay.

BY MR. PRICE:

Q Mr. McGriff, you're still aware of the lawsuit;

- 1 correct?
- 2 A I am aware of this lawsuit, yes.
- 3 Q It was filed just three days after that Associated
- 4 Press story on August 17?
- 5 A I don't know how I would know.
- 6 Q It's right at the top of the page.
- 7 A If the date is there, then that's when it was
- 8 filed, yes.
- 9 Q The main allegation here, and I don't mean to
- 10 | belabor this point either, is that Google "retains and
- 11 continues to collect location data" --
- 12 THE COURT: Are you quoting from the
- 13 complaint? Because I just said it's not admissible.
- 14 MR. PRICE: I'm not admitting it, Your Honor,
- 15 I'm just trying to establish --
- 16 THE COURT: You're quoting it. You're
- 17 \blacksquare admitting it by saying it.
- MR. PRICE: Okay. I'll move on.
- 19 Your Honor, the allegations in this case, we
- 20 understand that they are allegations.
- 21 THE COURT: What I said is, if you can show
- 22 something that happened later that Google did that
- 23 \parallel then you can show was alleged in this complaint, and
- 24 | there's some temporal proximity, then it is possible
- 25 | that this would be admissible. If you don't show yet

- 1 that there has been a change, no, it's not admissible.
- 2 MR. PRICE: Okay.
- 3 BY MR. PRICE:
- 4 Q There has been some discussion at Google since at
- 5 least 2017 about changing the Location History
- 6 | language on the opt-in screen; is that correct?
- 7 A Are you referring to the consent or are you just
- 8 referring to -- what language are you referring to
- 9 specifically?
- 10 Q The language for opt-in to Location History
- 11 | through apps in particular or at setup where it says
- 12 | "Saves a private map of where you go." That language
- 13 changed; correct?
- 14 \parallel A There has been discussion for the life of the
- 15 product about what is the best copy to relay what the
- 16 | feature does, yes.
- 17 Q And you're aware that there are hundreds of pages
- 18 \parallel of emails and documents that have been submitted to
- 19 the Attorney General in Arizona discussing these sorts
- 20 of changes?
- 21 A Yes, I am aware.
- 22 Q Specifically, on February 2, 2017, there's an
- 23 | email in which some Google engineers called Location
- 24 \parallel History a mess. Does that sound familiar to you?
- 25 A I can't recall that specific copy, but if it's in

- 1 an email or document somewhere --
- 2 Q Perhaps I can refresh your recollection. Can I
- 3 show you Defense Exhibit 36, please. It's up on your
- 4 screen, as well.
- 5 A Just one clarification point. The screen has a
- 6 black box on the side, so I can't see the text on the
- 7 side, which is why I keep looking in the book.
- I do see it on there as well, though.
- 9 Q I don't know how to --
- 10 A It's fine. I follow along with the book, but
- 11 | that's why I keep looking to the book and not the
- 12 screen. It cuts it off.
- 13 Q Okay. So you can see there in the book?
- 14 A Yes.
- 15 Q And you can see that a little bit later on in that
- 16 | chain another Google employee described the location
- 17 products as a "work in progress," and that Google was
- 18 | "trying to rein in the overall mess that we have with
- 19 \parallel regards to data collection, consent, and storage"?
- 20 | A That is what's mentioned in this, I guess, email
- 21 exchange, yes.
- 22 Q And you have another Google employee who says,
- 23 | "How can we do a great job of respecting people's
- 24 privacy when they don't want to share their location?"
- 25 A That is what's written in the exchange, yes.

- Q And the same person says, "Can we have a
- 2 foreground only model? Lots of users don't care about
- 3 Location History."
- $4 \parallel$ A That is what's mentioned in the exchange, yes.
- 5 Q What's a foreground only model?
- 6 A I cannot even feign to understand what this person
- 7 is referring to.
- 8 Q Does it refer to apps that are actively running as
- 9 opposed to passively in the background?
- 10 A I believe what -- well, it's conflating several
- 11 points. It's speaking to foreground only collection,
- 12 which would be app specific, which is inherently not
- 13 | the nature of what Location History is or how its
- 14 collection works. So this person is offering
- 15 suggestions.
- 16 Q Okay. Thank you. A little bit later in that
- 17 | exchange, you can see at the bottom of your screen, it
- 18 says -- there's another Google employee who wrote, "Do
- 19 **∥** users with significant privacy concerns understand
- 20 what data we are saving? Do they know how to control
- 21 when we store location information?"
- 22 \blacksquare A That is a question asked in the exchange, yes.
- 23 Q And then, finally, you have a Google employee
- 24 | writing, "We have Location as a product umbrella that
- 25 includes Location History and a bunch of other stuff

- that's super messy. And it's a Critical User Journey
 to make sense out of this mess"?
- 3 \blacksquare A That is what's in the article or exchange, yes.
- 4 Q This is what Google employees were discussing in
- $5 \parallel 2017$ or is a recognition that there was a problem?
- 6 A There was some discussion about Location History,
 7 yes.
- MR. PRICE: Sorry, Your Honor. Can I have one second?
- 10 | THE COURT: Pardon me?
- MR. PRICE: May I have one second?
- 12 THE COURT: Sure.
- MR. PRICE: Your Honor, I would move to admit
- 14 Defense Exhibit 36 into evidence, please.
- 15 THE COURT: Objection?
- MR. SIMON: No objection, Judge.
- 17 THE COURT: Okay, it will be entered.
- 18 (Defense Exhibit No. 36 is admitted into
- 19 evidence.)
- 20 BY MR. PRICE:
- 21 | Q I'd like to turn your attention to Defense Exhibit
- 22 | 40. This is another February 2017 thread where a
- 23 Googler says, "Personally, I can't think of a world
- 24 where we do a good and thorough job with runtime
- 25 permissions across Google apps that doesn't confuse

- the hell out of our users." Are you aware of that email.
- A I'm aware that that is a statement in this email, yes.
- 5 MR. SIMON: Judge --
- 6 MR. PRICE: I would like to move Defense 7 Exhibit 40 into evidence, as well, Your Honor.
- MR. SIMON: Judge --

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- THE COURT: Is there an objection?
 - MR. SIMON: Judge, I would only ask -- I'm not going to object to it being admitted, but a lot of these emails, including Defense Exhibit 40, has multiple pages, and I recognize that there's been prephere, but just to give the witness a second to look at the pages before pointing out the one sentence would be, I think, helpful.
 - THE COURT: That makes good sense.
 - So this is Defense 40, which is marked as Exhibit 236 in the first page. And I'm going to enter it into evidence.
 - And I will say, with your last set of questioning, you were going back and forth from one page to a page previous, and that was confusing. So I agree that you should be clear and certainly allow Mr. McGriff time to absorb it.

- 1 MR. PRICE: Thank you, Your Honor.
- 2 (Defendant's Exhibit No. 40 is admitted into
- 3 | evidence.)
- $4 \parallel Q$ This is page 5 of that PDF, of Exhibit 236.
- 5 \parallel THE COURT: I confused us by saying 236.
- 6 It's 236 in the Arizona case. We should use our own
- 7 exhibit numbers.
- 8 BY MR. PRICE:
- 9 Q So page 5 of Defense Exhibit 40.
- 10 MR. SIMON: Judge, I don't think we have
- 11 exact page numbers. The bottom right of all of these
- 12 \parallel Google v. Arizona emails what appears to be, like, a
- 13 | Bates stamp in their last five or three numbers,
- 14 ∥ however you want to say it, but it looks like, you
- 15 know, for this email it starts -- is this 236?
- 17 | have any other questions about this chain.
- 18 THE COURT: Well, you just quoted something.
- 19 Why don't you put on the record where you quoted it
- 20 | from. Something about "I can't imagine where," blah,
- 21 blah, blah.
- 22 BY MR. PRICE:
- Q This is the fifth physical page.
- 24 THE COURT: Look at the Bates number on the
- 25 bottom right. You can also identify it by what the

```
first sentence is at the top of the page.
 1
 2
             MS. KOENIG: It's not showing me the exhibit,
 3
    Kathy.
             THE CLERK: I think you must have turned it
 4
 5
    off.
 6
            MS. KOENIG: Let me unplug it and try it
7
    again. There we go.
8
    BY MR. PRICE:
 9
       Do we have it here? So this is the page that is
10
    Bates stamp No. GOOG --
11
             THE COURT: Just the last five digits.
12
             MR. PRICE: 27381.
13
             THE COURT: Thank you. And what did you
14
    place into the record?
15
             MR. PRICE: I'll read it again. It says,
16
    "Personally, I can't think of a world where we do a
17
    good and thorough job with runtime permissions across
18
    Google apps that doesn't confuse the hell out of our
19
    users."
             THE WITNESS: I don't think that's on that
20
21
    page.
22
             THE COURT: No, it's not.
23
             MR. PRICE: 27379.
```

THE COURT: All right. It's in the first

full paragraph of 27379 that has a large redacted

24

- 1 block right before it, and it's sent, Monday,
- 2 February 27, 2017, 23:37:44. All right. Now we know
- 3 where you are.
- 4 MR. PRICE: Thank you for your patience.
- 5 BY MR. PRICE:
- 6 Q So, in addition to these 2017 emails, Google
- 7 | employees responded to the AP article in 2018, as
- 8 | well; correct?
- 9 A Is there a specific response you're speaking to or
- 10 \parallel just generally that we were aware of the AP article?
- 11 Q Well, I'd like to show you Defense Exhibit No. 32.
- 12 Do you have it?
- 13 | A Yes, I do.
- 14 Q Great. So, you recognize this as an email chain
- 15 | in which Google employees are expressing concerns over
- 16 | the AP article?
- 17 A The entire exchange is redacted except for one
- 18 section.
- 19 Q There's one section that's not redacted from
- 20 | August 13, 2018 at 9:38 a.m.
- 21 A That's correct.
- 22 Q And you recognize that as an email in response to
- 23 | the 2018 Associated Press article?
- 24 A That's correct, yes.
- 25 Q Okay. The email says that Google employees -- and

- this is the page we're looking at here. It's page 4 of the PDF.
- THE COURT: No, it is Bates No. 1523.
- 4 MR. PRICE: Bates No. 1523.
- 5 THE COURT: We do not have page numbers on
- 6 the PDFs.
- 7 MR. PRICE: That is true.
- 8 BY MR. PRICE:
- 9 \square Q So on the page marked 1523, the email says that
- 10 Google employees had what they called an "Oh Shit"
- 11 | meeting -- excuse my language, Your Honor -- meeting
- 12 about the AP article; is that correct?
- 13 \parallel A It is my understanding that that is a regular
- 14 meeting that that team has.
- 15 Q That's a regular meeting that the team has?
- 16 ■ A That's correct. That's why it says "our Monday
- 17 morning 'Oh Shit' meeting."
- 18 \parallel Q Good to know. It says, "Both comms and policy are
- 19 \parallel looking for an update on where we are in terms of
- 20 | fixing Location History." Is that what it says?
- 21 \blacksquare A That is what it says, yes.
- 22 Q And Google prepared a PowerPoint of the impact of
- 23 | this AP article on Location History; is that correct?
- 24 \blacksquare A Is that an exhibit that I can --
- MR. PRICE: Actually, I apologize, Your

McGRIFF - DIRECT

- Honor. Before we move on, I would like to introduce
 Defense Exhibit 32 into the record.
- 3 MR. SIMON: No objection, Judge.
- THE COURT: All right. It will be moved into evidence.
 - (Defense Exhibit No. 32 is admitted into evidence.)
- 8 MR. PRICE: As well as Defense Exhibit 40.
 - MS. KOENIG: I'm sorry. We did that one.
- 10 MR. PRICE: We did that one. Okay.
- 11 THE COURT: 40 you're not objecting to?
- 12 MR. SIMON: Without objection, Judge.
- 13 THE COURT: It will be entered.
- 14 (Defense Exhibit No. 40 was admitted into
- 15 vidence on page 244.)
- 16 BY MR. PRICE:

6

7

- 17 | Q So Google prepared a PowerPoint presentation of
- 18 **∥** the impact of the Associated Press article on the
- 19 Location History product; is that correct?
- 20 A Are you referring to a specific PowerPoint?
- 21 | Q I am. I'll bring it up as Defense Exhibit 33.
- 22 | It's from August 16, 2018.
- 23 | A Yes, a PowerPoint was prepared for this incident
- 24 as we would for any incident.
- 25 Q And we are on Bates No. 01458, Your Honor.

- THE COURT: Uh-huh. Thank you.
- 2 BY MR. PRICE:
- 3 Q One slide here -- and this is 56, Laura -- shows a
- 4 | large jump in searches for -- that's Location History.
- 5 It's Bates No. 01475.
- 6 THE COURT: 47 --
- 7 MR. PRICE: Five.
- 8 THE COURT: Five. Okay.
- 9 Q Are you aware?
- 10 A Yes, I'm aware that this slide quotes a spike
- 11 here, yes.
- 12 \parallel Q It shows a very large increase in the number of
- 13 search queries related to Google Location History; is
- 14 | that correct?
- 15 A That's correct.
- 16 MR. PRICE: I'd like to move Defense Exhibit
- 17 | 33 into evidence.
- 18 THE COURT: Any objection?
- MR. SIMON: No objection.
- 20 THE COURT: It will be entered.
- 21 \blacksquare (Defense Exhibit No. 33 is admitted into
- 22 | evidence.)
- 23 BY MR. PRICE:
- 24 Q In fact, I don't know if this is surprising or was
- 25 surprising to you, but Google has never actually

- 1 advertised Location History to its users; is that
- 2 correct?
- 3 A Can you clarify what you mean by "advertise"?
- 4 Q Sure. I'll show you Defense Exhibit 34. Tell me
- 5 when you have it.
- 6 A 34, yes.
- 7 MR. PRICE: Let us get the Bates stamp number
- 8 | for you, Your Honor, before proceeding. I do not
- 9 believe -- so this does not have Bates stamps, but it
- 10 \parallel is on page 37 of the actual document.
- 11 BY MR. PRICE:
- 12 Q Do you have it?
- 13 A Yes.
- 14 Q Okay. It says, As of today, we have not located
- 15 online advertisements for Location History or Web &
- 16 \parallel App Activity. If we locate any such responsive
- 17 | materials, we will promptly produce these
- 18 representative examples.
- 19 So the question is whether Google has ever
- 20 advertised Location History to its users?
- 21 \parallel A I see. So it's specifically referencing
- 22 newspapers ads, magazines ads. In that context, no,
- 23 \parallel we have not run any newspaper ads or magazine ads that
- 24 I'm aware of, no.
- 25 Q Thank you.

- MR. PRICE: Your Honor, I'd like to move
 Exhibit 34 into evidence as well.
- THE COURT: Any objection?
- 4 MR. SIMON: No objection, Judge.
- 5 (Defense Exhibit No. 34 is admitted into
- 6 evidence.)
- 7 BY MR. PRICE:
- Q Referring to Location History settings, Google
 employees once again emailing, and this is Defense
 Exhibit 30, had some more to say about the Associated
- 11 Press article. Can you go down? All right.
- 12 So this is page 7 of the actual PDF, and we'll get
- 13 a Bates stamp number in a second. It is Bates 01271.
- 14 Are you aware of these emails? These are from
- 15 August 14, 2018.
- 16 A I see that these are emails, yes.
- 17 | Q And they're referring to location settings. You
- 18 have one Google employee here who writes, "It's a bit
- 19 complicated, and we might need better messaging." Do
- 20 you see that?
- 21 \blacksquare A That is what the message says, yes.
- 22 Q Are you aware of that?
- 23 A I see that now, yes.
- 24 \parallel Q And another Google employee wrote -- this is on
- 25 Bates 01270. Another Google employee wrote, "I agree

- with the article. Location off should mean location off; not except for this case or that case." Do you see that on the email?
 - A I see that that's what's written here.

5 THE COURT: Where is it?

MR. PRICE: This is Bates -- there you go.

THE COURT: I got it.

8 BY MR. PRICE:

4

6

7

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- 9 Q And then it goes on to say, "The current UI" -10 what's UI?
- 11 A User interface.
 - Q "The current UI feels like it is designed to make things possible, yet difficult enough that people won't figure it out. New exceptions, defaulted to on, silently appearing in settings menus you may never see."

So these are all responses to -- Google employees responding to the 2018 AP article; is that correct?

A These are people responding to the AP article, yes, that's correct.

Q Great.

MR. PRICE: Your Honor, I would like to introduce Defense Exhibit 30 into evidence, please.

MR. SIMON: Judge, I would ask to -- I would object to a fair amount of this coming in. So I

wouldn't object to 1266 through 1271, that top email on 1271, getting in, but if the Court looks through 1271 through 1287, it's a lot of talk about stuff that I don't think -- well, it's not relevant at all. former President in is there, China restrictions in there, Elon Musk, a lot of stuff that should be redacted if the Court's going to allow this to be introduced.

I would note that I think Defense Exhibit 35 is a cleaner version of this. I could be wrong on that. But either way, I'd ask for the Court to admit it subject to the extraneous emails at the bottom starting on 1271 through 1287 being cut out.

MR. PRICE: I don't think we have a problem with that either. We have no intention of asking about Elon Musk or the former President.

THE COURT: All right. So the bottom half of 1271, which starts with "On Monday, August 13, 2018," blocked out entity "wrote," and the remainder of the exhibit will be excluded and not entered into evidence.

I agree that there seems to be some duplication in Exhibit 35.

MR. PRICE: Yes, Your Honor. These emails are duplicated in multiple places. The reason that we

- 1 chose to use this version of it was because it
- 2 included the original formatting from those emails.
- 3 So you're more able to see who's writing what, which
- 4 people.
- 5 THE COURT: Okay. So the front part from
- $6 \parallel 1266$ to the top of 1271 and the cover page, which has
- 7 no number, will be admitted. And the rest is
- 8 excluded.
- 9 (Defense Exhibit No. 30 is admitted into
- 10 | evidence.)
- 11 BY MR. PRICE:
- 12 | Q In fact, this email chain continues in Defense
- 13 \parallel Exhibit 31, and it is not all contained in one
- 14 ∥ exhibit. So I direct your attention, Mr. McGriff, to
- 15 Defense Exhibit 31, which is the same thread of emails
- 16 \parallel from August 14, 2018. And this is Bates 01289. Let
- 17 me know when you have it.
- 18 A I have it, yes.
- 19 \parallel Q So one Google employee writes that it is
- 20 | "Definitely confusing from a user point of view if we
- 21 need googlers explain it to us."
- 22 And a little bit further down. Is that correct?
- 23 Sorry.
- 24 \blacksquare A That is what it says, yes.
- 25 Q And a little further down, user adds or an

- employee adds -- this is Bates 01290. "Also seems
- 2 like we are not very good at explaining this to
- 3 users." Going on -- is that correct?
- $4 \square A$ That is what it says.
- 5 Q And then another Google -- the same Google
- 6 employee writes, "Indeed we aren't very good at
- 7 explaining this to users. Add me to the list of
- 8 Googlers who didn't understand how this worked and was
- 9 surprised when I read the article."
- 10 \blacksquare A That is what was written there, yes.
- 11 | Q So these are Google employees who are reading this
- 12 | article and are surprised to learn how Google's
- 13 location settings actually work?
- 14 A I would frame it as this is a group of Googlers
- 15 commenting on the interaction of Google settings, yes.
- 16 \parallel Q That same employee goes on to say, "Of course, we
- 17 shouldn't have to explain this to users. The real
- 18 | failure is that we shipped a UI that confuses users
- 19 | and requires explanation"; correct?
- 20 \parallel A That is what it says, yes.
- 21 Q And that person goes on to suggest that "We should
- 22 redesign the UI so it's obvious what's happening, and
- 23 make it easy for users to choose the settings they
- 24 want in one place without parsing complex details
- 25 about product interactions." Is that what it says?

- 1 A Yes. I have no idea what UI they are talking 2 about, but that is what it says.
- Q And there's only one more thing that we can read on this chain. One more user just wrote, "Please don't comment!"
- 6 A That is what is written, yes.

Q So from these emails -- excuse me one second.

MR. PRICE: Your Honor, I would like to move to admit Defense Exhibit 31, please.

THE COURT: Objection?

MR. SIMON: Judge, subject to the same objection, there's a lot of extraneous talk. I think it's at all extraneous between 1293 and 1309. So we wouldn't object to admitting it but cutting out those pages.

THE COURT: All right. It will be admitted through 1292, and not 1293 through 1309. I have an extraneous document in here, I think. It looks to be a LexisNexis search. Does somebody have that at the end?

MR. PRICE: That's acceptable to us, as well.

MS. KOENIG: It was probably my putting this together too hastily, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. Well, to the extent it just looks as if somebody looked up a particular

- case, it seems unrelated. So that will not be admitted either.
- 3 MR. PRICE: Thank you, Your Honor.
- 4 (Defense Exhibit No. 31 is admitted into
- 5 evidence.)
- 6 BY MR. PRICE:
- 7 \mathbb{Q} Okay. So following the AP story, following -- the
- 8 Google employees wrote to each other about this issue
- 9 over Location History; correct?
- 10 \blacksquare A Employees discussed the article, yes.
- 11 | Q And then Google changed its privacy policy --
- 12 correct? -- in May of 2018?
- 13 A The privacy policy?
- 14 Q Uh-huh. On May 25, 2018, Google issued an update
- 15 | to its main privacy policy. Are you aware of that?
- 16 A I don't recall that.
- 17 Q Perhaps I can refresh your memory.
- 18 MR. PRICE: Can we see Defense Exhibit 43,
- 19 please.
- 20 | Q I'm showing you a redline version of the policy
- 21 when it was enacted on May 25.
- 22 A Okay. Sorry. This is not -- okay, yes. There
- 23 was a privacy policy update in May, yes.
- 24 \parallel Q And this is the privacy policy that was updated?
- 25 A Yes, this is a privacy policy update from

- 1 May 2018.
- 2 Q Okay. And the previous one had --
- 3 A But -- sorry. I guess why I'm confused, this
- 4 privacy policy that you're referring to here was
- 5 before the AP article.
- 6 Q I'm sorry. It was a change before the AP article
- 7 | after the Senate inquiry and after the Quartz article;
- 8 is that correct?
- 9 A I'm sorry. There was -- the -- I think these
- 10 | things are orthogonal. The privacy policy was updated
- 11 | full stop independent of inbound letters specifically
- 12 from senators about Location History.
- 13 Q I'm not saying that -- I'm not asking you if one
- 14 caused the other. I'm just asking if this was the
- 15 privacy policy --
- 16 THE COURT: Well, you sort of are. You're
- 17 | saying after the AP article, Google updated its
- 18 privacy policy. So you're suggesting there is a
- 19 \parallel relationship. So let him answer the way he wants to
- 20 answer.
- 21 A Yeah. These are orthogonal events. There are
- 22 many moving pieces happening all the time. I assure
- 23 | you, there's no way Google updated its privacy policy
- 24 \parallel in two weeks. If the Senate letters were May 11, this
- 25 is May 25. So this privacy policy update must have

- been well in flight well before those letters were 2 received, which would not be related to the AP article 3 or the communication in that group digest about response to the AP article. 4
- So I guess that's why I'm just saying they're 5 There are a series of things that 6 7 happened in the arc of everyone is always making 8 further improvements, yes.
- 9 And Google had been talking about making changes 10 for quite some time to this language going back to at least 2017, as we discussed earlier; correct? 11
- 12 In the privacy policy?
- 13 The Location History language.
- 14 For the life of the product we have -- every Google product we are always looking for ways that we 15 16 can provide further transparency and clarity.
- Does the feedback that you receive either in the press or from members of Congress impact those 18 19 discussions?
- 20 I think all feedback informs those discussions on 21 a regular basis, yes.
- 22 All right. Great. This privacy policy change 23 happened on May 25, 2018; is that correct?
- 24 That's correct, yes.

25 Okay. And you recognize this privacy policy

- 1 | change?
- 2 A I have not looked at this in some time. Is there
- 3 a specific section?
- $4 \parallel Q$ Yes, I just want to admit this. So I would like
- 5 to know if you recognize this as Google's privacy
- 6 policy from May 25, 2018?
- 7 A I could not certify that this is the privacy
- 8 policy as it stood on May 25, 2018. It does appear to
- 9 be some iteration of the privacy policy at some point,
- 10 but that was several years ago now.
- 11 Q It says that the -- if you go back up to the
- 12 | top --
- 13 THE COURT: Is there objection to him using
- 14 \parallel this at the very least as a demonstrative exhibit? I
- 15 mean, this is essentially how this witness has
- 16 \parallel identified most of these exhibits. It's not being
- 17 offered for the truth of the matter. It says it's
- 18 \parallel May 25. It's a redline version. Can we at least
- 19 treat it on that basis?
- 20 MR. SIMON: Yes, Judge. Yes.
- 21 \blacksquare THE COURT: Okay. So it's admitted on that
- 22 limited basis.
- 23 MR. PRICE: Thank you, Your Honor.
- 24 (Defense Exhibit No. 43 is admitted into
- 25 | evidence.)

- Q It says that the previous policy had been in effect since December 18, 2017, right up at the top there.
- 4 THE COURT: You really have to --
- 5 MR. PRICE: Sorry.
- 6 BY MR. PRICE:
- 7 Q I'm at the first page at the very beginning where
- 8 | it says "last modified," right underneath "privacy
- 9 policy." There's December 18, 2017 crossed out, and
- 10 \parallel the next date there that's not crossed out is May 25.
- 11 A Oh, I see. That is the crossed out date. This
- 12 shows that this was an update after the December 18,
- 13 2017 update, yes, that's correct.
- 14 \parallel Q Are you aware that the previous policy, the one
- 15 | that was in effect until December 18, 2017, did not
- 16 mention Location History whatsoever?
- 17 A I was not aware of that, no.
- 18 \parallel Q Were you aware that this is the first version that
- 19 mentions Location History by name?
- 20 A Can you point me to the context where this
- 21 | introduces Location History?
- 22 \blacksquare Q Yes. We are on page -- it was 7 of the PDF
- 23 | itself. It doesn't come with its internal page
- 24 | numbers.
- 25 THE COURT: So just say what headings are on

- 1 it so we can look through the document quickly.
- 2 BY MR. PRICE:
- 3 Q It's under the heading "Your location
- 4 | information." I'm at the bottom of the page that
- 5 contains that heading.
- 6 A I would have to check to confirm, but that does
- 7 not ring correct to me, because we do have -- on the
- $8 \parallel$ location policies page, there is a mention and has
- 9 been a mention of Location History. We did make an
- 10 update to that page to further refine the language
- 11 around Location History in 20- -- either later 2018 or
- 12 | early 2019, but that was a refinement to existing
- 13 copy, not the introduction of.
- 14 In this particular draft where you have
- 15 \parallel redactions, is there a suggestion that all of this
- 16 content is new?
- 17 | Q There shouldn't be any redactions --
- 18 A Sorry. Not redactions. I meant the crossed out
- 19 copy.
- 20 Q This is Google's redline. It's available on their
- 21 \parallel website. The crossed out language is the old
- 22 | language, and the language that isn't crossed out is
- 23 the new language.
- THE COURT: Okay. I'm still not with you,
- 25 unfortunately. So what part of the page is your

- 1 Location History on? Is it your --
- 2 MR. PRICE: "Your location information."
- 3 THE COURT: All right. Now I'm with you.
- 4 BY MR. PRICE:
- Q Just to clarify, I'm asking about the privacy
- 6 policy that we're looking at, not the help pages.
- 7 A I understand. And this might just be my
- 8 misunderstanding of how it's structured or maybe how
- 9 it's labeled externally, but we do have on the broader
- 10 company policy's page under "technologies," there's a
- 11 whole section about location usage. That copy was
- 12 refined, but it existed, which is why I'm surprised.
- 13 I would need to check.
- 14 \parallel Q The copy that you're describing, is that the same
- 15 \parallel as the privacy policy or is that that something
- 16 different?
- 17 A There's a broader privacy policy that has
- 18 \parallel sections, and one of those sections is like a
- 19 subsection. It's, like, policies.google.com/location
- 20 or technologies/location, something like that. And
- 21 \parallel there exists a description of the location copy. That
- 22 \parallel was refined in this time period. It wasn't
- 23 introduced.
- 24 \parallel Q The technologies page and the copy that is there
- 25 is not included in the privacy policy itself?

A I believe it is a part of the privacy policy because I think you have to go to the privacy -- I'd have to check. I don't know.

THE COURT: He's not giving you the answer you want. He's not able to say that this is the first time it ever appeared. What he has just testified is that there is some kind of web policy that he is aware of, and he just said, I would be surprised if this were the first time it were in the privacy policy because I'm aware that we had it in a bigger website policy that had been refined.

So you just didn't get the answer you wanted, even though you're going to argue that because it doesn't have strike-throughs, this was the first time it came in. He's given you the answer he has.

MR. PRICE: Thank you, Your Honor. We will move on.

18 BY MR. PRICE:

- Q Google changed the opt-in language for Location
 History in 2018; correct?
- 21 A By opt-in language, do you mean the consent?
- 22 0 Yes.

- 23 A The Location History consent itself has not changed.
- 25 Q The language in the consent flow didn't change at

- 1 | all during 2018?
- 2 A The consent flow is not the consent. The actual
- 3 Location History consent has not changed.
- 4 Q I'm speaking about the consent flow --
- 5 THE COURT: Why don't you show an example.
- 6 MR. PRICE: Laura, can we pull up
- 7 Mr. McInvaille's report.
- 8 BY MR. PRICE:
- 9 Q Let me turn your attention to Defense Exhibit 7.
- 10 MR. PRICE: Can you go up a little bit,
- 11 Laura?
- 12 BY MR. PRICE:
- 13 \parallel Q So we see a screenshot here from early in 2018.
- 14 | The language under Location History says "creates a
- 15 private map of where you go with your signed in
- 16 devices."
- 17 A That's what is it says, yes.
- 18 \parallel Q Right. And can we go to the next figure, please.
- 19 | This is a screenshot provided by Oracle, also in early
- 20 2018. And it says "creates a private map of where you
- 21 go with your signed-in devices."
- 22 \blacksquare A That is what it says.
- 23 Q Right underneath Location History.
- 24 Can we look at the third one from the Norwegian
- 25 Consumer Council from July 2nd of 2018? And in the

- middle screenshot underneath Location History, it says, "Saves where you go with your devices."
 - A That is what it says, yes.

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q So that language is different from the previous two screenshots that we just discussed?
- 6 A That is not the Location History consent copy,
- which is in the expanded block in the third exhibit you reference, but the descriptive copy did change, yes.
- 10 | Q And that changed in 2018; is that correct?
- 11 A That changed as a result of GDPR, yes.
- 12 Q Do you know when it 2018 it changed?
- 13 A When was -- I couldn't say exactly.
- THE COURT: As a result of what? I'm sorry,
 sir.
 - THE WITNESS: Sorry. One of the requirements of GDPR was that we be able to centrally serve all strings from a single data store. So part of our compliance with that policy was to standardize all of these strings because they were leading from the same store. That work was in flight in 2018.
 - So depending on when the screenshots were taken, you might see some slight variations as you just pointed out in these two screens for the descriptive copy itself, yes.

- THE COURT: All right. So GDPR?
- THE WITNESS: That's the General Data
- 3 Protection Regulation.
- 4 THE COURT: Thank you.
- 5 BY MR. PRICE:
- 6 Q That was a new law enacted in Europe governing the
- 7 privacy rights of individuals and their data?
- 8 A That is correct, yes.
- 9 Q So -- but Google had been discussing the need to
- 10 | change this particular language for quite some time;
- 11 correct?
- 12 A No.
- 13 Q No? You're not aware of the emails discussing the
- 14 \parallel need to change the "creates a private map" language?
- 15 A Sorry. I think some things are being conflated.
- 16 Which emails are you referring to?
- 17 | Q These are part of the Arizona v. Google emails,
- 18 **|** the emails that Google turned over to the Arizona
- 19 Attorney General.
- 20 A If I recall -- I would need to see the exchange,
- 21 | because if I recall the exchange you're referring to,
- 22 | it's referring to a different set of disclosures that
- 23 \parallel we were working to align our presentation, but is
- 24 there an example here?
- 25 Q Sure.

MR. PRICE: Can we show Defense Exhibit 41, please? And this is Bates 57339.

MR. DUFFEY: 379?

MR. PRICE: 57339.

A I see it, but to my previous point, this exchange is referring to a different string of copy. It's not referring to the -- what's being discussed at the bottom is not related to the "creates a private map."

The "creates a private map" in quotes there is like the language was just like a flag of the page where this language appears, but this exchange specifically was in reference to the conflation with WAA that was discussed, which is why it was relevant in the Arizona matter.

Q When did this copy appear that's being discussed?

A There's that descriptive string that says "saves a map of the places where you go with your signed-in devices" or "creates a private map." That is not what we were discussing here. This exchange at the bottom is specifically referring to -- yeah, this was broader. I guess, maybe I'm not following the connection.

Q Well, the consent flow, at least the initial screen with the one-line description, changed sometime in 2018. It used to say "creates a private map," and

- it doesn't anymore. This email exchange appears to be talking about whether to change the "creates a private map" language, which a Google employee describes as one of the most admired pieces of prose in the privacy space at Google.
 - A Okay. I'm just not following. I apologize. This language is referring -- so, the exchange here is referring very broadly to the descriptive copy, yes.

 Maybe I'm missing the point. Sorry. What's the
- 9 Maybe I'm missing the point. Sorry. What's the question for me?
- 11 Q It says that Google had a long, mostly political,
 12 fight over the private map language. I apologize.
 13 It's on the next page.
- 14 THE COURT: 340?

7

- MR. PRICE: Yes, Your Honor, in the middle of the page.
- 17 A I'm sorry. That is what it says, yes.
- 18 \parallel Q And you don't recall what this is in reference to?
- 19 A No. It says what it's in reference to. This is
- 20 \parallel in reference to the descriptive copy. It's not
- 21 related to the Location History consent copy at all.
- 22 | It's how exactly it's framed. And this discussion is
- 23 \parallel in the context of the alignment of all the copy
- 24 because we were going to consolidate and all read from
- 25 \parallel the same central store.

Q So you're saying there was secondary copy, that second screen that you're talking about, that contained this language at some point?

A No. There's the LH consent copy, which has been static. That has not changed. And then there was descriptive copy that would appear in a snippet either immediately before, and then there's also immediately after.

In that time period, we were looking at refining and aligning the descriptive copy strings because prior to that point, they were all baked into the native apps.

So if you had to make a change, for example, on IOS, you had to submit an update to the app store. If you had to make a change on Android, you had to publish a new APK. We had to do it manually.

Part of what we were doing in 2018 was aligning so that these were all readable from some single store.

And they weren't baked into the app. Instead the app was just calling to say what string should I show in this WAA.

So there was lot of discussion around how can we further refine all of those strings to make them consistent with each other in terms of their framing.

This exchange is specifically referencing, it sounds

- like, several of the different controls. So, yes,
 several of the different controls and balancing the
 pros and cons of different options.
- They don't speak specifically to the consents

 because the consents weren't being changed. It's just

 simply to the descriptive copy around the consents.
 - Q So this is talking about a change to the descriptive copy? That one line underneath where it says Location History.
 - A The exchange is speaking to the descriptive copy around the consent, that's correct.
- Q And one employee actually goes on to say, "My preference is 'Saves where you go with this device'"; correct?
- 15 A Yes, I see that here.

8

9

10

11

16

17

- Q And that is very, very similar to what the first screen in the Location History consent flow, the descriptive screen, ends up being changed to; correct?
- 19 A That is correct, yes.
- 20 Q So was it a coincidence?
- A So, this is from 2017, January. So coincidence with what?
- Q That -- you're saying that this discussion is not referencing a change to the Location History
- description during the consent flow process.

A I apologize. Maybe I'm getting confused. There are always discussions in flight around how we can further improve our products and services and further clarify.

This discussion from 2017 is about changes that might be suggested to, it looks like, various controls, including Location History, to further improve transparency around them. So that is that.

So, yes, it is a discussion across several controls over further improvements that can be made to their descriptive copy, yes. And then you're linking this to the 2018 update? To the Location History page?

Q No, I'm saying --

THE COURT: Exhibit 17, are you comparing it back to Exhibit 17? That's his question. You showed him Exhibit 17 -- Exhibit 7, your expert's report. Is that what you're trying to carry it back to?

MR. PRICE: Excuse me? We're talking about the -- I'm sorry.

THE COURT: The descriptive text on Exhibit 7 under Location History from 7-2-2018, which is how you began this process, says "saves where you go with your devices." Is that the link you're trying to make?

MR. PRICE: Yes. I'm asking if the

discussion here had to do with the language that we see in this language change that eventually happened in 2018.

BY MR. PRICE:

Q My question for you initially was, a discussion about this language had been in the works for quite some time, since at least 2017.

A I see. So this is why -- I don't think you can flatten it in the way that you're attempting to, because of the screenshots being from a point in time. For example, I could leave this courtroom right now and go to a Best Buy and buy a device that's three years old and take it off the shelf and take screenshots. The images that I see would be taken today but be a reflection of what was baked into that device when it was shipped to the shelf three years ago.

So the screenshots here, the date of the screenshots is not sequential in terms of when we release the copy updates. So the language decisions being discussed here in your Exhibit 41 around "saves a map," those were likely introduced into production shortly after. It is highly probable that — especially because these are from a series of different sources with very different narratives and

very different agendas.

1 1

Oracle is going to find the least favorable example. And so they'll use a screenshot that's beneficial to them. In a research study, they might just choose to benchmark when they're looking across several different sources. And they'll take screenshots that were beneficial to them.

So from the screenshots alone, I can't say -- and you can correct me if I'm wrong -- I can't say that this change was before that change or was tied to the Exhibit 41 discussion.

Based on reading these exchanges, this 2017 discussion was baked and put into prod, and at some point percolate into -- I'm sorry. Into production. And at some point percolated into product. These screenshots are just at different points in time in the life of the product.

So that is how you see the evolution here is not directly tied to the previous things we've been discussing, like the AP article or other changes that were happening in 2018.

THE COURT: All right. We're done. So it is

10 minutes almost after when I said I have to leave

because I have a conference call that is an emergency.

And so I apologize for the abrupt ending, but we'll

1 continue this tomorrow.

And, sir, you will still be under oath. You can't discuss your testimony with anyone. That will give us time. Maybe all of us will be a little more clearheaded about what relates to what, not at 5 o'clock in the evening. And we'll pick up from there. So the cross will continue.

I'm going to remind everybody to make sure that your witnesses are sequestered. Can we start tomorrow at nine?

MR. PRICE: Yes, Your Honor.

MR. SIMON: Yes, Your Honor.

MR. GILL: That's fine, Judge.

THE COURT: All right. So we will begin tomorrow at nine. And if folks want to call in, they may do so then.

Is there anything else I need to cover?

MR. SIMON: Nothing further, Judge.

MR. PRICE: Nothing further. Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. My apologies for this call happening, but I have no control.

(The proceedings were adjourned at 4:55 p.m.)

DATE

I, Diane J. Daffron, certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript from the record of proceedings in the above-entitled matter. /s/ DIANE J. DAFFRON, RPR, CCR